

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

YAMAHA CORPORATION OF AMERICA
Petitioner

v.

BLACK HILLS MEDIA, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2013-00598
U.S. Patent 8,214,873

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 42.120

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. SUMMARY OF THE ‘873 PATENT	2
III. SUMMARY OF THE STATE OF THE PRIOR ART TO THE ‘873 PATENT	6
IV. Claim Construction.....	10
A. Legal Standard.....	10
B. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	10
C. The Term “Playlist” (independent claims 1, 17, 23, 25-27, 30 and 46).....	12
V. SUMMARY OF ALLEGED PRIOR ART	16
A. United States Patent Application 2002/0087996 to Bi	16
B. United States Patent 6,622,018 to Ereksom	23
C. United States Patent Application 2003/0045955 to Janik.....	27
VI. CLAIMS 1, 2, 6-13, 15-31, 35-42, and 44-46 ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER BI IN VIEW OF EREKSON	28
A. Petitioner Has The Burden Of Proof.....	28
B. Legal Standard for Obviousness	29
C. Claims 1, 2, 6-12, 15-31, 35-41, and 44-46 Are Not Obvious Over Bi and Ereksom	31
1. There Is No Motivation to Combine Bi with Ereksom.....	31
2. Claims 1, 2, 6-13, 15-31, 35-42, and 44-46 Are Not Obvious	38
3. Claims 25, 26, 27-29 Are Not Obvious	42
D. Claims 13 And 42 Are Not Obvious Over Bi In View Of Ereksom And In Further View Of Janik	43
VII. CONCLUSION.....	47

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc 'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312, 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	31
<i>Grain Processing Corp. v. American-Maize Prods. Co.</i> , 840 F.2d 902, 907 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	27
<i>InTouch Tech., Inc. v. VGO Comm 's, Inc.</i> , 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 8745, *58 (Fed.Cir. May 9, 2014)	28
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977, 988 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	29
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398, 418 (2007)	27, 28, 29, 31, 35
<i>Mintz v. Dietz & Watson, Inc.</i> , 679 F.3d 1372, 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	29
<i>In re Nouvel</i> , 493 F. App'x 85, 92 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	29
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279, 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	27
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303, 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	10
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249, 1257 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	10
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352, 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	26, 27
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	1
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)	26
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	26
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. §42.100(b)	9
77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,766 (Aug. 14, 2012)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	26

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST
For *Inter Partes* Review 2013-00598 (U.S. Patent No. 8,214,873)

(PREVIOUSLY FILED) Exhibit Description	Exhibit #
First Amended Complaint in <i>Black Hills Media, LLC v. Yamaha Corp.</i> , C.D.Ca 2:13-cv-06054	2003
Frist Amended Complaint in <i>Black Hills Media, LLC v. Pioneer Corp., et. al</i> , C.D.Ca 2:13-cv-05980	2004
Black Hills Media Technology Tutorial Presented to Court at Scheduling Conference on November 12, 2013, in <i>Black Hills Media, LLC v. Yamaha Corp.</i> , C.D.Ca 2:13-cv-06054 and <i>Black Hills Media, LLC v. Pioneer Corp., et. al</i> , C.D.Ca 2:13-cv-05980	2005
Transcript of the November 12, 2013, Scheduling Conference in in <i>Black Hills Media, LLC v. Yamaha Corp.</i> , C.D.Ca 2:13-cv-06054 and <i>Black Hills Media, LLC v. Pioneer Corp., et. al</i> , C.D.Ca 2:13-cv-05980, among others	2006
Pioneer's Notice of Election Regarding Certain <i>Inter Partes</i> Reviews in <i>Black Hills Media, LLC v. Pioneer Corp., et. al</i> , C.D.Ca 2:13-cv-05980	2007
Summons Returned Executed by Black Hills Media LLC on Yamaha Corporation of America served on 9/19/2012 in <i>Black Hills Media, LLC v. Yamaha Corp.</i> , C.D.Ca 2:13-cv-06054	2008
Summons Returned Executed by Black Hills Media LLC on Pioneer Electronics (USA) Inc. served on 9/14/2012 in <i>Black Hills Media, LLC v. Pioneer Corp., et. al</i> , C.D.Ca 2:13-cv-05980	2009
Summons Returned Executed by Black Hills Media LLC on Pioneer Corporation served on 9/17/2012 in <i>Black Hills Media, LLC v. Pioneer Corp., et. al</i> , C.D.Ca 2:13-cv-05980	2010

(CURRENTLY FILED) Exhibit Description	Exhibit #
Declaration of Gareth Loy, D.M.A. in Support of Patent Owner's Response, including Exhibits A - N thereto	2011
Deposition Transcript of Petitioner's Expert, Dr. Bove dated 5/29/2014	2012
Deposition Transcript of Petitioner's Expert, Dr. Bove dated 5/30/2014	2013

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.