

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
90/012,869	05/17/2013	8217902	09701.0016	2197
34313 ORRICK HER	7590 06/20/2013 RRINGTON & SUTCLIFF	EXAMINER		
IP PROSECUT	TION DEPARTMENT	HOTALING, JOHN M		
2050 Main Stre IRVINE, CA 9		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,	<del></del>	3992		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		06/20/2013	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.





Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

#### DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

901 New York Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20001-4413

## EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/012,869.

PATENT NO. 8217902.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).



		Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination			
Order Granting / Denying Red		90/012,869	8217902			
Ex Parte Reexamination		Examiner	Art Unit			
	50.00	JOHN HOTALING	3992			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
The request for <i>ex parte</i> reexamination filed <u>17 May 2013</u> has been considered and a determination has been made. An identification of the claims, the references relied upon, and the rationale supporting the determination are attached.						
Attachments: a) PTO-892, b) PTO/SB/08, c) Other:						
1.   The request for ex parte reexamination is GRANTED.						
RESPONSE TIMES ARE SET AS FOLLOWS:						
For Patent Owner's Statement (Optional): TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (37 CFR 1.530 (b)). <b>EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).</b>						
For Requester's Reply (optional): TWO MONTHS from the date of service of any timely filed Patent Owner's Statement (37 CFR 1.535). NO EXTENSION OF THIS TIME PERIOD IS PERMITTED. If Patent Owner does not file a timely statement under 37 CFR 1.530(b), then no reply by requester is permitted.						
2. The request for ex parte reexamination is DENIED.						
This decision is not appealable (35 U.S.C. 303(c)). Requester may seek review by petition to the Commissioner under 37 CFR 1.181 within ONE MONTH from the mailing date of this communication (37 CFR 1.515(c)). EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE SUCH A PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.181 ARE AVAILABLE ONLY BY PETITION TO SUSPEND OR WAIVE THE REGULATIONS UNDER 37 CFR 1.183.						
In due course, a refund under 37 CFR 1.26 ( c ) will be made to requester:						
a) Dy Treasury check or,						
b) Dy credit to Deposit Account No, or						
c) Dy credit to a credit card account, unless otherwise notified (35 U.S.C. 303(c)).						
//John M Hotaling II//						
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3992						
cc:Requester ( if third party requester ) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
PTOL-471 (Rev. 08-06)	Office Action in	Ex Parte Reexamination	Part of Paper No. 20130607			

Application/Control Number: 90/012,869 Page 2

Art Unit: 3992

### ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE REEXAMINATION

A substantial new question of patentability affecting claims 1-68 of United States

Patent Number 8,217,902 issued to Chang et al. is raised by the request for *ex parte*reexamination.

# References Asserted by Requester as Raising Substantial New Question of Patentability

- Japanese Published Patent Application No. 60-75927 ("Fujitsu") and corresponding English translation of the JP 75927 application (including Abstract)
- 2. U.S. Patent No. 6,137,427 to Ronald Binstead ("Binstead")
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2005/0030048 to Robert Bolender et al. ("Bolender")
- 4. Published UK Patent Application GB 2 168 816 A to Andrew Lambert ("Lambert")
- Japanese Published Patent Application No. 61-84729 ("Honeywell") and corresponding English translation of the JP 84729 application (including Abstract)
- 6. U.S. Patent No. 5,374,787 to Robert Miller et al. ("Miller")

## Summary of Prosecution History

Claims 1-68 are requested for reexamination and are the current claims in the Chang et al (US 8,217,902) Patent that issued July 10, 2012 from application 11/842,747 filed August 21, 2007 currently assigned to TPK Touch Solutions Inc.



In the non-final rejection of June 25, 2010 the examiner rejected claims 1-10 using the rejections below.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seely et al. (US 6,188,391).

Claims 2-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seely et al. (US 6,188,391) in view of Hsu et al. (US 7,030,860).

Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seely et al. (US 6,188,391) in view of Mulligan et al. (US 2004/0119701).

The applicant replied by amending the original claims and adding claims 11-45 in a September 20, 2010 response. Subsequent to that response an interview was held on October 8, 2010 which resulted in further amendments to the claims being submitted by the applicant on October 12, 2010.

The examiner issued a final rejection on December 21, 2010 using the rejections below

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 16-20, 22-28, 30-38 and 40-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bolender (US 2005/0030048).

Claims 5, 10, 15, 21,29 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolender et al. (US 2005/0030048) in view of Mulligan et al. (US 2004/0119701).

On February 22, 2011 the applicant made an after final amendment which amended claims 17, 25, 35, and 44 to be rewritten in independent form and added claims 46-68.

The examiner responded with an advisory action stating that the new limitation "formed on a rigid substrate" raises new issues that would require further consideration.

The examiner additionally maintained his position with respect to Bolender.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

