Trials@uspto.gov Tel: 571-272-7822 Paper 16

Entered: October 16, 2013

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CYANOTECH CORPORATION Petitioner

v.

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00401 Patent 5,527,533

Before SCOTT E. KAMHOLZ, SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, and GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KAMHOLZ, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER Conduct of the Proceeding 37 C.F.R. § 42.5



Case IPR2013-00401 Patent 5,527,533

The Board conducted a conference call with the parties on October 11, 2013, to discuss Cyanotech's request for leave to file a reply to the University's patent owner preliminary response. Cyanotech argued that the case presents a question of first impression concerning 35 U.S.C. § 315(a) and that the University cited recent decisions that did not exist at the time Cyanotech filed its petition.

Upon consideration of Cyanotech's request, the Board determined that additional briefing prior to the decision on the petition is unnecessary.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that Cyanotech is not authorized to file a reply to the patent owner preliminary response.

For PETITIONERS:

Joseph A. Rhoa George E. Darby NIXON & VANDERHYE <u>jar@nixonvan.com</u> cyan@teleport-asia.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Mark D. Schuman
Iain A. McIntyre
CARLSON CASPERS
mschuman@carlsoncaspers.com
imcintyre@carlsoncaspers.com

