	Paper No		
Filed:	September	4,	2013

Filed on behalf of: Wintek Corporation

By: Joseph E. Palys Naveen Modi

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,

GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

901 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001-4413

Telephone: 202-408-4000 Facsimile: 202-408-4400

E-mail: joseph.palys@finnegan.com naveen.modi@finnegan.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WINTEK CORPORATION
Petitioner

V.

TPK TOUCH SOLUTIONS INC.
Patent Owner

Patent 8,217,902

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,217,902



Table of Contents

I.	Introd	luction	1	1
II.	Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.			1
III.	Payment of Fees Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(a) and 42.103			2
IV.	Grounds for Standing.			2
V.	Identification of Challenge and Relief Requested.			2
VI.	Overview of the '902 Patent			4
VII.	Prosecution History of the '902 Patent			6
VIII.	Claim	n Cons	truction	8
IX.			planation of Grounds for Unpatentability Under the easonable Construction	9
			nd 1: <i>Fujitsu</i> Anticipates Claims 1-15, 24, 32, 34, 36-40, 3, 46-58, and 60-67	10
		1.	Independent Claims 1, 6, 46, and 53	11
		2.	Independent Claims 32, 42, 58, and 66	16
		3.	Dependent Claims	20
		4.	Conclusion	22
	B.		nd 2: <i>Fujitsu</i> and <i>Binstead</i> Make Claims 11-15, 17-22, 9, 34, 35, 43, 44, 51, 60, 67, and 68 Obvious	22
		1.	Claims 17-22, 25-29, 35, 44, and 68	22
		2.	Claims 11-15, 34, 43, 51, 60, and 67	28
	C.		nd 3: Fujitsu and Miller Make Claims 17-22, 25-29, 35, and 68 Obvious	29
	D.		nd 4: <i>Fujitsu</i> and <i>Seguine</i> Make Claims 5, 10, 15-23, , 35, 39, 41, 44, 45, 50, 57, 64, and 68 Obvious	31

U.S. Patent No. 8,217,902 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

	1.	Claims 17-20, 22, 25-28, 35, 44, and 68	31
	2.	Claims 5, 10, 15, 21, 29, 39, 50, 57, and 64	33
	3.	Claims 16, 23, 30, 31, 41, 45	36
E.		and 5: Fujitsu and Bolender Make Claims 33 and 59 ious	37
F.		and 6: <i>Honeywell</i> Anticipates Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 16-22-28, 30-32, 34-38, 40-49, 51-56, 58, 60-63, and 65-68	39
	1.	Independent Claims 1, 6, 17, 25, 46, and 53	39
	2.	Independent Claims 32, 35, 42, 44, 58, 66, and 68	45
	3.	Dependent Claims	50
	4.	Conclusion	51
G.		and 7: <i>Honeywell</i> and <i>Binstead</i> Make Claims 5, 10-23, 29, 39, 43, 50, 51, 57, 60, 64, and 67 Obvious	51
	1.	Claims 5, 10, 15, 21, 29, 39, 50, 57, and 64	51
	2.	Claims 11-14, 16-20, 22, 23, 34, 43, 51, 60, and 67	53
Н.		and 8: <i>Honeywell</i> and <i>Seguine</i> Make Claims 5, 10, 15, 17-25-30, 35, 39, 44, 50, 57, 64, and 68 Obvious	55
	1.	Claims 17-20, 22, 23, 25-28, 30, 35, 44, and 68	55
	2.	Claims 5, 10, 15, 21, 29, 39, 50, 57, and 64	57
I.		and 9: <i>Honeywell</i> and <i>Bolender</i> Make Claims 33 and 59 ious	59
CON		CION	60



X.

Table of Authorities

Federal Cases	Page(s)
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	passim
	T
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	8
FEDERAL STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	3
35 U.S.C. § 103	passim
35 U.S.C. § 311	60
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.101	60
37 C.F.R. § 42.103	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	2



List of Exhibits

1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,217,902 ("'902 patent")
1002	File History of the '902 Patent
1003	Request for Ex Parte Reexamination of the '902 patent
1004	Order Granting Reexamination of the '902 patent
1005	Japanese Published Patent Application No. 60-75927 to Fujitsu
1006	Certified English Translation of Japanese Published Patent Application No. 60-75927 and Certificate of Translation
1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,137,427 to Binstead
1008	Japanese Published Patent Application No. 61-84729 to Honeywell
1009	Certified English Translation of Japanese Published Patent Application No. 61-84729 and Certificate of Translation
1010	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0030048 of Bolender et al.
1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,374,787 to Miller et al.
1012	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2007/0229469 of Seguine
1013	Declaration of Dr. Vivek Subramanian
1014	Curriculum Vitae and List of Publications of Dr. Vivek Subramanian



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

