

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

AMERICAN VEHICULAR SCIENCES LLC
Patent Owner

Patent Number: 8,036,788
Title: VEHICLE DIAGNOSTIC OR PROGNOSTIC MESSAGE
TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS AND METHODS

Case IPR2013-00417

**PRELIMINARY RESPONSE OF PATENT OWNER AMERICAN
VEHICULAR SCIENCES LLC UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
LIST OF EXHIBITS	v
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	2
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	5
A. The Specification Defines “Component” And “Sensor”	5
B. The Specification Supports American’s Additional Proposed Claim Constructions	5
1. Claim Construction Principles	7
2. “The At Least One Component Of Subsystem”	8
IV. NO <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW SHOULD BE INSTITUTED BECAUSE IT IS NOT REASONABLY LIKELY THAT ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS IS UNPATENTABLE	10
A. No Trial Should Be Instituted On Ground 1	10
1. Scholl Does Not Anticipate Claims, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16 And 18 Because It Does Not Disclose Initiating A Wireless Transmission In Response To A Triggering Event	11
2. Scholl Does Not Anticipate Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16 And 18 Because It Does Not Disclose Diagnostic Or Prognostic Analysis On-Board The Vehicle	13
3. Scholl Does Not Anticipate Claim 8 Because It Does Not Disclose A Diagnostic Module On The Vehicle To Diagnose Operability Of A Component.....	15

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
IPR2013-00417

4.	Scholl Does Not Anticipate Claim 11 Because It Does Not Disclose A Plurality Of Sensors That Monitor One Vehicle Component.....	16
B.	No Trial Should Be Instituted On Ground 2	18
1.	Toyota Failed To Provide The Required Affidavit Of Translation	18
2.	Ishihara Does Not Anticipate Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16 And 18 Because It Does Not Disclose Diagnostic Or Prognostic Analysis On-Board The Vehicle	21
3.	Ishihara Does Not Anticipate Claim 8 Because It Does Not Disclose A Diagnostic Module On The Vehicle To Diagnose Operability Of A Component	23
4.	Ishihara Does Not Anticipate Claims 9 and 15 Because It Does Not Disclose Predicting Component Failure	23
C.	No Trial Should Be Instituted On Ground 3	26
1.	Toyota Failed To Prove That Fry Is Prior Art	27
2.	Fry Does Not Anticipate Claim 11 Because It Does Not Disclose A Plurality Of Sensors That Monitor One Vehicle Component.....	28
D.	No Trial Should Be Instituted On Ground 4	29
1.	Toyota Failed To Provide The Required Affidavit Of Translation	29
2.	Ishihara In Combination With Scholl Does Not Disclose All The Limitations Of Claims 9 And 15	30
3.	Ishihara Teaches Away From Claims 9 And 15	30
V.	CONCLUSION.....	32

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Haemonetics Corp. v. Baxter Healthcare Corp.,
607 F.3d 776 (Fed. Cir. 2010)7

In re Abbott Diabetes Care Inc.,
696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012)7

In re Haruna,
249 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 31, 32

In re Robertson,
169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999) 12, 13, 17, 28

Jack v. Trans World Airlines, Inc.,
854 F. Supp. 654 (N.D. Cal. 1994).....20

Net MoneyIn, Inc. v. Verisign, Inc.,
545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 25, 26

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)7, 8

SynQor, Inc. v. Artesyn Techs., Inc.,
709 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 25, 26

Takeda Chem. Indus., Ltd. v. Alphapharm Pty. Ltd.,
492 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 31, 32

Townsend Eng'g Co. v. HiTec Co.,
1 U.S.P.Q.2d 1987 (N.D. Ill. 1986).....20

Transclean Corp. v. Bridgewood Servs.,
290 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2002)12

Ultimax Cement Mfg. Corp. v. CTS Cement Mfg. Corp.,
587 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 8, 10

Warner-Lambert Co. v. Purepac Pharm. Co.,
503 F.3d 1254 (Fed. Cir. 2007)7

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
IPR2013-00417

Warner-Lambert Co. v. Purepac Pharm. Co.,
503 F.3d 1254 (Fed. Cir. 2007)7

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(a) 10, 26, 27
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)18
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)10
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)29
35 U.S.C. § 3131
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)1
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)10

Other Authorities

MPEP § 2111.017
MPEP § 2145(X)(D)(2)30

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 1.13127
37 C.F.R. § 1.6819
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)7
37 C.F.R. § 42.107(c).....27
37 C.F.R. § 42.219
37 C.F.R. § 42.6220
37 C.F.R. § 42.63(b)18

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.