### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TARGET CORPORATION
Petitioner

V.

DESTINATION MATERNITY CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2013-00532 Patent RE43,531

Dated: September 2, 2014

PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR OBSERVATION REGARDING CROSS-EXAMINATION OF REPLY WITNESS



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|     |      |   | Page |
|-----|------|---|------|
| I.  | INTE | RODUCTION   | 1    |
| II. | OBS  | ERVATIONS   | 1    |
|     | A.   | Mr. Thomas's Legal Opinions Should Be Given No Weight   | 1    |
|     | B.   | Mr. Thomas Did Not Consider Limitations Recited In The Independent Claims Portion Of The Dependent Claims | 1    |
|     | C.   | Mr. Thomas Did Not Opine that Patent Owner's Patented Products Are Not Commercially Successful            | 4    |
|     | D.   | Mr. Thomas Provided No Evidence To Rebut Patent Owner's Prima Facie Showing of Commercial Success         | 12   |
|     | E.   | Mr. Thomas Did Not Know What Factors to Consider  | 13   |
|     | F.   | Mr. Thomas Misquotes Deposition Testimony To Support His Positions  | 15   |



# LIST OF EXHIBITS

| EXHIBIT 1110 | Declaration of Vincent Thomas (Sealed)             |
|--------------|--|
| EXHIBIT 1116 | Public Version of Declaration of Vincent Thomas    |
| EXHIBIT 2022 | UNDER SEAL Declaration of Philip Green             |
| EXHIBIT 2029 | REDACTED Declaration of Philip Green               |
| EXHIBIT 2099 | UNDER SEAL Deposition Transcript of Vincent Thomas |
| EXHIBIT 2100 | REDACTED Deposition Transcript of Vincent Thomas   |



# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

| CASES   | PAGE(S) |
|---|---------|
| Applied Materials v. Advadnced Semi. Materials, 98 F. 3d 1563, 1570 (Fed. Cir. 1996)            | 4       |
| Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing Ltd.,<br>851 F.2d 1387, 1392, 1393 (Fed. Cir. 1988) | 3, 12   |
| Honeywell Int'l Inc. v. Hamilton Sundstrand,<br>370 F. 3d 1131 (Fed. Cir. 2004)                 | 4       |
| <i>In re Mettke</i> , 570 F. 3d 1356, 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2009)                                     | 11, 12  |
| Jones v. Hardy,<br>727 F.2d 1524, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1984)   | 4       |



### I. Introduction

Pursuant to the Board's July 30, 2014 e-mail, Patent Owner hereby provides observations on the August 22, 2014 deposition of Vincent A. Thomas.

### II. Observations

# A. Mr. Thomas's Legal Opinions Should Be Given No Weight

In Exhibit 2099, on page 12, lines 8-9, Mr. Thomas testified:



This testimony is relevant to the Declaration of Vincent Thomas Regarding Commercial Success (the "Thomas Declaration") filed as Exhibits 1110 and 1116 on at least paragraphs 10-12. The testimony is relevant because it shows that the legal opinions from the Thomas Declaration should be given no weight if admissible.

# B. Mr. Thomas Did Not Consider Limitations Recited In The Independent Claims Portion Of The Dependent Claims

Mr. Thomas testified:





# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

