```
Page 1
1
           UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
           BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
                               : Case Nos.
    WINTEK CORPORATION,
                                         IPR2013-00567
         Petitioner,
7
                                         IPR2013-00568
    v.
    TPK TOUCH SOLUTIONS INC., : IPR2014-00541
8
         Patent Owner.
10
11
12
13
                   TELECONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS
14
                    SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
15
                    WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 27, 2014
16
                           10:45 A.M.
17
18
19
20
21
22
  Ref. No. 83865
23
    Pages 1-27
24
    Reported by: Linda S. Kinkade, RDR, CRR, RMR, CSR
25
```



	Page 2		Page 3
1		1	APPEARANCES:
2		2	INTERNATIONS.
3		3	On Behalf of Petitioner:
4	The following is the transcript of the	4	Paul Hastings
5	teleconference proceedings reported at the offices of:	5	875 15th Street, N.W.
6		6	Washington, DC 20005
7		7	By: Joseph Palys
8	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan	8	By: Naveen Modi
9	50 California Street	9	·
10	San Francisco, California 94111	10	
11		11	
12		12	
13		13	
14	Reported pursuant to applicable Rules of Civil	14	
15	Procedure, before Linda S. Kinkade, Registered	15	
16	Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter,	16	On Behalf of Patent Owner:
17	Registered Professional Reporter, Registered Merit	17	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan
18	Reporter and Certified Shorthand Reporter, as licensed	18	50 California Street
19	by the State of California.	19	San Francisco, California 94111
20		20	By: Derek Tang
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 4		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES (continued):	1	PROCEEDINGS
2	711 1 L/ III (Continued).	2	1 10 0 2 2 2 1 1 1 0 0
3	On Behalf of Patent Owner:	3	(Conference call in session at 10:45 a.m.)
4	Bryan Cave	4	MR. PALYS: Hello, Your Honor. This is
5	1290 Avenue of the Americas	5	Joseph Palys, counsel for Wintek, and I have with me
6	New York, New York 10104	6	Derek Tang, counsel for TPK. And, Your Honors, I
7	By: Joseph Richetti	7	don't know if you got the emails that we sent, the
8	_ j	8	court reporter that was going to take the deposition,
9		9	she's here and she's recording, if that's okay with
10		10	you.
11	Also Present:	11	ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: Yes, that's
12	Bingbing Jiang	12	fine. We did get your email. Thank you.
13	Mandarin Interpreter, Chinese Translator	13	This is Judge Cocks, and I have Judge Rice with
14	• , ,	14	me on the line. All right. Mr. Palys, I believe you
15		15	scheduled the call
16		16	MR. PALYS: Right. I appreciate it.
17		17	First, let me apologize for interrupting the day for
18	PRESIDING:	18	everyone, but we have an issue regarding this
19	Josiah C. Cocks,	19	deposition.
20	Administrative Patent Judge	20	So TPK filed a declaration of a Mr. Tsai, a TPK
21	Richard E. Rice,	21	employee, with his response to the petitioner's
22	Administrative Patent Judge	22	petition. The declaration of Mr. Tsai was in English,
23	Toni R. Scheiner,	23	and, in preparation for this reply, Your Honors, we
24	Administrative Patent Judge	24	were asking TPK's counsel for the availability of
25	_	25	Mr. Tsai so we can take his deposition and we inquired
		1	



Page 6

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

back on July 31st when we sent an email out to TPK's

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

And in between that, those dates, throughout the next weeks and days we continued to ask for dates. And then once we got the date confirmed, which was today, we asked for the location, which, on August 20th, we were finally told where the location would

We served our notice for Mr. Tsai on August 24th, but the point here, Your Honors, is that at no time during the entire communications regarding this deposition did TPK mention or hint that Mr. Tsai would require an interpreter. His declaration was in English. We had many conversations with TPK about actually Mr. Tsai's declaration.

You may recall that there was an issue with an Exhibit 2019, which was related to Mr. Tsai's declaration, but at no time were we in any understanding that there would be a requirement for an interpreter. And we believe that this is in violation of Rule 4253(e) and the interpretation of that rule and the Ariosa decision, IPR2012 matter 22.

And, in particular, Rule 4253(e) requires that, if an interpreter is going to be used during a deposition, it says the party calling the witness must

1 initiate a conference call with the Board at least

five business days before the deposition -- that would

Page 7

Page 9

- 3 be us. But if you read the Ariosa decision, Your
- 4 Honors, you will see that there is also a
- 5 requirement -- obviously we have to know that there is
- 6 going to be a requirement for an interpreter, and if
- 7 you look at how the Ariosa decision interpreted this
- 8 rule -- there was some guidance by Judge Green in that
- 9 decision -- and some relevance -- some of the aspects
- 10 relevant here is that at least five business days
- 11 before the cross-examination of the deposition the
- 12 parties shall provide to the opponent the name,
- 13 address, business telephone number, email address and 14
  - resume of the person --

(Clarification by reporter.)

MR. PALYS: -- basically give the contact information about the interpreter, which we were never given. It also allows us to provide a cross-check interpreter, and within five days we would have to provide -- five days from the deposition -- provide that information and serve that on TPK.

The fact of the matter is, Your Honors, when I arrived today I was surprised to hear the request, like, do you have your own interpreter. TPK showed up with its own interpreter. I had no idea that this was

Page 8

going to be a deposition that would not be in English, and so, therefore, we started our discussions with counsel.

We believe at this point, Your Honors, it's highly prejudicial for Wintek to proceed with this deposition without Wintek having its own interpreter present. If TPK's here with its own interpreter, I don't know if anyone else on TPK's side speaks or understands Chinese, including its counsel -- I certainly don't -- and we believe that it's in violation of this rule. We think it's highly prejudicial to proceed.

And we tried to discuss alternate dates with TPK's counsel, and what I've been told is that Mr. Tsai is not available at all in the entire month of September. And so they wanted to proceed today with this deposition, and they were trying to offer to go look for interpreters for us, which, obviously, we think is still prejudicial. We should be given the opportunity to provide our own interpreter and have them present here.

It's now almost 11:00, Your Honor. We were supposed to start at 9:00. This is on Pacific time. I had scheduled my day, my schedule, for an English deposition. As you know, a translated deposition is

going to be much longer. In fact, I have some

- 2 meetings that I have to be in back in DC for tomorrow
  - and even overnight. I have a meeting scheduled with a
- 4 client in the middle of the night. And right now, I
- 5 even checked with my travel agent, and there's no
- 6 return flights direct to -- back to Dulles, and I'm
- 7 sitting here trying to scramble, trying to find
  - additional flights to see if I can't make my
- 9 originally scheduled flight that I was supposed to 10
  - depart.

So, at the end of the day, I think you get the crux of our position, Your Honors -- I'm happy to answer any questions -- but we think at this point it's extremely prejudicial for Wintek to proceed without its opportunity to follow the rules, get its own interpreter, and be able to cross-check how this deposition proceeds.

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: Okay. I can understand your position. I'll have Mr. Richetti speak in just a minute. I have one question.

So I believe you mentioned that Mr. Tsai's declaration was in English and there was no indication that it was a result of -- with the aid of an interpreter. Is that what you said?

MR. PALYS: That's correct. There's



Page 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 11

nothing in the declaration indicating whether he reads, writes or doesn't understand English or anything like that. It was completely in English.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: Okay. And so what, just to be clear, what are you asking now -what relief are you seeking?

MR. PALYS: Well, the relief is that we postpone this deposition so that Wintek has an opportunity, now that we know there is a need for an interpreter -- I've just received this morning the credentials of TPK's interpreter, and so we would like the opportunity to go and look for our own interpreter and reschedule this deposition.

And, of course, given that this issue was raised by TPK's lack of following, in our interpretation, the rules, that an order be granted to compensate Wintek for the reasonable costs of my travel to come out here today.

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: All right. Mr. Richetti, do you have comments?

MR. RICHETTI: Yes, Your Honor. I do believe, while Mr. Palys is correct that many discussions were had over a long period of time about these depositions, some were discussions about having the deposition in Taiwan instead of the U.S., and the

parties couldn't reach an agreement. There were many discussions. And the details of the deposition in particular came together, you know, shortly, you know, in the last week or so when everything was finalized, you know, between the parties, including, as Mr. Palys mentioned, the notice of deposition was filed just a couple of days ago without, obviously, any objection from TPK because the parties were working together to try to arrange these depositions in a way that was mutually acceptable to both parties.

It is true that, you know, in rushing to have these depositions scheduled, the idea of an interpreter being here for the witness was not mentioned, and that, we acknowledge, is our fault.

What we were hoping to do, because the witness is from Taiwan and flew in from Taiwan and does have a very hectic work schedule, you know, flying to different countries, we were hoping that the deposition would go forward today but yet still mitigate any potential prejudice to Wintek. And that's why we offered -- and I believe my co-counsel, Mr. Tang, could elaborate -- but we believe we have secured a second interpreter to be able to come to the deposition by 1:00 p m. or right after lunch.

So our hope was that, you know -- we

Page 12

1 acknowledge that, you know, that communication didn't 2

happen and it should have. That's definitely not in

dispute. In taking into consideration the witness's

4 schedule and all the parties to try to mitigate it,

the situation, we're trying to come up with

6 alternative solutions, and one was to have a second 7

interpreter come in that would give Wintek the ability

to have their own interpreter to, you know, to

understand if there is any issues.

The second, you know, we appreciate that these depositions do take longer, but the witness is willing to stay late and accommodate in any way we can just to avoid having to have the deposition rescheduled and the expense associated with that, and would appreciate any guidance the Board would have for us.

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: Let me ask Mr. Palys. I believe you said that this second interpreter, that is not acceptable? Is that --

MR. PALYS: There's a couple issues with that. Again, and, to be honest with you, Your Honor, we're being forced on the spot to another interpreter that's being selected by TPK. Wintek has not been given the opportunity to do its own work to look for its own interpreter.

And also, I'll just reiterate again, I know

Page 13

we're considering the schedule of the witness, and, of course, we always try to accommodate that, but this issue has affected my schedule. I mean, as I mentioned, I have meetings that I have to be in DC tomorrow and including tonight, and I've scheduled my day accordingly based on an English-based deposition.

Having -- waiting until 1:00 to proceed with a translated deposition is -- clearly, I'm not going to make my flight home, and in fact there's no direct return flights available at all other than the one that I'm on. Now we're looking at some other indirect flight, and it's probably going to be a red eye, which means I'm going to miss this meeting that I've scheduled with a foreign client in the middle of the night and then hopefully still make my meetings tomorrow. So I think there's other issues at play here.

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: Okay. I understand. But moving to the interpreter issue, yes, we agree that you should be able to select an interpreter. If this interpreter is not acceptable, then there is an issue.

Mr. Richetti, I believe Mr. Palys suggested they would like to reschedule this deposition at a later date, and that Mr. Tsai is not available at any



Page 14 Page 15

time in the month of September? Is that the case?

MR. RICHETTI: If I could, Your Honor, my co-counsel, Mr. Tang, will be in the best position to answer that question.

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: All right. Okay. Mr. Tang?

MR. TANG: Thank you, Your Honor. This is Derek Tang, and thanks for your time.

You know, the negotiations we've had so far was Mr. Palys told us that a day that he would be available to come back and take the deposition -- the day he offered was September 17th -- and that, you know, that specific date and actually that whole specific time frame is, unfortunately, not doable for our witness.

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: Let me ask you: What is the time frame? What time frame are you referring to?

MR. TANG: I think, you know, we did grant -- we did grant Wintek an extension to, you know, to file their response, so that's due in the month. So that's the time frame we're talking about.

Unfortunately, Mr. Tsai's operations are in Taiwan, and he's traveling back and forth between Taiwan and Japan and China throughout that time

period.

One other thing that we had talked about was,

know, I don't know --

you know, the location of the deposition. Mr. Palys had mentioned that we had previously discussed potentially holding the deposition in Taiwan because of the difficulties of Mr. Tsai's schedule and

allowing him to come to the U.S. at the end of the
 day, as an accommodation. We agreed to bring Mr. Tsai

to the U.S., and he took several days out of his schedule to fly in specifically for the purpose of this deposition.

Unfortunately, given his job requirements, he won't be able to do that again within the time period. He won't be able to come to the United States. You

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: You understand the other side's position is they were not aware and it was never made clear to them that Mr. Tsai did not speak English and would therefore need an interpreter for a deposition. That seems like a fairly sizeable omission.

MR. TANG: Yes, Your Honor. We apologize if there was a miscommunication. We were discussing all the aspects of the deposition, and, if that wasn't conveyed, you know, then obviously there was a

Page 16

miscommunication.

So, with that in mind, Your Honor, we are trying our absolute best to try to mitigate the prejudice to all parties involved, including opposing counsel, given that we are all here today. We have been contacting agencies this morning and we have gotten at least one -- so far -- interpreter who is available. These are all neutral sources. And we believe that the best way to just mitigate the prejudice on everybody on having to return again, having to set up another time -- which may or may not even be possible -- would be to proceed with the deposition today, if at all possible.

So, you know, we believe we're doing everything in our power to make that happen, you know, we -- we're providing the resume of the interpreter to Mr. Palys. And, you know, we're happy to do whatever it takes to make sure that if there are issues that they haven't been able to select their own interpreter --

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: That does appear to be an issue. Let me ask you: When will Mr. Tsai be available again in the United States for deposition? Mr. Tang?

MR. TANG: Your Honor, we haven't discussed

Page 17

dates too far out, so we would have to get back and discuss that with counsel.

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: Do you anticipate that there would be a date at some point where Mr. Tsai would be available in the United States? I understand it's a slightly speculative question, but we're trying to see if we can reach a mutually agreeable resolution to this issue.

MR. TANG: No, we completely understand. Unfortunately, in the United States right now it does not look like there's any availability.

MR. RICHETTI: Your Honor, this is Mr. Richetti for TPK. Was your question, after the respondent's fee, whether there would be any availability or, you know, within the dates for Wintek to reply?

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE COCKS: Well, certainly within the date for Wintek to reply.

MR. PALYS: Your Honor, this is Joseph Palys. If I may, Mr. -- is it Tsai or Tsai? I'm sorry. I don't want to mispronounce ...

MR. TANG: Tsai.

MR. PALYS: He's here today. We could ask him, right. He's available with his schedule. It's not like he's not here. We can ask him when he's



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

