1	Todd G. Miller (SBN 163200), miller@fr.co	m
2	Michael A. Amon (SBN 226221), amon@fr.com	
	Craig E. Countryman (SBN 244601), countryman@fr.com Fish & Richardson P.C.	
3	12390 El Camino Real	
4	San Diego, CA 92130	
5	Phone: 858-678-5070/Fax: 858-678-5099	
6	Frank E. Scherkenbach (SBN 142549), scherkenbach@fr.com	
7	Fish & Richardson P.C.	
8	One Marina Park Drive Boston, MA 02210-1878	
9	Phone: 617-542-5070/Fax: 617-542-8906	
10		
11	Jonathan J. Lamberson (SBN 239107), lamberson@fr.com Keeley I. Vega (SBN 259928), kvega@fr.com	
	Neil A. Warren (SBN 272770), warren@fr.com	
12	Fish & Richardson P.C. 500 Arguello St., Ste. 500	
13	Redwood City, CA 94063	
14	Telephone: 650-839-5070/Fax: 650-839-5071	
15	Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant NUVASIVE, INC.	
16		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC.;	Case No. 3:12-cv-02738 CAB (MDD)
20	MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK	Case 110. $5.12$ - $cv$ - $02750$ CMD (101DD)
21	U.S.A., INC.; MEDTRONIC PUERTO	
22	RICO OPERATIONS CO.; and OSTEOTECH, INC.	NUVASIVE, INC.'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'
23		SECOND SET OF
	Plaintiffs,	INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 11-13)
24	V.	
25	NUVASIVE, INC.,	
26	Defendant.	
27	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.	MSD 1166
28		IPR2013-00506
DOCKET		
<b>A L A R M</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .		

1

Pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant and Counterclaimant NuVasive, Inc. ("NuVasive") hereby responds to Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc., Medtronic Sofamor Danek USA, Inc.; Medtronic Puerto Rico Operations Co.; and Osteotech, Inc., and Counterclaim Defendants Medtronic, Inc. and Medtronic Sofamor Danek Deggendorf, GmbH, (collectively "Medtronic") Second Set of Interrogatories to NuVasive as follows:

#### **RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

This response is made in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and is based upon information currently available to NuVasive. This response is made without prejudice to NuVasive's right to amend and/or supplement its responses and to use or rely upon subsequently discovered information in any future proceedings. NuVasive reserves the right to later object to the admissibility into evidence of any of this information on any permissible grounds, including grounds not identified below.

### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

1. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories, including the Instructions and Definitions, on the ground and to the extent that they are inconsistent with, enlarge upon, or exceed the scope of discovery authorized by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Southern District of California, any applicable orders of this Court, or any stipulation or agreement between the parties. In responding to these interrogatories, NuVasive will only comply with the obligations imposed on it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, any applicable orders of this Court, and any stipulation or agreement between the parties.

2. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories on the ground and to the extent that they call for information subject to attorney-client privilege, attorney work product protection, community of interest protection, joint defense protection, or that is otherwise immune from discovery. For convenience, NuVasive uses the terms

"privilege" or "privileged" herein to refer to information subject to attorney-client 2 privilege, attorney work product protection, community of interest protection, joint defense protection, or that is otherwise immune from discovery. Any disclosure 3 NuVasive makes of such information is inadvertent and shall not constitute a waiver of 4 the applicable privilege or immunity as to such information.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

28

3. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories on the ground and to the extent that they seek to obtain information not within NuVasive's possession, custody, or control.

4. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories on the ground and to the extent that they seek information already in Plaintiffs' possession, information that is a matter of public record or information that is otherwise equally available to Plaintiffs.

5. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories on the ground and to the extent that they call for information which is confidential or proprietary to, or the trade secrets of, a third party, and which NuVasive is under an obligation and duty to a third party to not disclose. NuVasive will not disclose such information without the third parties' consent, unless required by court order or law.

17 6. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories on the ground and to the extent 18 that they contain discrete subparts. Each subpart should count as a separate interrogatory toward the limit on interrogatories imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil 19 20 Procedure or by Order of the Court.

21 7. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories on the ground and to the extent 22 that they are vague and ambiguous. NuVasive will make every effort to construe these 23 interrogatories in good faith consistent with its obligations under the Federal Rules of 24 Civil Procedure and applicable local rules.

25 8. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories on the ground and to the extent 26 that they purport to define a term or phrase used in any of the claims of the patents-in-27 suit.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

9. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories to the extent they call for a legal conclusion. For example, the Court has not yet construed the patent claim language. NuVasive's responses should not be construed as admissions of any particular legal characterization made by these interrogatories.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28

10. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories on the ground and to the extent that they are overly broad and unduly burdensome.

11. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories on the ground and to the extent that they seek information not relevant to any claim or defense in this litigation and are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

12. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories as impermissibly premature to the extent that they call for expert opinion or testimony. Expert discovery has not yet 12 started in this case.

13. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories to the extent they are premature in light of the sequenced disclosures called for by the Patent Local Rules of the Southern District of California or any applicable scheduling order entered in this case.

NuVasive objects to the various requirements for identification of a 14. person, a business, a communication, a document, and information withheld under any claim of privilege or any other basis on the grounds that they are vague, ambiguous, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. Plaintiffs' requirements are unreasonable and exceed the requirements under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules.

22 15. NuVasive objects to the definitions of "NuVasive," "Defendant," "you," 23 or "your" to the extent they include persons or entities that are separate and distinct 24 from NuVasive and over which NuVasive has no control.

NuVasive objects to the definitions of "NuVasive," "Defendant," "you," 25 16. 26 or "your" as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent they encompass 27 persons or entities having no relevance to this action.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

17. NuVasive objects to the definitions of "Accused NuVasive Products" and "Alleged Invention" as vague, ambiguous, and overbroad.

18. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories as premature to the extent they seek information relating to United States Patent Nos. 8,251,997 or 8,444,696.NuVasive will provide such information after entry by the Court of any applicable schedule.

19. NuVasive objects to these interrogatories as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and harassing to the extent they seek information regarding products not accused of infringement in this case.

20. Subject to all its General and Specific Objections and limitations, NuVasive will respond to these interrogatories based on information available to it after a reasonable investigation. NuVasive's investigation is ongoing, and NuVasive reserves the right to supplement its responses. NuVasive also reserves the right to produce or use any information disclosed and/or discovered after service of this response in support of or in opposition to any motion, in depositions, or at trial.

21. NuVasive incorporates by reference the foregoing General Objections in its Specific Response to each interrogatory. NuVasive may repeat a General Objection for emphasis or some other reason. The failure to repeat any such objection with respect to a particular interrogatory does not waive that objection. Moreover, NuVasive does not waive its right to amend its objections. Any specific objections to the following requests are in addition to, and not in lieu of, the foregoing objections.

22 22. NuVasive is willing to discuss its objections in a good faith attempt to
23 resolve or narrow any differences between the parties.

## SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES

25 INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

28

State whether NuVasive contends that any item of prior art identified in
Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Invalidity Contentions, or in any amendments thereto, does not

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.