

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.

Petitioner

v.

PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC and
LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

Patent Owners

Case IPR2013-00596

Patent 7,802,310

**APPLE'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF MICHAEL D.
JAY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Petitioner Apple Inc. (“Apple”) respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Michael D. Jay in this proceeding. In email correspondence between Petitioner and Patent Owner dated October 14, 2014, Patent Owner agreed not to oppose this motion.

II. GOVERNING LAW, RULES, AND PRECEDENT

Section 42.10(c) states as follows:

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

The Board has stated that motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) must be filed in accordance with the “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” entered in Case IPR2013-00010 (MPT) (“*Motorola* Order”).

See, e.g., Case IPR 2012-00006 (SGL) (Paper 18); Case IPR2012-0035 (SGL) (Paper 13).

The *Motorola* Order requires that such motions (1) “[c]ontain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding;” and (2) “[b]e accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear attesting to the following”:

- i. Membership in good standing of the Bar of at least one State or the District of Columbia;
- ii. No suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body;
- iii. No application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body ever denied;
- iv. No sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body;
- v. The individual seeking to appear has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the C.F.R.;
- vi. The individual will be subject to the USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 10.20 et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);

- vii. All other proceedings before the Office for which the individual has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the last three (3) years; and
- viii. Familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Based on the following facts, and supported by the Affidavit of Mr. Jay (Ex.1036) submitted herewith, Petitioner requests the *pro hac vice* admission of Michael D. Jay in this proceeding:

1. Petitioner's lead counsel, David K.S. Cornwell, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 31,944).
2. Mr. Jay is an attorney at the law firm of Boies, Schiller & Flexner (Ex. 1036 at ¶ 3.)
3. Mr. Jay is an experienced patent litigation attorney. Mr. Jay has been a patent litigation attorney for twelve years. (*Id.* at ¶ 4.) Mr. Jay has been litigating patent cases during the entire time period and, in particular, has litigated at least twenty patent infringement actions involving a variety of technologies. (*Id.*)
4. Mr. Jay is a member of good standing of the State Bar of California. (*Id.* at ¶ 5.)

5. Mr. Jay has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. (*Id.* at ¶ 5.)
6. No application of Mr. Jay for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied. (*Id.* at ¶ 6.)
7. No sanctions or contempt citations have ever been imposed against Mr. Jay by any court or administrative body. (*Id.* at ¶ 7.)
8. Mr. Jay has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the C.F.R. (*Id.* at ¶ 8.)
9. Mr. Jay understands that he will be subject to the USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 10.20 et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). (*Id.* at ¶ 9.)

IV. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF MR. JAY IN THIS PROCEEDING

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Petitioner's lead counsel, David K.S. Cornwell, is a registered practitioner.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.