



(12) **United States Patent**
Pimenta et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 7,905,840 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Mar. 15, 2011**

(54) **SURGICAL ACCESS SYSTEM AND RELATED METHODS**

(75) Inventors: **Luiz Pimenta**, Sao Paulo (BR); **Patrick Miles**, San Diego, CA (US); **Scot Martinelli**, San Diego, CA (US); **Eric Finley**, San Diego, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **NuVasive, Inc.**, San Diego, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1803 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/967,668**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 18, 2004**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2005/0149035 A1 Jul. 7, 2005

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 60/512,594, filed on Oct. 17, 2003.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/05 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **600/554**

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 600/545, 600/546, 547, 554, 210, 221

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

208,227 A	9/1878	Dorr
972,983 A	10/1910	Arthur
1,328,624 A	1/1920	Graham
1,548,184 A	8/1925	Cameron
2,704,064 A	3/1955	Fizzell et al.
2,736,002 A	2/1956	Oriel

2,808,826 A	10/1957	Reiner et al.
3,364,929 A	1/1968	Ide et al.
3,664,329 A	5/1972	Naylor
3,682,162 A	8/1972	Colyer
3,785,368 A	1/1974	McCarthy et al.
3,830,226 A	8/1974	Staub et al.
3,957,036 A	5/1976	Normann
4,099,519 A	7/1978	Warren
4,164,214 A	8/1979	Stark et al.
4,207,897 A	6/1980	Lloyd et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 299 08 259 7/1999

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Anatomy of the Lumbar Spine in MED TM MicroEndoscopic Discectomy (1997 Ludann Grand Rapids MI), 14 pgs.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Max Hindenburg


Assistant Examiner — Fangemonique Smith

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Jonathan Spangler; Rory Schermerhorn; Fish & Richardson P.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A surgical access system including a tissue distraction assembly and a tissue retraction assembly, both of which may be equipped with one or more electrodes for use in detecting the existence of (and optionally the distance and/or direction to) neural structures before, during, and after the establishment of an operative corridor to a surgical target site. Some embodiments of the surgical access system may be particularly suited for establishing an operative corridor to a surgical target site in the spine. Such an operative corridor may be established through the retroperitoneal space and the psoas muscle during a direct lateral, retroperitoneal approach to the spine.

30 Claims, 50 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
4,224,949	A	9/1980	Scott et al.	5,851,191	A 12/1998	Gozani	
4,226,228	A	10/1980	Shin et al.	5,853,373	A 12/1998	Griffith et al.	
4,235,242	A	11/1980	Howson et al.	5,860,973	A 1/1999	Michelson	
4,285,347	A	8/1981	Hess	5,862,314	A 1/1999	Jeddeloh	
4,291,705	A	9/1981	Severinghaus et al.	5,872,314	A 2/1999	Clinton	
4,461,300	A	7/1984	Christensen	5,885,219	A 3/1999	Nightengale	
4,515,168	A	5/1985	Chester et al.	5,888,196	A 3/1999	Bonutti	
4,519,403	A	5/1985	Dickhudt	5,891,147	A *	4/1999	Moskovitz et al. 606/79
4,545,374	A	10/1985	Jacobson	5,902,231	A 5/1999	Foley et al.	
4,561,445	A	12/1985	Berke et al.	5,928,139	A 7/1999	Koros	
4,562,832	A	1/1986	Wilder et al.	5,928,158	A 7/1999	Aristides	
4,573,448	A	3/1986	Kambin	5,935,131	A 8/1999	Bonutti et al.	
4,592,369	A	6/1986	Davis et al.	5,938,688	A 8/1999	Schiff	
4,595,018	A	6/1986	Rantala	5,944,658	A 8/1999	Koros et al.	
4,611,597	A	9/1986	Kraus	5,976,094	A 11/1999	Gozani et al.	
4,633,889	A	1/1987	Talalla	6,004,262	A 12/1999	Putz et al.	
4,658,835	A	4/1987	Pohndorf	6,027,456	A 2/2000	Feler et al.	
4,744,371	A	5/1988	Harris	6,038,469	A 3/2000	Karlsson et al.	
4,759,377	A	7/1988	Dykstra	6,038,477	A 3/2000	Kayyali	
4,784,150	A	11/1988	Voorhies et al.	6,050,992	A 4/2000	Nichols	
4,807,642	A	2/1989	Brown	6,074,343	A 6/2000	Nathanson et al.	
4,892,105	A	1/1990	Prass	6,104,957	A 8/2000	Alo et al.	
4,913,134	A	4/1990	Luque	6,104,960	A 8/2000	Duysens et al.	
4,926,865	A	5/1990	Oman	6,120,503	A 9/2000	Michelson	
4,962,766	A	10/1990	Herzon	6,126,660	A 10/2000	Dietz	
4,964,411	A	10/1990	Johnson et al.	6,132,386	A 10/2000	Gozani et al.	
5,007,902	A	4/1991	Witt	6,132,387	A 10/2000	Gozani et al.	
5,058,602	A	10/1991	Brody	6,135,965	A 10/2000	Tumer et al.	
5,081,990	A	1/1992	Deletis	6,139,493	A 10/2000	Koros et al.	
5,092,344	A	3/1992	Lee	6,146,335	A 11/2000	Gozani	
5,127,403	A	7/1992	Brownlee	6,152,871	A 11/2000	Foley et al.	
5,161,533	A	11/1992	Prass et al.	6,161,047	A 12/2000	King et al.	
5,171,279	A	12/1992	Mathews	6,181,961	B1 1/2001	Prass	
5,195,541	A	3/1993	Obenchain	6,206,826	B1 3/2001	Mathews et al.	
5,196,015	A	3/1993	Neubardt	6,224,549	B1 5/2001	Drongelen	
RE34,390	E	9/1993	Culver	6,259,945	B1 7/2001	Epstein et al.	
5,255,691	A	10/1993	Otten	6,266,558	B1 7/2001	Gozani et al.	
5,282,468	A	2/1994	Klepinski	6,273,905	B1 8/2001	Streeter	
5,284,153	A	2/1994	Raymond et al.	6,292,701	B1 9/2001	Prass et al.	
5,284,154	A	2/1994	Raymond et al.	6,306,100	B1 10/2001	Prass	
5,299,563	A	4/1994	Seton	6,308,712	B1 *	10/2001	Shaw 128/869
5,312,417	A	5/1994	Wilk	6,312,392	B1 11/2001	Herzon	
5,313,956	A	5/1994	Knutsson et al.	6,325,764	B1 12/2001	Griffith et al.	
5,327,902	A	7/1994	Lemmen	6,334,068	B1 12/2001	Hacker	
5,333,618	A	8/1994	Lekhtman et al.	6,425,859	B1 7/2002	Foley et al.	
5,375,067	A	12/1994	Berchin	6,425,901	B1 7/2002	Zhu et al.	
5,383,876	A	1/1995	Nardella	6,451,015	B1 9/2002	Rittman, III et al.	
5,450,845	A	9/1995	Axelgaard	6,466,817	B1 10/2002	Kaula et al.	
5,474,558	A	12/1995	Neubardt	6,468,205	B1 *	10/2002	Mollenauer et al. 600/201
5,480,440	A	1/1996	Kambin	6,468,207	B1 10/2002	Fowler, Jr.	
5,482,038	A	1/1996	Ruff	6,500,128	B2 12/2002	Marino	
5,484,437	A	1/1996	Michelson	6,524,320	B2 2/2003	DiPoto	
5,509,893	A	4/1996	Pracas	6,535,759	B1 3/2003	Epstein et al.	
5,540,235	A	7/1996	Wilson	6,564,078	B1 5/2003	Marino et al.	
5,549,656	A	8/1996	Reiss	6,579,244	B2 6/2003	Goodwin	
5,560,372	A	10/1996	Cory	6,620,157	B1 9/2003	Dabney et al.	
5,566,678	A	10/1996	Cadwell	6,719,692	B2 4/2004	Kleffner et al.	
5,571,149	A	11/1996	Liss et al.	6,760,616	B2 7/2004	Hoey et al.	
5,579,781	A	12/1996	Cooke	6,796,985	B2 9/2004	Bolger et al.	
5,593,429	A	1/1997	Ruff	6,810,281	B2 10/2004	Brock et al.	
5,599,279	A	2/1997	Slotman et al.	6,819,956	B2 11/2004	DiLorenzo	
5,630,813	A	5/1997	Kieturakis	6,847,849	B2 1/2005	Mamo et al.	
5,667,508	A	9/1997	Errico et al.	6,849,047	B2 2/2005	Goodwin	
5,671,752	A	9/1997	Sinderby et al.	6,855,105	B2 2/2005	Jackson, III et al.	
5,707,359	A	1/1998	Bufalini	6,902,569	B2 6/2005	Parmer et al.	
5,711,307	A	1/1998	Smits	6,926,728	B2 8/2005	Zucherman et al.	
5,728,046	A	3/1998	Mayer et al.	6,929,606	B2 8/2005	Ritland	
5,741,253	A	4/1998	Michelson	6,945,933	B2 9/2005	Branch	
5,759,159	A	6/1998	Masreliez	7,047,082	B1 5/2006	Schrom et al.	
5,772,661	A	6/1998	Michelson	7,050,848	B2 5/2006	Hoey et al.	
5,775,331	A	7/1998	Raymond et al.	7,079,883	B2 7/2006	Marino et al.	
5,776,144	A	7/1998	Leysieffer et al.	7,089,059	B1 8/2006	Pless	
5,779,642	A	7/1998	Nightengale	7,177,677	B2 2/2007	Kaula et al.	
5,785,658	A	7/1998	Benaron et al.	7,207,949	B2 4/2007	Miles et al.	
5,792,044	A	8/1998	Foley et al.	7,261,688	B2 8/2007	Smith et al.	
5,797,854	A	8/1998	Hedgecock	7,582,058	B1 9/2009	Miles et al.	
				2001/0039949	A1 11/2001	Loubser	

2002/0010392	A1	1/2002	Desai	
2002/0072686	A1	6/2002	Hoey et al.	
2002/0123780	A1	9/2002	Grill et al.	
2002/0161415	A1	10/2002	Cohen et al.	
2002/0193843	A1	12/2002	Hill et al.	
2003/0032966	A1	2/2003	Foley et al.	
2003/0105503	A1	6/2003	Marino	
2003/0149341	A1	8/2003	Clifton	
2003/0225405	A1	12/2003	Weiner	
2003/0236544	A1*	12/2003	Lunsford et al.	606/190
2004/0199084	A1	10/2004	Kelleher et al.	
2004/0225228	A1	11/2004	Ferree	
2005/0004593	A1	1/2005	Simonson	
2005/0004623	A1	1/2005	Miles et al.	
2005/0033380	A1	2/2005	Tanner et al.	
2005/0075578	A1	4/2005	Gharib et al.	
2005/0149035	A1	7/2005	Pimenta et al.	
2005/0182454	A1	8/2005	Gharib et al.	
2005/0192575	A1	9/2005	Pacheco	
2006/0025703	A1	2/2006	Miles et al.	
2006/0052828	A1	3/2006	Kim et al.	
2006/0069315	A1	3/2006	Miles et al.	
2006/0224078	A1	10/2006	Hoey et al.	
2007/0016097	A1	1/2007	Farquhar et al.	
2007/0198062	A1	8/2007	Miles et al.	
2007/0293782	A1	12/2007	Marino	
2008/0058606	A1	3/2008	Miles et al.	
2008/0064976	A1	3/2008	Kelleher et al.	
2008/0064977	A1	3/2008	Kelleher et al.	
2008/0065178	A1	3/2008	Kelleher et al.	
2008/0071191	A1	3/2008	Kelleher et al.	
2008/0097164	A1	4/2008	Miles et al.	
2009/0124860	A1	5/2009	Miles et al.	
2009/0138050	A1	5/2009	Ferree	
2009/0192403	A1	7/2009	Gharib et al.	
2009/0204016	A1	8/2009	Gharib et al.	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0972538	A2	1/2000
FR	2 795 624		1/2001
WO	WO-0038574	A1	7/2000
WO	WO 00/67645		11/2000
WO	WO-0066217	A1	11/2000
WO	WO-0137728	A1	5/2001
WO	WO 02/054960		7/2002
WO	WO 03/005887		1/2003
WO	WO 03/026482		4/2003
WO	WO-03037170	A3	5/2003
WO	WO-2005013805	A3	2/2005
WO	WO 2005/030318		4/2005
WO	WO-2006042241	A2	4/2006
WO	WO 2006/066217		6/2006

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Dirksmeier et al., "Microendoscopic and Open Laminotomy and Discectomy in Lumbar Disc Disease" *Seminars in Spine Surgery*, 1999, 11(2): 138-146.

METRx Delivered Order Form, 1999, 13 pages.

Medtronic Sofamor Danek "METRx™ MicroDiscectomy System," *Medtronic Sofamor Danek USA*, 2000, 21 pgs.

Medtronic Sofamor Danek "METRx System Surgical Technique," 2004, 22 pages.

"MetRx System MicroEndoscopic Discectomy: An Evolution in Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery," *Sofamor Danek*, 1999, 6 pages.

Smith and Foley "MetRx System MicroEndoscopic Discectomy: Surgical Technique" *Medtronic Sofamor Danek*, 2000, 24 pages.

"Sofamor Danek MED Microendoscopic Discectomy System Brochure" including Rapp "New endoscopic lumbar technique improves access preserves tissue" Reprinted with permission from: *Orthopedics Today*, 1998, 18(1): 2 pages.

Japanese Patent Office JP Patent Application No. 2006-528306 Office Action with English Translation, Jun. 10, 2009, 4 pages.

Plaintiffs' Preliminary Invalidation Contentions re US Patents 7207949; 7470236 and 7582058, Sep. 18, 2009, 19 pages.

Plaintiffs' Supplemental Preliminary Invalidation Contentions re US Patents 7207949, 7470236, and 7582058, Sep. 29, 2009, 21 pages.

Plaintiffs' Supplemental Preliminary Invalidation Contentions-Appendices, Sep. 29, 2009, 294 pages.

Axon 501(k) Notification: Epoch 2000 Neurological Workstation, Dec. 3, 1997, 464 pages.

Foley and Smith, "Microendoscopic Discectomy," *Techniques in Neurosurgery*, 1997, 3(4):301-307.

Medtronic Sofamor Danek "Union™/ Union-L™ Anterior & Lateral Impacted Fusion Devices: Clear choice of stabilization," *Medtronic Sofamor Danek*, 2000, 4 pages.

NuVasive Vector™ Cannulae, 1 page (prior to Sep. 25, 2003).

NuVasive Triad™ Tri-Columnar Spinal EndoArthrodesis™ via Minimally Invasive Guidance, 1 page (prior to Sep. 25, 2003).

NuVasive Triad™ Cortical Bone Allograft, 1 page (prior to Sep. 25, 2003).

NuVasive Vertebral Body Access System, 1 page (prior to Sep. 25, 2003).

Marina, "New Technology for Guided Navigation with Real Time Nerve Surveillance for Minimally Invasive Spine Discectomy & Arthrodesis," *SpineLine*, 2000, p. 39.

NuVasive "INS-1 Screw Test," 2001, 10 pages.

NuVasive letter re 510k Neuro Vision JJB System, Oct. 16, 2001, 5 pages.

NuVasive letter re 510k Guided Arthroscopy System, Oct. 5, 1999, 6 pages.

NuVasive letter re 510k INS-1 Intraoperative Nerve Surveillance System, Nov. 13, 2000, 7 pages.

"NuVasive™ Receives Clearance to Market Two Key Elem Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery System," Nov. 27, 2001, 20 pages.

Schick et al., "Microendoscopic lumbar discectomy versus open surgery: an intraoperative EMG study," *Eur Spine J*, 2002, 11: 20-26.

NuVasive letter re: 510(k) for Neurovision JJB System (Summary), Sep. 25, 2001, 28 pages.

NuVasive letter re: Special 510(k) Premarket Notification: Neurovision JJB System (Device Description), Jul. 3, 2003, 18 pages.

NuVasive letter re: Special 510(k) Premarket Notification: Neurovision JJB System (Device Description), Mar. 1, 2004, 16 pages.

NuVasive letter re: Special 510(k) Premarket Notification: Neurovision JJB System (Device Description), May 26, 2005, 17 pages.

NuVasive letter re: 510(k) Premarket Notification: Neurovision JJB System (Device Description), Jun. 24, 2005, 16 pages.

NuVasive letter re: Special 510(k) Premarket Notification: Neurovision JJB System (Device Description), Sep. 14, 2006, 17 pages.

NuVasive 510(k) Premarket Notification: Neurovision JJB System (Device Description), Aug. 20, 2007, 8 pages.

NuVasive letter re: 510(k) Premarket Notification: Guided Spinal Arthroscopy System (Device Description), Feb. 1, 1999, 40 pages.

NuVasive 510(k) Premarket Notification: Spinal System (Summary), Apr. 12, 2004, 10 pages.

NuVasive 510(k) Summary NIM Monitor, Sep. 4, 1998, 4 pages.

NuVasive correspondence re 510(k) Premarket Notification INS-1 Intraoperative Nerve Surveillance System: Section IV Device Description, pp. 12-51 (prior to Sep. 25, 2003).

NuVasive letter re 510(k) Premarket Notification INS-1 Intraoperative Nerve Surveillance System, Aug. 24, 2000, 81 pages.

Isley et al., "Recent Advances in Intraoperative Neuromonitoring of Spinal Cord Function: Pedicle Screw Stimulation Techniques," *American Journal of Electroneurodiagnostic Technology*, Jun. 1997, 37(2): 93-126.

Mathews et al., "Laparoscopic Discectomy with Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion," *Spine*, 1995, 20(16): 1797-1802.

Rose et al., "Persistently Electrified Pedicle Stimulation Instruments in Spinal Instrumentation: Techniques and Protocol Development," *Spine*, 1997, 22(3): 334-343.

Medtronic Sofamor Danek "Union™/ Union-L™ Anterior & Lateral Impacted Fusion Devices: Surgical Technique" *Medtronic Sofamor Danek*, 2001, 20 pages.

Defendant's Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions Regarding USP 7207949; 7470236 and 7582058, Aug. 31, 2009, 21 pages.

- Dezawa et al., "Retrospective Laparoscopic Lateral Approach to the Lumbar Spine: A New Approach, Technique, and Clinical Trial," *Journal of Spinal Disorders*, 2000, 13(2): 138-143.
- Gardocki, "Tubular diskectomy minimizes collateral damage: A logical progression moves spine surgery forward," *AAOS Now*, 2009, 5 pages.
- Hovorka et al., "Five years' experience of retroperitoneal lumbar and thoracolumbar surgery," *Eur Spine J.*, 2000, 9(1): S30-S34.
- Kossman et al., "The use of a retractor system (SynFrame) for open, minimal invasive reconstruction of the anterior column of the thoracic and lumbar spine," *Eur Spine J.*, 2001, 10: 396-402.
- Mayer, "A New Microsurgical Technique for Minimally Invasive Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion," *Spine*, 1997, 22(6): 691-699.
- Mayer, "The ALIF Concept," *Eur Spine J.*, 2000, 9(1): S35-S43.
- Mayer and Wiechert, "Microsurgical Anterior Approaches to the Lumbar Spine for Interbody Fusion and Total Disc Replacement," *Neurosurgery*, 2002, 51(2): 159-165.
- McAfee et al., "Minimally Invasive Anterior Retroperitoneal Approach to the Lumbar Spine: Emphasis on the Lateral BAK," *Spine*, 1998, 23(13): 1476-1484.
- Rao, et al. "Dynamic retraction of the psoas muscle to expose the lumbar spine using the retroperitoneal approach," *J. Neurosurg Spine*, 2006, 5: 468-470.
- Wolfla et al., "Retroperitoneal lateral lumbar interbody fusion with titanium threaded fusion cages," *J. Neurosurg (Spine 1)*, 2002, 96: 50-55.
- Bergey et al., "Endoscopic Lateral Transpsoas Approach to the Lumbar Spine," *Spine*, 2004, 29(15): 1681-1688.
- Dezawa et al., "Retrospective Laparoscopic Lateral Approach to the Lumbar Spine: A New Approach, Technique, and Clinical Trial," *Journal of Spinal Disorders*, 2000, 13(2): 138-143.
- Gardocki, "Tubular diskectomy minimizes collateral damage: A logical progression moves spine surgery forward," *AAOS Now*, 2009, 5 pages.
- Hovorka et al., "Five years' experience of retroperitoneal lumbar and thoracolumbar surgery," *Eur Spine J.*, 2000, 9(1): S30-S34.
- Kossman et al., "The use of a retractor system (SynFrame) for open, minimal invasive reconstruction of the anterior column of the thoracic and lumbar spine," *Eur Spine J.*, 2001, 10: 396-402.
- Mayer, "A New Microsurgical Technique for Minimally Invasive Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion," *Spine*, 1997, 22(6): 691-699.
- Mayer, "The ALIF Concept," *Eur Spine J.*, 2000, 9(1): S35-S43.
- Mayer and Wiechert, "Microsurgical Anterior Approaches to the Lumbar Spine for Interbody Fusion and Total Disc Replacement," *Neurosurgery*, 2002, 51(2): 159-165.
- McAfee et al., "Minimally Invasive Anterior Retroperitoneal Approach to the Lumbar Spine: Emphasis on the Lateral BAK," *Spine*, 1998, 23(13): 1476-1484.
- Rao, et al. "Dynamic retraction of the psoas muscle to expose the lumbar spine using the retroperitoneal approach," *J. Neurosurg Spine*, 2006, 5: 468-470.
- Wolfla et al., "Retroperitoneal lateral lumbar interbody fusion with titanium threaded fusion cages," *J. Neurosurg (Spine 1)*, 2002, 96: 50-55.
- Crock, H. V., M.D., "Anterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion," *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, No. One Hundred Sixty Five, 1982, pp. 157-163. 13 pages.
- "Brackmann II EMG System", *Medical Electronics* (1999), 4 pages.
- "Electromyography System", *International Search Report*, International Application No. PCT/US00/32329, (Apr. 27, 2001), 9 pages.
- "Nerve Proximity and Status Detection System and Method", *International Search Report*, International Application No. PCT/US01/18606, (Oct. 18, 2001), 6 pages.
- "Neurovision SE Nerve Locator/Monitor", *RLN Systems, Inc. Operators Manual*, (1999), 22 pages.
- "Relative Nerve Movement and Status Detection System and Method", *International Search Report*, International Application No. PCT/US01/18579, (Jan. 15, 2002), 6 pages.
- "System and Method for Determining Nerve Proximity, Direction, "System and Methods for Determining Nerve Direction to a Surgical Instrument", *International Search Report*, International Application No. PCT/US03/02056, (Aug. 12, 2003), 5 pages.
- "Systems and Methods for Performing Percutaneous Pedicle Integrity Assessments", *International Search Report*, International Application No. PCT/US02/35047, (Aug. 11, 2003), 5 pages.
- "Systems and Methods for Performing Surgery Procedures and Assessments", *International Search Report*, International Application No. PCT/US02/30617, (Jun. 5, 2003), 4 pages.
- "The Brackmann II EMG Monitoring System", *Medical Electronics Co. Operator's Manual Version 1.1*, (1995), 50 pages.
- "The Nicolet Viking IV", *Nicolet Biomedical Products*, (1999), 6 pages.
- Anderson, D. G., et al., "Pedicle screws with high electrical resistance: a potential source of error with stimulus-evoked EMG", *Spine*. 27(14):, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Virginia, (Jul. 15, 2002), 1577-1581.
- Bose, Bikash, et al., "Neurophysiologic Monitoring of Spinal Nerve Root Function During Instrumented Posterior Lumbar Spine Surgery", *Spine*, 27(13), (2002), 1444-1450.
- Calancir, Blair, et al., "Stimulus-Evoked EMG Monitoring During Transpedicular Lumbosacral Spine Instrumentation", *Spine*, 19(24), (1994), 2780-2786.
- Clements, David, et al., "Evoked and Spontaneous Electromyography to Evaluate Lumbosacral Pedicle Screw Placement", *Spine*, 21(5), (1996), 600-604.
- Danesh-Clough, T., "The use of evoked EMG in detecting misplaced thoracolumbar pedicle screws", *Spine*. 26(12), Orthopaedic Department, Dunedin Hospital, (Jun. 15, 2001), 1313-1316.
- Darden, B. V., et al., "A comparison of impedance and electromyogram measurements in detecting the presence of pedicle wall breakthrough", *Spine*. 23(2). Charlotte Spine Center, North Carolina, (Jan. 15, 1998), 256-262.
- Ebraheim, N. A., et al., "Anatomic relations between the lumbar pedicle and the adjacent neural structures", *Spine*. 22(20), Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Medical College of Ohio, (Oct. 15, 1997), 2338-2341.
- Ford, Douglas, "Electrical Characteristics of Peripheral Nerve Stimulators Implications for Nerve Localization", *Regional Anesthesia*, 9, (1984), 73-77.
- Glassman, Steven, "A Prospective Analysis of Intraoperative Electromyographic Monitoring of Pedicle Screw Placement With Computed Tomographic Scan Confirmation", *Spine*, 20(12), (1995), 1375-1379.
- Greenblatt, Gordon, "Needle Nerve Stimulator-Locator: Nerve Blocks with a New Instrument for Locating Nerves", *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 41(5), (1962), 599-602.
- Haig, A. J., et al., "The relation among spinal geometry on MRI, paraspinous electromyographic abnormalities, and age in persons referred for electrodiagnostic testing of low back symptoms", *Spine*. 27(17), Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of Michigan, (Sep. 1, 2002), 1918-1925.
- Holland, N. R., et al., "Higher electrical stimulus intensities are required to activate chronically compressed nerve roots. Implications for intraoperative electromyographic pedicle screw testing", *Spine*. 23(2), Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, (Jan. 15, 1998), 224-227.
- Holland, Neil, "Intraoperative Electromyography During Thoracolumbar Spinal Surgery", *Spine*. 23(17), (1998), 1915-1922.
- Journel, H. L., et al., "System for Intra-Operative Monitoring of the Cortical Integrity of the Pedicle During Screw Placement in Low-Back Surgery: Design and Clinical Results", *Sensory and neuromuscular diagnostic instrumentation and data analysis, 18th Annual International Conference on Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, 1(31), (Oct. 1996), 144-145.
- Lenke, Lawrence, "Triggered Electromyographic Threshold for Accuracy of Pedicle Screw Placement", *Spine*, 20 (14), (1995), 1585-1591.
- Maguire, J., et al., "Evaluation of Intrapedicular Screw Position

Martin, David, et al., "Initiation of Erection and Semen Release by Rectal Probe Electrostimulation (RPE)", *The Williams & Wilkins Co.*, (1983),637-642.

Minahan, R. E., et al., "The effect of neuromuscular blockade on pedicle screw stimulation thresholds", *Spine*. 25(19), Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine,(Oct. 1, 2000),2526-2530.

Pither, Charles, et al., ""The Use of Peripheral Nerve Stimulators for Regional Anesthesia: Review of Experimental Characteristics, Technique, and Clinical Applications"", *Regional Anesthesia* (1985),10:47-53.

Raj, P. , et al., "Infraclavicular Brachial Plexus Block—A New Approach", *Anesthesia and Analgesia*, (52)6, (1973),897-904.

Raj, P. , et al., "The Use of Peripheral Nerve Stimulators for Regional

Anesthesia", *Clinical Issues in Regional Anesthesia*, 1 (4), (1985),1-6.

Raj, P. , et al., "Use of the nerve Stimulator of Peripheral Blocks", *Regional Anesthesia* , (Apr.-Jun. 1980),14-21.

Raymond, Stephen, et al., "The Nerve Seeker: A System for Automated Nerve Localization", *Regional Anesthesia*, 17(3), (1992),151-162.

Shafik, Ahmed, "Cavernous Nerve Simulation through an Extrapelvic Subpubic Approach: Role in Pencil Erection", *Eur. Urol*, 26, (1994),98-102.

Toleikis, J., et al., "The Usefulness of Electrical Stimulation for Assessing Pedicle Screw Replacements", *Journal of Spinal Disorder*, 13(4), (2000),283-289.

* cited by examiner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.