

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

RAYMARINE, INC.

Petitioner

v.

NAVICO HOLDING AS

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2013-00496

Patent 8,305,840

---

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	RESPONSE TO PETITIONER’S SUMMARY OF REASONS FOR REQUESTED RELIEF.....	1
	(A) Unsupported Allegations.....	2
	(B) The Teachings of DeRoos .....	3
	(C) Consideration of Prior Art by the Examiner .....	7
III.	RESPONSE TO PETITIONER’S OVERVIEW OF THE ‘840 PATENT AND THE PROSECUTION HISTORY .....	8
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	10
	(A) “A Single Linear Downscan Transducer Element” .....	11
	i. “Single <i>Linear Downscan Transducer Element</i> ” .....	12
	ii. “Single <i>Linear Downscan Transducer Element</i> ” .....	13
	iii. “ <i>Single Linear Downscan Transducer Element</i> ” .....	13
	(B) “A Fan-Shaped Sonar Beam” .....	15
	(C) “Sequentially Insonify Different Fan-Shaped Regions of the Underwater Environment” .....	16
	(D) “Composite Of Images Of The Fan-Shaped Regions” .....	17
	(E) “Configuration Settings Defining A Predefined Set Of Display Images That May Be Presented” .....	18
V.	ARGUMENT.....	20

(A) U.S. Patent No. 5,791,552 to Boucher et al. Is Not Prior Art.....	21
(B) Petitioner’s Challenges #2 and #3 are Redundant Over Challenge #1 .....	23
(C) Petitioner’s Challenge #5 and #6 are Redundant Over Challenge #4 .....	26
(D) Petitioner’s Challenges to Claims 3, 29, 31, 60, and 61 Do Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success.....	28
<i>i. Challenge #1 to Claims 3 and 31 Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success .....</i>	31
a) <i>Hydrography and Adams Fail to Teach Selectable Operating Frequencies of About 455 kHz and 800 kHz.....</i>	32
<i>ii. Challenge #1 to Claim 29 Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success .....</i>	35
a) <i>Hydrography Is Not Cited As Teaching A Sonar Module That Comprises Configuration Settings Defining a Predefined Set of Display Images .....</i>	35
b) <i>Adams Fails To Teach A Sonar Module That Comprises Configuration Settings Defining a Predefined Set of Display Images .....</i>	36
<i>iii. Challenge #1 to Claim 60 Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success .....</i>	37
a) <i>Hydrography Is Not Cited As Teaching A Sonar Signal Processor Configured To Implement A Notice Or Alarm Regarding The Proximity Of Other Watercraft .....</i>	37

b) <i>Adams Fails To Teach A Sonar Signal Processor Configured To Implement A Notice Or Alarm Regarding The Proximity Of Other Watercraft</i> .....	37
iv. <i>Challenge #1 to Claim 61 Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</i> .....	39
a) <i>Hydrography Is Not Cited As Teaching That The Processor, In Combination With A Memory, Stores Incoming Transducer Data Or Screen Images For Future Playback Or Transfer</i> .....	39
b) <i>Adams Fails To Teach That The Processor, In Combination With A Memory, Stores Incoming Transducer Data Or Screen Images For Future Playback Or Transfer</i> .....	40
v. <i>Challenge #2 to Claims 3 and 31 Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</i> .....	40
a) <i>Hydrography, Adams, and Boucher ‘552 Fail to Teach Selectable Operating Frequencies Of About 455 kHz and 800 kHz</i> .....	41
vi. <i>Challenge #2 to Claim 29 Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</i> .....	44
a) <i>Hydrography and Boucher ‘552 Are Not Cited As Teaching A Sonar Module That Comprises Configuration Settings Defining a Predefined Set of Display Images</i> .....	44
b) <i>Adams Fails To Teach A Sonar Module That Comprises Configuration Settings Defining a Predefined Set of Display Images</i> .....	45

vii. <i>Challenge #2 to Claim 60 Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</i> .....	46
a) <i>Hydrography and Boucher ‘552 Are Not Cited As Teaching A Sonar Signal Processor Configured To Implement A Notice Or Alarm Regarding The Proximity Of Other Watercraft</i> .....	46
b) <i>Adams Fails To Teach A Sonar Signal Processor Configured To Implement A Notice Or Alarm Regarding The Proximity Of Other Watercraft</i> .....	46
viii. <i>Challenge #2 to Claim 61 Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</i> .....	48
a) <i>Hydrography and Boucher ‘552 Are Not Cited As Teaching That The Processor, In Combination With A Memory, Stores Incoming Transducer Data Or Screen Images For Future Playback Or Transfer</i> .....	48
b) <i>Adams Fails To Teach That The Processor, In Combination With A Memory, Stores Incoming Transducer Data Or Screen Images For Future Playback Or Transfer</i> .....	49
ix. <i>Challenge #3 to Claims 3 and 31 Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</i> .....	50
a) <i>Hydrography, Adams, Boucher ‘798, and DeRoos Fail to Teach Selectable Operating Frequencies Of About 455 kHz and 800 kHz</i>	
50	
x. <i>Challenge #3 to Claim 29 Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</i> .....	53

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.