

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

TARGET CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

DESTINATION MATERNITY CORPORATION  
Patent Owner

Patent No. RE43,531  
Filing Date: June 15, 2011  
Issue Date: July 24, 2012  
Title: BELLY COVERING GARMENT

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. Unassigned

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Notices and Formalities .....	1
A.	Real Party In Interest.....	1
B.	Related Matters.....	1
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel.....	1
D.	Service Information.....	2
E.	Grounds for Standing .....	2
F.	Power of Attorney .....	2
G.	Fees.....	2
II.	Statement of the Precise Relief Requested .....	2
III.	Overview of the '531 Patent.....	4
A.	The Disclosed Invention in the '531 Patent .....	4
B.	Prosecution History of the '531 Patent .....	6
IV.	Overview of the Prior Art Relied Upon for the Challenge.....	15
A.	<i>J.C. Penney ontrend Maternity Catalog</i> , Fall/Winter 2005 .....	15
B.	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0049834 A1 to Stangle et al. ....	20
C.	U.S. Patent No. 6,276,175 to Browder.....	26
D.	“ <i>expecting style</i> ” by Lauren Sara.....	27
V.	Construction of Certain Claim Terms .....	29
VI.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	30
VII.	Threshold Requirement for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review .....	30
VIII.	Statement of Reasons for Relief Requested .....	31

A.	Ground 1: Claims 1, 18, 19, 24-26, 28, and 29 are Unpatentable as Being Anticipated by the <i>J.C. Penney ontrend Maternity Catalog</i> at Page 15 .....	31
B.	Ground 2: Claims 26 and 27 are Unpatentable as Being Obvious Over JCP-A in View of the <i>J.C. Penney ontrend Maternity Catalog</i> at Page 19.....	36
C.	Ground 3: Claim 18 is Unpatentable as Being Obvious Over JCP-A in View of U.S. Patent No. 6,276,175 to Browder.....	39
D.	Ground 4: Claim 26 is Unpatentable as Being Obvious Over JCP-A in View of “ <i>expecting style</i> ,” by Lauren Sara.....	41
E.	Ground 5: Claims 1, 18, 19, 24, 25, 28, and 29 are Unpatentable as Being Anticipated by U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. US 2004/0049834 A1 to Stangle et al.....	43
F.	Ground 6: Claims 26 and 27 are Unpatentable as Being Obvious Over Stangle in View of JCP-B.....	49
G.	Ground 7: Claim 18 is Unpatentable as Being Obvious Over Stangle in View of Browder.....	52
H.	Ground 8: Claim 26 is Unpatentable as Being Obvious Over Stangle in View of Sara.....	54
I.	Ground 9: Claims 1 and 18 are Unpatentable as Being Anticipated by Browder .....	56
IX.	Secondary Considerations .....	59
X.	Conclusion .....	60

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

- Exhibit 1001: Hendrickson et al. U.S. Patent No. RE43,563 (“the ’563 Patent”)
- Exhibit 1002: *J.C. Penney ontrend Maternity Catalog*, dated Fall/Winter 2005, cover and pages 15 and 19 (“JCP”)
- Exhibit 1003: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0049834 A1 to Stangle, et al., published March 18, 2004 (“Stangle”)
- Exhibit 1004: U.S. Patent No. 6,276,175 to Browder (“Browder”).
- Exhibit 1005: “*expecting style*,” by Lauren Sara, published by Bulfinch Press, a division of AOL Time Warner Book Group, in 2003 (“Sara”)
- Exhibit 1006: Plaintiff’s Infringement contentions in *Destination Maternity Corp. v. Target Corp et al.*, 2:12-cv-05680-AB (E.D. Pa.)
- Exhibit 1007: Certified File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. RE43,563
- Exhibit 1008: Certified File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. 7,900,276 (whose reissue application resulted in the ’563 Patent)
- Exhibit 1009: Hendrickson et al. U.S. Patent No. 7,900,276 (“the ’276 Patent”)
- Exhibit 1010: PULSE; An Early Lesson in Prada, by Danielle Pergament, published by the New York Times on February 27, 2005 (“Pergament”)
- Exhibit 1011: Declaration of Frances Harder
- Exhibit 1012: Curriculum Vitae of Frances Harder
- Exhibit 1013: Documents Considered by Frances Harder
- Exhibit 1014: Simplicity 4890 by Simplicity Pattern Co., Inc.

- Exhibit 1015: McCall's 2431 by The McCall Pattern Company
- Exhibit 1016: Plaintiff Destination Maternity Corporation's Objections and Responses to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories
- Exhibit 1017: U.S. Patent No. 4,506,390 to Stern ("Stern")
- Exhibit 1018: Hendrickson et al. U.S. Patent No. RE43,531 ("the '531 Patent")
- Exhibit 1019: Hendrickson et al. U.S. Patent No. 7,814,575 ("the '575 Patent")
- Exhibit 1020: Certified File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. 7,814,575 (whose reissue application resulted in the '531 Patent)
- Exhibit 1021: Certified File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. RE43,531
- Exhibit 1022: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0210987 to Carney
- Exhibit 1023: U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0010571 to Oakley
- Exhibit 1024: U.S. Patent No. 6,311,333 to Batra
- Exhibit 1025: U.S. Patent No. 3,045,678 to Geimer

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.