IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PRINTING INDUSTRIES OF AMERICA Petitioner

V.

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00474 Patent 6,611,349

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION

Filed on behalf of CTP Innovations, LLC

By: W. Edward Ramage (Lead Counsel)

Reg. No. 50,810

Samuel F. Miller (Back-up Counsel)

(pending pro hac vice admission)

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,

CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, P.C.

Baker Donelson Center

211 Commerce Street, Suite 800

Nashville, Tennessee 37201

Tel: (615) 726-5771 Fax: (615) 744-5771

Email: eramage@bakerdonelson.com

smiller@bakerdonelson.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTE	TRODUCTION		1
II.	APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS			
	A.	Institution of Inter Partes Review.		
	B.	Anticipation under § 102(b).		
	C.	Obviousness under § 103(a).		3
III.	ARGUMENT			
	A.	Petitioner Fails to Demonstrate a Reasonable Likelihood of Prevailing		5
		1.	The Petition Cannot Assert That Claim 3 Of The '349 Patent Is Indefinite.	5
		2.	The Petition Fails to Establish A Reasonable Likelihood That Claim 3 Of The '349 Patent Would Have Been Obvious.	5
		3.	The Petition Fails to Establish A Reasonable Likelihood That Claim 6 Of The '349 Patent Would Have Been Obvious.	7
		4.	The Petition Fails to Establish A Reasonable Likelihood That Claim 7 Of The '349 Patent Would Have Been Obvious.	10
		5.	The Petition Fails to Establish A Reasonable Likelihood That Claim 8 Of The '349 Patent Would Have Been Obvious.	12
		6.	The Petition Fails to Establish A Reasonable Likelihood That Claim 9 Of The '349 Patent Would Have Been Obvious.	14
		7.	The Petition Fails to Establish A Reasonable Likelihood That Claim 11 Of The '349 Patent Would Have Been Obvious.	17



Case IPR2013-00474 Patent 6,611,349

	B.	Petitioner Fails to Identify All Real Parties in Interest.	19
IV	CON	NCLUSION	22



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	Page(s)
Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	3, 14, 17
<i>In re Arkley</i> , 455 F.2d 586 (C.C.P.A. 1972)	3
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	4
Inline Connection Corp. v. Earthlink, Inc., 684 F. Supp. 2d 496 (D. Del. 2010)	4
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	passim
Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. Verisign, Inc., 545 F.2d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	2, 3
Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Mylan Labs., Inc., 520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	4
Oxford Gene Tech., Ltd. v. Mergen Ltd., 345 F. Supp. 2d 431 (D. Del. 2004)	4
Perfect Web Technologies, Inc. v. InfoUSA, Inc., 587 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	4
Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc., 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	
Xerox Corp. v. 3Com Corp., 458 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	2
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 102	2, 3, 5
35 U.S.C. § 103	3



Case IPR2013-00474 Patent 6,611,349

35 U.S.C. § 112	5
35 U.S.C. § 311	5
35 U.S.C. § 313	1
35 U.S.C. § 314	2, 5
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.107	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	19
77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48766	2



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

