

Filed on behalf of: Software Rights Archive, LLC

Paper _____

By: Martin M. Zoltick, Lead Counsel
Nancy J. Linck, Backup Counsel
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C.
607 14th St., N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: 202-783-6040
Facsimile: 202-783-6031
E-mail: mzoltick@rfem.com
nlinck@rfem.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC., LINKEDIN CORP., AND TWITTER, INC.,
Petitioners

v.

SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00480
Patent 5,832,494

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iv

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,832,494 3

 A. General Background..... 3

 B. Claims of the ‘494 Patent 7

III. THE BOARD’S DECISION INSTITUTING *INTER PARTES* REVIEW 10

IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 12

V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 12

 A. Applicable Law 12

 B. Board Claim Construction..... 15

VI. FOX SMART DOES NOT ANTICIPATE CLAIMS 1 AND 5 (GROUND 2)..... 16

 A. Summary of Fox SMART 16

 B. Fox Smart does not disclose “an analysis of one or more indirect relationships in the database,” as recited in claim 1 21

 1. The Board’s construction appears to contain a technical error 21

 2. Fox SMART fails to disclose or suggest “indirect relationships in the database” as properly construed..... 23

 3. Even if an Indirect Relationship Did Not Require a Chain of Citations to be in a Database, there are Still No disclosed Indirect Relationships in the Database..... 29

 C. Fox Smart does not disclose “generating candidate cluster links for the selected node,” as recited in claim 1 31

 D. Fox SMART does not disclose “deriving actual cluster links from the candidate cluster links,” as recited in claim 1 33

 E. Fox SMART does not disclose or suggest “selecting a node for analysis,” as recited in claim 1 39

 F. Fox Smart does not disclose or suggest “displaying the identity of one or more nodes using the actual cluster links,” as recited in claim 1 41

G.	Fox SMART Does not Disclose the Features of Claim 1 As Arranged in the Claim	42
H.	Fox Smart does not disclose the additional features “eliminating candidate cluster links, wherein the number of candidate cluster links are limited, and the closest candidate cluster links are chosen,” as recited in claim 5.....	44
VII.	FOX THESIS DOES NOT ANTICIPATE CLAIMS 14-16 (GROUND 1).....	46
A.	Fox Thesis does not disclose initializing a set of candidate cluster links	47
B.	Fox Thesis does not disclose or suggest “selecting the destination node of a path as the selected node,” as recited by claim 14	47
C.	Fox Thesis does not disclose or suggest “retrieving the set of direct links from the selected node to any other node in the database,” as recited by claim 14	49
D.	Fox Thesis does not disclose or suggest “determining the weight of the path using the retrieved direct links,” as recited by claim 14 ...	51
E.	Fox Thesis does not disclose or suggest “storing the determined weights as candidate cluster links,” as recited by claim 14	53
F.	Fox Thesis does not disclose or suggest “deriving the actual cluster links,” as recited by claim 15	54
G.	Fox Thesis does not disclose or suggest “choosing the top rated cluster links,” as recited by claim 16	55
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	58

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Ex parte Akihiko Toyoshima</i> , 2012 WL 4718521 (BPAI 2012).....	14
<i>Ex Parte Papst-Motoren</i> , 1 U.S.P.Q.2d 1655 (B.P.A.I. 1986).....	13, 23
<i>Ex parte Quickie</i> , 2012 WL 2316828 (BPAI 2012).....	13
<i>Ex parte Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 2011 WL 3876561 (BPAI 2012).....	14
<i>Ex parte Tractus Medical, Inc.</i> , 2012 WL 759848 (BPAI 2012).....	14
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303, 75 USPQ2d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	12, 13, 14, 23

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 316(d)(1)(A).....	1
-------------------------------	---

Other Authorities

MPEP § 2258	13
-------------------	----

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.121	1
--------------------------	---

I. INTRODUCTION

The claims of the '494 patent are directed to the analysis and searching of a computerized database of textual objects containing citations to other objects stored in the database. The '494 patent specification discloses computerized tools and methods for extracting keywords and direct relationships from the content of database objects and generating representations of direct and indirect relationships existing among the database objects.

The institution of the present trial on claims 1, 5, and 14-16 of the '494 patent¹ is entirely based on prior art describing experiments conducted by Petitioners' expert Dr. Fox. The experiments of Dr. Fox are not directed to the analysis of a computer database of objects, but rather are directed towards limited experimentation with relationships existing among printed documents. This prior art describes limited experiments to determine whether direct and indirect relationships existing among paper documents are useful for clustering and searching.

¹ Patent Owner is filing concurrently herewith, in accordance with the Board's Order (Paper 28 at 2) and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 316(d)(1)(A) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.121, a motion to amend the '494 patent by cancelling challenged claims 8, 10, 11, 35, and 40.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.