```
Page 1
 1
 2
    UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 3
     BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 4
     FACEBOOK, INC., LINKEDIN CORP., and
 5
     TWITTER, INC.
 6
                              Petitioners,
 7
                  v.
 8
     SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC
 9
                              Patent Owner,
10
    Case IPR2013-00478 (Patent 5,544,352)
11
    Case IPR2013-00479(Patent 5,832,494)
12
    Case IPR2013-00480 (Patent 5,832,494)
    Case IPR2013-00481 (Patent 6,233,571)
13
14
                              February 26, 2014
15
                              3:00 p.m.
16
17
             TELECONFERENCE
18
                     HEARING
19
    Before:
                SALLY C. MEDLEY, ESQ.
                CHRISTOPHER L. CRUMBLEY
20
                BARBARA A. PARVIS
21
                Administrative Patent Judges
22
23
                                             EXHIBIT 2003
24
                                               Facebook, Inc. et al.
25
                                            Software Rights Archive, LLC
```



CASE IPR2013-00480

Page 2 1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 COOLEY LLP Attorneys for Petitioners 4 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 5 BY: HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. BY: LOWELL MEAD, ESQ. 6 7 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP Attorneys for Petitioners 8 633 Battery Street 9 San Francisco, California 94111 BY: SHARIF JACOB, Esq. 10 11 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANPECK, P.C. 12 Attorneys for Patent Owner 607 14th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005 13 BY: MARTIN ZOLTICK, ESQ. 14 BY: ZOUM PANDA, ESQ. 15 16 DINOVO, PRICE, ELLWANGER & HARDY, LLP Attorneys for Patent Owner 17 400 South Zang Boulevard Suite 1202 Dallas, Texas 75208 18 VICTOR HARDY, ESQ. BY: 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



Page 3

Proceedings 1 HON. MEDLEY: This is Sally 2 Medley. On the line with me is Judges 3 Crumbley and Parvis. This is the 4 initial call for IPR2013-00478, 479, 5 480 and 481. I understand we have a 6 court reporter on the line and that we are now on the record. First I would 8 like to take a roll call for 10 petitioner. 11 MS. KEEFE: Good morning. 12 is Heidi Keefe from Cooley on behalf 13 of petitioner and with me is Lowell 14 Mead from my office. 15 MR. JACOB: Good morning. This 16 is Sharif Jacob from Keker and Van 17 Nest on behalf of Twitter. HON. MEDLEY: Okay. Anybody 18 19 else for petitioner? 20 MS. KEEFE: No, ma'am. 21 HON. MEDLEY: Thank you. And 22 then for patent owner? 23 MR. ZOLTICK: Good afternoon, 24 Judge Medley, Marty Zoltick from



25

Rothwell, Figg for patent owner SRA

That

Proceedings

and with me I have Mike Jones and Zoum Panda.

MR. HARDY: There's also Victor Hardy on the line for SRA as well.

HON. MEDLEY: Okay. The Board received the parties' motion lists for each of the four proceedings, and I'd like to begin with the petitioner's list. It appears to be identical for each case, so we will go through just the one listed -- we can begin with

the one listed for the 478 case.

is one I will be looking at.

And first, I just want to make a general comment because the Board sees this often with the parties reserve right to seek authorization or to file a motion and to not file a motion. I don't know if you have heard that from other judges, but the Board does not construe such statements to mean perhaps what a party thinks that it should mean. The Board does not give deference to such statements. It's



1

Proceedings

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

not a stake in the ground that the party may later rely on. So there is no right for the parties' needs to preserve or reserve. It's not necessary to put that in a paper.

MS. KEEFE: I understand. you.

HON. MEDLEY: So there are no proposed motions at this time at least that are ripe for consideration from the standpoint of petitioner's list. I would like to make a few comments however with respect to your list. With respect to bullet item one, you list as motion to submit supplemental information under 123 and then you refer to Rule 64B2. Those two requirements are separate and distinct so just to alert you to that. One has made reference to information, supplemental information that perhaps you didn't file and you seek to file at a later time. The other is supplemental evidence you may serve in



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

