

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BROADCOM CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

WI-FI ONE, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2013-00601 (Patent 6,772,215)
Case IPR2013-00602 (Patent 6,466,568)
Case IPR2013-00636 (Patent 6,424,625)¹

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and
MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

¹ We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

The Scheduling Order for this case set the date for oral hearing on December 8, 2014, if a hearing is requested by the parties and granted by the Board. Paper 30.² Both parties requested a hearing pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a). Papers 52, 54. The request is *granted*.

Each party will have ninety (90) minutes of total time to present arguments. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that Patent Owner's original patent claims at issue are unpatentable. Therefore, at oral hearing, Petitioner will proceed first to present its case with respect to the challenged claims and grounds with respect to which the Board instituted trial. Petitioner may reserve some of its argument time, both for rebuttal and for responding to Patent Owner's presentation on Patent Owner's Motion to Amend.

Thereafter, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's presentation, having available to it the entirety of its allotted argument time. During this time, the Patent Owner also may present its Motion to Amend. In that regard, note that the Patent Owner bears the burden of proof with regard to the proposed substitute claims. The Patent Owner may reserve some of its argument time for rebuttal to respond to Petitioner's opposing presentation on Patent Owner's Motion to Amend.

Thereafter, Petitioner may make use of the time it has reserved to rebut Patent Owner's opposing presentation with regard to the original patent claims and to oppose Patent Owner's initial presentation with regard to Patent Owner's proposed substitute claims.

² IPR2013-00601 is representative. All citations are to IPR2013-00601 unless otherwise noted.

Case IPR2013-00601, -00602, -00636
Patent 6,772,215; 6,466,568; 6,424,625

Finally, Patent Owner may make use of the time it has reserved to make rebuttal presentation with regard to its Motion to Amend.

The hearing will commence at 1:00 PM Eastern Time on December 8, 2014, and will be open to the public for in-person attendance on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. In-person attendance will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served five business days prior to the hearing. They shall be filed at the Board two business days prior to the hearing, and the parties must initiate a conference call with the Board by two business days prior to the hearing to resolve any dispute over the propriety of each party's demonstrative exhibits. Any dispute over the propriety of demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented two business days prior to the hearing will be considered waived. The parties are directed to *CBS Interactive Inc. v. Helferich Patent Licensing, LLC*, IPR2013-00033, Paper 118 (October 23, 2013), regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits.

Questions regarding specific audio-visual equipment should be directed to the Board at (571) 272-9797. Requests for audio-visual equipment are to be made 5 days in advance of the hearing date. The request is to be sent to Trials@uspto.gov. If the request is not received timely, the equipment may not be available on the day of the hearing.

The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the

Case IPR2013-00601, -00602, -00636
Patent 6,772,215; 6,466,568; 6,424,625

reporter's transcript. The parties also should note that one panel member will be attending the hearings electronically from a remote location and that if a demonstrative is not filed or otherwise made fully available or visible to the judge presiding over the hearing remotely, that demonstrative will not be considered. If the parties have questions as to whether demonstrative exhibits would be sufficiently visible and available to all of the judges, the parties are invited to contact the Board at (571) 272-9797.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present at hearing, although any backup counsel may make the actual presentation, in whole or in part. If any lead counsel will not be in attendance at hearing, the Board should be notified via a joint telephone conference call no later than two days prior to the hearing to discuss the matter.

Case IPR2013-00601, -00602, -00636
Patent 6,772,215; 6,466,568; 6,424,625

For PETITIONER:

Dominic E. Massa
Michael A. Diener
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
dominic.massa@wilmerhale.com
michael.diener@wilmerhale.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Peter J. Ayers
J. Christopher Lynch
LEE & HAYES PLLC
peter@leehayes.com
chris@leehayes.com