

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

RAYMARINE, INC.

Petitioner

v.

NAVICO HOLDING AS

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2013-00497

Patent 8,305,840

---

**JOINT MOTION BY PETITIONER AND PATENT OWNER TO  
TERMINATE PROCEEDING PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37  
C.F.R. § 42.74**

LEGAL02/34732009v1

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Petitioner Raymarine Inc. (“Raymarine”) and Patent Owner Navico Holding AS (“Navico”) jointly request termination of the *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,305,840 Case No. IPR2013-00497.

I. Termination of Case No. IPR2013-00497 Would Be Appropriate.

Termination of Case No. IPR2013-00497 by the PTAB would be appropriate. This case is at a sufficiently early stage of the trial, and no motions or petitions are outstanding in this case.<sup>3</sup> Further, the parties entered into a Confidential Settlement Agreement (attached hereto as Exhibit NAV-2002). The Confidential Settlement Agreement requires both parties to terminate all disputes, including: (i) *Inter Partes* Review Proceedings Nos. 2013-00355, 2013-00496 and 2013-00497; (ii) *In the Matter of Certain Marine Sonar Imaging Devices, Products Containing The Same, And Components Thereof*, before the U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, Inv. No. 337-TA-898; and (iii) *Navico, Inc. and Navico Holding AS v. Raymarine Inc.* in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma, Civil Action No. 4:13-cv-00554 . Therefore, termination of this case is appropriate because (i) the case is at a

---

<sup>3</sup> Patent Owner has not filed a full response, and one is not due until May 12, 2014.

sufficiently early stage of the trial, (ii) the parties have settled their disputes, (iii) Patent Owner has agreed to dismiss the related litigation, and (iv) the parties to this case agree that this *inter partes* review should be terminated.

As set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, the Confidential Settlement Agreement between Raymarine and Navico has been made in writing, and a true and correct copy is being filed with the Patent Office as an exhibit to this Joint Motion. As stated in 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), because Raymarine and Navico request this termination, no estoppel under 35 U.S.C. § 315(e) shall attach as to Petitioner Raymarine. Further, a joint request to treat the Confidential Settlement Agreement as business confidential information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) is filed concurrently herewith.

Therefore, Raymarine and Navico respectfully request termination of the *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,305,840, Case No. IPR2013-00497.

March 13, 2014

Respectfully Submitted,

By 

Christopher TL Douglas

Reg. No. 56,950

Alston & Bird LLP

Attorneys for Patent Owner Navico

LEGAL02/34732009v1

By TK  
Thomas King  
Reg. No. 69,721  
Haynes and Boone, LLP  
Attorneys for Petitioner Raymarine

LEGAL02/34732009v1

### Updated Exhibit Listing

NAV – 2001	Declaration by Alan Proctor to Establish Conception and Reduction to Practice Prior to August 28, 2008
NAV – 2002	Confidential Settlement Agreement

LEGAL02/34732009v1

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.