### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Yamaha Corporation of America Petitioner

v.

Black Hills Media, LLC Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,214,873
Issue Date: July 3, 2012
Title: METHOD, SYSTEM, AND COMPUTER-READABLE MEDIUM FOR EMPLOYING A FIRST DEVICE TO DIRECT A NETWORKED AUDIO DEVICE TO RENDER A PLAYLIST

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2013-00598

PETITIONER YAMAHA CORPORATION OF AMERICA'S OPPOSITION TO PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

			Page
I.	INTR	RODUCTION	1
II.	EXH	IBITS 1018 AND 1019 SHOULD NOT BE EXCLUDED	1
	A.	The Microsoft Application (Ex. 1018) And Apple Patent (Ex. 1019) Are Relevant Extrinsic Evidence Submitted In Direct Response To Claim Construction Arguments On "Playlist"	2
	B.	The Microsoft Application (Ex. 1018) And Apple Patent (Ex. 1019) Are Not Inadmissible Hearsay	4
III.	EXH	IBIT 1022 SHOULD NOT BE EXCLUDED	6
IV.	EXH	IBIT 1020 SHOULD NOT BE EXCLUDED	7
V.		ENT OWNER'S PREJUDICE OBJECTIONS ARE	11
VI.	PETI	ENT OWNER HAS NOT MET ITS BURDEN TO EXCLUDE TIONER'S RELEVANT REPLY EVIDENCE ON AYLIST"	13
VII	CON	CLUSION	15



### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

CASES	Page(s)
Adobe Systems Inc. v. Level 3 Commc'ns, LLC, IPR2014-00153, Paper 18 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 18, 2014)	13
Cybor Corp. v. FAS Techs., Inc., 138 F.3d 1448 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (en banc)	2
EMC Corp. v. PersonalWeb Techs., LLC, IPR2013-00087, Paper 69 (P.T.A.B. May 15, 2014)	7
Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc. v. Baxter Int'l, Inc., 2006 WL 1330003 (N.D. Cal. May 15, 2006)	5
Hay & Forage Indus. v. New Holland N. Am., Inc., 25 F. Supp. 2d 1170 (D. Kan. 1998)	
Lighting Ballast Control LLC v. Philips Elecs. N. Am. Corp., 744 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2014) (en banc)	
Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc., 517 U.S. 370 (1996)	
Neev v. Abbott Med. Optics, Inc., 2012 WL 1066797 (D. Del. Mar. 26, 2012)	4, 5
Nichia Corp. v. Emcore Corp., IPR2012-00005, Paper 68 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 11, 2014)	
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	
Respironics, Inc. v. Zoll Med. Corp., IPR2013-00322, Paper 46 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 17, 2014)	13
SAP America, Inc. v. Arunachalam, CBM2013-00013, Paper 61 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 18, 2014)	
Synthon IP, Inc. v. Pfizer Inc., 446 F. Supp. 2d 497 (E.D. Va. 2006)	
Tate & Lyle Americas LLC v. Cargill, Inc., IPR2014-00084, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 1, 2014)	



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

(continued)

	Page
Vibrant Media, Inc. v. General Electric Co., IPR2013-00172, Paper 50 (P.T.A.B. July 28, 2014)	14
VirtenX, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., F.3d, 2014 WL 4548722 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 16, 2014)	11
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c)	
37 C.F.R. § 42.23	14
37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b)	15
37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(iii)	9
Other Authorities	
Fed. R. Evid. 403	11
Fed. R. Evid. 613(b)	8, 9, 10
Fed. R. Evid. 801(c)	
Fed. R. Evid. 803(6)	7
Fed. R. Evid. 803(8)	2
Fed. R. Evid. 803(17)	7
Fed R Fyid 806	10



# Petitioner's Exhibit List for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873

## • PREVIOUSLY FILED EXHIBITS:

Exhibit Description	Exhibit #
U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873 to Weel	1001
Declaration of Dr. V. Michael Bove, Jr.	1002
U.S. Patent App. Pub. US2005/0113946 A9 to Janik	1003
Applicant's Appeal Brief dated November 8, 2010	1004
Office Action dated March 1, 2012	1005
Notice of Allowance dated May 14, 2012	1006
U.S. Patent App. Pub. US2002/0068558 A1 to Janik	1007
U.S. Patent App. Pub. US2002/0065902 A1 to Janik	1008
U.S. Patent App. Pub. US2002/0040255 A1 to Neoh	1009
Cardoza, Take a Look at the Latest Integrated PDA/Cell Phone Devices, TECHREPUBLIC, April 8, 2002	1010
U.S. Patent App. Pub. 2003/0045955 A1 to Janik	1011
U.S. Patent App. Pub. US2002/0087996 A1 to Bi et al.	1012
U.S. Pat. No. 6,622,018 to Erekson	1013
Sony Ericsson P800/P802 White Paper (pages 1-14, 24-25, 36, 70-72, 87-88, 94, and 112)	1014
U.S. Patent No. 6,502,194 to Berman et al.	1015



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

