

Filed on behalf of: Wintek Corporation
By: Joseph E. Palys
Naveen Modi
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4413
Telephone: 202-408-4000
Facsimile: 202-408-4400
E-mail: joseph.palys@finnegan.com
naveen.modi@finnegan.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WINTEK CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

TPK TOUCH SOLUTIONS INC.
Patent Owner

Patent 8,217,902

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,217,902

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	1
III.	Payment of Fees Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(a) and 42.103	2
IV.	Grounds for Standing.....	2
V.	Identification of Challenge and Relief Requested.....	2
VI.	Overview of the '902 patent	4
VII.	Prosecution History of the '902 patent.....	7
VIII.	Claim Construction.....	9
IX.	Detailed Explanation of Grounds for Unpatentability Under the Broadest Reasonable Construction.....	11
A.	Ground 1: <i>Binstead</i> Anticipates Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13, 15, 17- 19, 21, 22, 24-27, 29, 32, 34-37, 39, 40, 42-44, 46-48, 50-55, 57, 58, 60-62, and 64-68	12
1.	Independent Claims 1, 6, 17, 25, 46, and 53.....	12
2.	Independent Claims 32, 35, 42, 44, 58, 66, and 68	20
3.	Dependent Claims	27
4.	Conclusion	28
B.	Ground 2: <i>Binstead</i> and <i>Honeywell</i> Make Claims 4, 9, 14, 16- 23, 25-31, 35, 38, 41, 44, 45, 49, 56, 63, and 68 Obvious.....	28
1.	Claims 4, 9, 14, 20, 28, 38, 49, 56, 63	28
2.	Claims 16, 23, 30, 31, 41, and 45	30
3.	Claims 17-19, 21, 22, 25-27, 29, 35, 44, and 68.....	31
C.	Ground 3: <i>Binstead</i> and <i>Bolender</i> Make Claims 33 and 59 Obvious	32

D.	Ground 4: <i>Binstead</i> and <i>Miller</i> Make Claims 17-19, 21, 22, 25-27, 29, 35, 44, and 68 Obvious.....	34
E.	Ground 5: <i>Binstead</i> and <i>Seguine</i> Make Claims 5, 10, 15, 17-19, 21, 22, 25-27, 29, 35, 39, 44, 50, 57, 64, and 68 Obvious.....	36
	1. Claims 17-19, 21, 22, 25-27, 29, 35, 44, and 68.....	36
	2. Claims 5, 10, 15, 21, 29, 39, 50, 57, and 64	38
F.	Ground 6: <i>Lambert</i> Anticipates Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 17-20, 22, 24-28, 32, 34-38, 40, 42-44, 46-49, 51-56, 58, 60-63, and 65-68.....	39
	1. Independent Claims 1, 6, 17, 25, 46, and 53.....	40
	2. Independent Claims 32, 35, 42, 44, 58, 66, and 68	45
	3. Dependent Claims	50
	4. Conclusion	51
G.	Ground 7: <i>Lambert</i> and <i>Miller</i> Make Claims 17-20, 22, 25-28, 35, 44, and 68 Obvious.....	51
H.	Ground 8: <i>Lambert</i> and <i>Seguine</i> Make Claims 5, 10, 15-23, 25-31, 35, 39, 41, 44, 45, 50, 57, 64, and 68 Obvious.....	53
	1. Claims 5, 10, 15, 21, 29, 39, 50, 57, and 64	53
	2. Claims 17-22, 25-29, 35, 44, and 68.....	55
	3. Claims 16, 23, 30, 31, 41, 45	57
I.	Ground 9: <i>Lambert</i> and <i>Bolender</i> Make Claims 33 and 59 Obvious	58
X.	CONCLUSION.....	60

Table of Authorities

Page(s)

FEDERAL CASES

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....*passim*

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)9

FEDERAL STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)4

35 U.S.C. § 102(e)3

35 U.S.C. § 103*passim*

35 U.S.C. § 31160

REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.81

37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....2

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)9

37 C.F.R. § 42.10160

37 C.F.R. § 42.1032

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....2

List of Exhibits

- 1001 U.S. Patent No. 8,217,902 (“’902 patent”)
- 1002 File History of the ’902 patent
- 1003 Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of the ’902 patent
- 1004 Order Granting *Ex Parte* Reexamination of the ’902 patent
- 1005 U.S. Patent No. 6,137,427 to Binstead
- 1006 Published UK Patent Application GB 2 168 816 A of Lambert
- 1007 Japanese Published Patent Application No. 61-84729 to Honeywell
- 1008 Certified English Translation of Japanese Published Patent Application No. 61-84729 and Certificate of Translation
- 1009 U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0030048 of Bolender et al.
- 1010 U.S. Patent No. 5,374,787 to Miller et al.
- 1011 U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2007/0229469 of Seguire
- 1012 Declaration of Dr. Vivek Subramanian
- 1013 Curriculum Vitae and List of Publications of Dr. Vivek Subramanian

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.