

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PNY Technologies, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Phison Electronics Corp.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00472
Patent 7,518,879

**PATENT OWNER PHISON ELECTRONICS CORP.'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED	3
III. BACKGROUND OF THE '879 PATENT	3
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	4
A. "Concave" requires "curving inwards to form a recess"	4
B. Petitioner's construction of "concave" is inconsistent with the '879 patent	8
C. "Prop" requires "a structure that supports (i.e., props-up) one thing apart from another"	9
V. DEFECTS IN THE PROPOSED GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY.....	12
A. Grounds 1 and 3 are defective because Minneman does not teach "concave props" as recited in the claims.....	12
B. Grounds 1 and 3 are defective because Minneman does not teach "said PCBA is fixed by means of pressing of said plurality of concave props" as recited in the claims	19
C. Ground 2 is defective because Takahashi does not teach "concave props"	21
D. Ground 2 is defective because a person of ordinary skill in the art would not have combined Minneman and Takahashi.....	23
E. Grounds 4 and 5 are defective because Wang does not teach "concave props" as recited in the claims.....	25

F. Ground 5 is defective because Wang does not teach “said PCBA is fixed by means of pressing of said plurality of concave props” as recited in the claims28

G. Grounds 6 and 7 are defective because another of Ni’s patent describing a similar invention was relied on and overcome during prosecution of the ’879 patent28

H. Grounds 6 and 7 are defective because Ni does not teach “concave props” as recited in the claims30

I. Grounds 6 and 7 are defective because Ni does not teach “said PCBA is fixed by means of pressing of said plurality of concave props” as recited in the claims32

J. Ground 7 is defective because a person of ordinary skill in the art would not have combined Ni and Takahashi33

VI. REDUNDANT GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY35

A. Grounds 1, 4, 5 and 6 are redundant35

B. Grounds 1, 2 and 3 are redundant37

C. Grounds 6 and 7 are redundant38

VII. CONCLUSION39

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	File History of the '879 Patent
2002	U.S. Publication No. 2007/0178769 to Ni ("Ni Publication")
2003	<i>Collins English Dictionary</i> , pp. 350, 452, 725 (2005)
2004	Erik Oberg et al., <i>Machinery's Handbook</i> , 26 th Edition, pp. 720-973 (2000)
2005	<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2013-00003 (Paper 7, Oct. 25, 2012)
2006	<i>DETENTS & DIAMETERS OF SPRING LOADED DEVICES</i> , http://www.vlier.com/product_index/sld/sel_06_diam.html (2007)

...

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2013-00003 (Paper 7, Oct. 25, 2012).....	2, 35
<i>Ex parte Moller</i> , Appeal 2010-012534 (BPAI 2010)	7, 8, 11
<i>In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> 496 F.3d 1374, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	7, 8, 12
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255, 1259 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	7, 8, 12
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	12
<i>CA, Inc. v. Simple.com, Inc.</i> , 780 F. Supp. 2d 196, 254 (E.D.N.Y. 2009)	14
<i>Net MoneyIN v. Verisign</i> , 545 F.3d 1359, 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	14
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	12, 25, 35, 37
35 U.S.C. § 103.....	19, 21, 27, 30, 32, 35, 36, 37, 38
Rules and Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a)	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.1 (b)	2, 35

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.