

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

FACEBOOK, INC., LINKEDIN CORP., AND TWITTER, INC.,  
Petitioners

v.

SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2013-00480  
Patent 5,832,494

---

**DECLARATION OF PAUL JACOBS**  
in Support of Patent Owner Response

**EXHIBIT 2113**

*Facebook, Inc. et al.*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I. Qualifications, Background, and Experience</b> .....	1
<b>II. Status as an Independent Expert Witness</b> .....	3
<b>III. Proceedings to Date</b> .....	4
<b>IV. Materials Reviewed</b> .....	6
<b>V. Summary of Opinions</b> .....	7
<b>VI. The Claims of the ‘494 Patent</b> .....	12
<b>VII. Legal Principles Used in Analysis</b> .....	16
A. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	16
B. Claim Construction.....	17
C. Prior Art.....	18
D. Patentability.....	19
<b>VIII. A Person of Ordinary Skill in the Relevant Art</b> .....	20
B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	20
<b>IX. Opinions on Anticipation</b> .....	21
A. Fox SMART Does Not Anticipate Claims 1 and 5.....	21
1. Overview of Fox SMART.....	26
2. Fox SMART does not disclose analyzing a database with indirect relationships or an analysis of indirect relationships in the database .....	46
3. There Are No Indirect Relationships In a Database Because the Objects Do Not Cite To Other Objects In a Database .....	48
4. Even if an Indirect Relationship Did Not Require a Chain of Citations to be in a Database, there are Still No Disclosed Indirect Relationships in the Database. ....	60
5. Fox SMART does not disclose generating candidate cluster links .....	62
6. Fox SMART does not disclose deriving actual cluster links.....	70
7. Fox SMART does not disclose selecting a node for analysis.....	79
8. Fox SMART does not disclose the identifying and displaying steps ...	81

9. Fox SMART Does Not Disclose the Features of Claim 1 As Arranged in the Claim.....	82
10. Fox SMART does not disclose the additional steps of claim 5.....	88
B. Fox Thesis Does Not Anticipate Claims 14-16.....	92
1. Claim 14(a): Fox Thesis does not disclose initializing a set of candidate cluster links.....	94
2. Claim 14(b): Fox Thesis does not disclose selecting the destination node of a path as the selected node to analyze .....	96
3. Claim 14(c): Fox Thesis does not teach retrieving the set of direct links from the selected node to any other node in the database .....	98
4. Claim 14(d): Fox Thesis does not disclose determining the weight of the path using the retrieved direct links.....	100
5. Claim 14(e): Fox Thesis does not disclose storing the determined weights as candidate cluster links.....	103
6. Fox Thesis does not anticipate claim 15.....	105
7. Fox Thesis does not anticipate claim 16.....	106
<b>X. Conclusion.....</b>	<b>109</b>

I, Paul S. Jacobs, declare as follows:

1. My name is Paul S. Jacobs. I am the Founder and President of Jake Technologies, Inc. My business address is 27 Logan Circle NW #14, Washington, DC 20005. I understand that my declaration is being submitted in connection with the above-referenced *Inter Partes* Review proceeding, Case IPR2013-00480.

**I. Qualifications, Background, and Experience**

2. I received a Bachelor of Science in Applied Mathematics from Harvard University in 1981, a Master of Science in Applied Mathematics from Harvard University in 1981, and a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of California at Berkeley in 1985.

3. I have authored or co-authored over 50 scientific and technical publications, I am listed as an inventor on two U.S. patents directed to computational lexicons, and I have over 25 years of experience in the computer and information retrieval industry.

4. I have served in numerous professional and scientific capacities, including one year as a visiting professor of computer science at the University of Pennsylvania and several years as a member of the executive committee of the Association for Computational Linguistics. Currently, I serve on the Public Policy Council of the Association for Computing Machinery (USACM) and the Intellectual Property Committee of that council. I also serve on the Patent Public

Advisory Committee (PPAC) appointed by the Secretary of Commerce of the United States. I am currently a technology consultant and an adjunct lecturer at the University of Maryland in College Park, where I have taught classes in the College of Information Studies (The “iSchool”) since 2007.

5. Between 1985 and 1994, I was employed as a computer scientist with General Electric (“GE”) Corporate Research and Development. I also consulted for Infonautics, an early Internet information services and advanced search company. I was the editor of a book, entitled “Text-Based Intelligent Systems.” The book was a collection of papers based on a symposium I chaired in 1990, which brought together leaders of the field of Information Retrieval to address issues related to large-scale advanced text processing.

6. I joined a company named SRA International (“SRA”) in the latter part of 1994 and became director of media information technologies.<sup>1</sup> My responsibilities included new ventures and technology activities related to the Internet and the World Wide Web. From 1994 until 2002, I held a series of technology and business management jobs in organizations focused on networked information management applications. I was CEO of IsoQuest, an SRA subsidiary, managing vice president for electronic commerce at SRA, president

---

<sup>1</sup> SRA International has no relationship with Software Rights Archive, owner of the patent under review.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.