

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CARDIOCOM, LLC
Petitioner

v.

ROBERT BOSCH HEALTHCARE SYSTEMS, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00468
Patent No. 7,516,192

CORRECTED PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERVIEW OF THE '192 PATENT	1
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	5
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE ASSERTED REFERENCES	6
A. Wright.....	7
B. Goodman	9
C. Wahlquist.....	13
V. CARDIOCOM'S BURDEN.....	15
VI. OVERVIEW OF THE REASONS FOR FINDING THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS VALID.....	16
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 6-7, 11-12, 17-23, 29-30, and 35-36 Are Not Obvious Over Wright.....	17
B. Ground 2: Claims 1-37 Are Not Obvious Over Wright and Goodman.....	18
C. Ground 3: Claims 20-37 Are Not Obvious Over Goodman and Wahlquist.....	18
VII. DR. STONE APPLIED AN IMPROPER METHODOLOGY IN HIS OBVIOUSNESS ANALYSIS.....	19
VIII. VALIDITY OF THE CLAIMS IS SUPPORTED BY SIGNIFICANT EVIDENCE OF OBJECTIVE INDICIA OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS.	22
A. The '192 Patent Resulted In Great Commercial Success.	23
B. The '192 Patent Was The Product of Long Felt But Unresolved Needs That Were Recognized By The Inventor.	24
C. The '192 Patent's Inventions Received Great Acclaim.	25
D. Other Telehealth Offerings Taught Away From The '192 Patent.....	25
E. The '192 Patent's Inventions Were Copied By Other Telehealth Offerings.....	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
IX. A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART WOULD NOT HAVE COMBINED OR MODIFIED THE REFERENCES AS CARDIOCOM PROPOSES.....	26
A. Wahlquist Is Not Analogous Art.....	26
1. Wahlquist Is Not In The Same Field Of Endeavor As The Claimed Invention Of The '192 Patent.....	27
2. Wahlquist Is Not Reasonably Pertinent To The Problem Faced By The Inventor Of The '186 Patent.....	29
B. There Was No Motivation to Modify Wright.	32
C. There Was No Motivation to Combine Wright with Goodman.	32
D. There Was No Motivation to Combine Goodman with Wahlquist.....	35
X. REQUIRED CLAIM ELEMENTS ARE MISSING FROM EACH ASSERTED GROUND.....	38
A. Independent Claim 1 Is Not Obvious On Grounds 1 or 2.....	38
1. “data merge program”	38
2. “generic script program”	40
3. “wherein the customized script program...includes...an input command to receive responses”	42
4. “one or more databases...for storing the generic script program and any responses received from the remotely situated apparatus”	44
B. Dependent Claims 2-19 Are Not Obvious Over Grounds 1 or 2.....	46
1. Claims 2-5 Are Not Obvious On Grounds 1 or 2.....	46
2. Claims 8-10 Are Not Obvious On Ground 2.....	49
3. Claims 11-12 Are Not Obvious On Grounds 1 or 2.....	51
4. Claims 13-15 Are Not Obvious On Ground 2.....	53
5. Claim 17 Is Not Obvious On Grounds 1 or 2.....	55
6. Claim 18 Is Not Obvious On Grounds 1 or 2.....	55

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
7. Claim 19 Is Not Obvious On Grounds 1 or 2.....	56
C. Independent Claim 20 Is Not Obvious On Grounds 1, 2, or 3.....	58
1. “generating a generic script program”	58
2. “generating a customized script program in the computer by customizing the generic script program”	59
3. “wherein the customized script program includes...an input command to receive responses”	60
4. “storing the generic script program and any responses received from the remotely situated apparatus in one or more databases”	60
D. Dependent Claims 21-36 Are Not Obvious On Grounds 1, 2, or 3.....	61
1. Claim 21 Is Not Obvious On Grounds 1, 2, or 3.....	61
2. Claims 23-25 Are Not Obvious On Grounds 1, 2, or 3.....	62
3. Claims 26-28 Are Not Obvious On Grounds 2 or 3.....	62
4. Claims 29-30 Are Not Obvious On Grounds 1, 2, or 3.....	64
5. Claims 31-33 Are Not Obvious On Grounds 2 or 3.....	64
6. Claim 35 Is Not Obvious On Grounds 2 or 3.....	65
E. Independent Claim 37 Is Not Obvious On Grounds 2 or 3.....	65
1. “a communication interface...for transmitting to the computer (i) an identification code associated with the individual”	65
2. “wherein the customized script is transmitted in response to receipt of the identification code”	67

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

Page

3.	“customized script program containing at least one of (i) one or more messages, (ii) one or more queries, (iii) one or more response choices corresponding to the one or more queries, or any combination thereof” / “wherein the stored customized script program when executed causes the apparatus to communicate at least one of (i) one or more messages, (ii) one or more queries, (iii) one or more response choices corresponding to the one or more queries, or any combination thereof to the individual [and] to receive the responses to the one or more queries, if present, from the individual”	69
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	70

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.