Tapper

IPR2013-00478 POR at 40-49.

EXHIBIT 2117

Facebook, Inc. et al. v. Software Rights Archive, LLC
CASES IPR2013-00478
IPR2013-00479
IPR2013-00480
IPR2013-00481

Claim 26 of the '352 Patent Requires Analyzing "For Indirect Relationships Existing Between or Among Objects in the Database"

m 26 of the '352 patent reads:

A non-semantical method for numerically representing objects in a computer database and for computerized searching of the merically represented objects in the database, wherein direct and indirect relationships exist between objects in the database, mprising:

arking objects in the database so that each marked object may be individually identified by a computerized search;

eating a first numerical representation for each identified object in the database based upon the object's direct relationship with other objects in the tabase;

pring the first numerical representations for use in computerized searching;

nalyzing the first numerical representations for indirect elationships existing between or among objects in the database;

nerating a second numerical representation of each object based on the analysis of the first numerical representation;

bring the second numerical representation for use in computerized searching; and

arching the objects in the database using a computer and the stored second numerical representations, wherein the search identified one or more of the objects in the database."

batent at 35:28-53 (emphasis added); see also IPR2013-00478 POR at 44.

Petitioners Set Forth this Construction in Their Petition

In the previous Google litigation, the District Court construed "analyzing the first numerical representation **for** indirect relationships" as "using the first numerical representation to **at least locate and identify** the indirect relationships."

The basis of this construction is set forth in the record cited below.

Claim Construction Order, IPR2013-00478 Exhibit 2022, Pet. at 7; IPR2013-00478 POR at 12 (citing '352 Jacobs Dec. at ¶ 81).

The Board Must Apply the Same Phillips Standard to Claim Construction as the District Court

Broadest reasonable interpretation does not apply:

Since the Patents at Issue are expired, the Board must, in applying the Phillips standard to expired claims, **construe the claims so as to sustain their validity**, if possible."

Ex Parte Katz, Appeal 2008-005127, Reexamination Control Nos. 90/006,978 and 90/007,074 (merged) (Mar. 15, 2010).

As the Board held in *Ex Parte Papst-Motoren*, when it has the interpretation of claims of an expired patent before it:

"[A] policy of <u>liberal construction</u> may properly and <u>should be applied</u>. Such a policy favors a construction of a patent claim that will <u>render it valid</u>, *i.e.*, a narrow construction, over a broad construction that would render it invalid."

Ex parte Papst-Motoren, 1 USPQ2d 1655, 1986 WL 83328 (BPAI 1986) (emphasis added). This standard is also reflected in the MPEP. See MPEP § 2258; IPR2013-00478 POR at 8-9.

Or. Jacobs testified that a "hit" is "not analyzed for indirect elationships":

"To the extent that "hits" could be based on the references in a citation vector, this possibility is irrelevant with respect to the claims because[] "hits" can be direct as citation vectors and invariably include self-reference."

acobs '352 Decl., IPR 2013-00478 Exhibit 2113 at ¶ 407, 409; see also IPR2013-00478 POR at 44.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

