

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

New Bay Capital, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

VirnetX Inc.

Patent Owner.

---

IPR2013-00378

Patent 7,921,211

Issue Date: April 5, 2011

Title: AGILE NETWORK PROTOCOL FOR SECURE COMMUNICATIONS  
USING SECURE DOMAIN NAMES

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,921,211**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**VIRNETX EXHIBIT 2012**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. COMPLIANCE WITH FORMAL REQUIREMENTS .....	1
A. REAL PARTIES-IN-INTEREST .....	1
B. STANDING .....	1
C. RELATED MATTERS.....	1
D. NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL.....	6
E. SERVICE INFORMATION.....	6
F. PROOF OF SERVICE ON THE PATENT OWNER.....	6
G. FEE.....	7
II. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED.....	7
III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	7
A. The Claims Of The ‘211 Patent Purport To Improve Over Prior Art Domain Name Service Systems By Programming Such Systems To Include Code for “Indicating in Response to the Query Whether The Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing A Secure Communication Link” .....	7
1. Admitted Prior Art.....	8
2. The Purported Improvement over Prior Art Domain Name Service Systems: Code for “Indicating in Response to the Query Whether the Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing a Secure Communication Link” .....	9
B. The ‘211 Patent Does Not Define “Indicating in Response to the Query Whether The Domain Name Service System Supports Establishing A Secure Communication Link” But Discloses Embodiments That Perform Such “Indicating”.....	10
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	12
A. Legal Standards.....	12
B. Domain name .....	15
C. Top-level domain name .....	18
D. Domain name service system.....	19
E. Secure communication link.....	21
F. Code for ...indicating .....	21
G. Transparently .....	25

V. FULL STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR CANCELLATION OF CLAIMS IN THE '211 PATENT .....	25
A. Kiuchi is Prior Art That Uses a Domain Name Service in Establishing Secure Communication Links Over the Internet.....	25
B. Claim 36 is Anticipated by Kiuchi .....	29
C. Claim 37 is Anticipated by Kiuchi .....	41
D. Claim 47 is Anticipated by Kiuchi .....	43
E. Claim 51 is Anticipated by Kiuchi .....	45
VI. CONCLUSION .....	48

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>AirCRAFT Medical LTD. v. Verathon Inc.</i> , Reexam. Control No. 95/000,161, Appeal 2012-007851, p. 16 (PTAB Dec. 11, 2012) .....	15
<i>Data General Corp. v. Johnson</i> , 78 F.3d 1556, 1565 (Fed.Cir.1996) .....	14
<i>Garmin Int’l Inc. v.Cuozzo Speed Technologies, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00001, Paper 15 (PTAB, Jan. 9, 2013).....	13
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359, 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	13
<i>In re Morris</i> , 127 F.3d 1048, 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	15
<i>Key Pharmaceuticals v. Hercon Laboratories Corp.</i> , 161 F.3d 709, 715, 48 USPQ2d 1911, 1916 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	14
<i>Multiform Desiccants, Inc. v. Medzam, Ltd.</i> , 133 F.3d 1473, 1477 (Fed. Cir.1998) .....	13
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303, 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2005)(en banc).....	13
<i>RenishawPLC v. Marposs Societa per Azioni</i> , 158 F.3d 1243, 1249 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	13
<i>VirnetX Inc. and Science Applications International Corporation v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:12cv855 (E.D. Tex.) .....	1
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:13cv211 (E.D. Tex.) .....	1
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:10cv417 (E.D. Tex.).....	1, 2, 17
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Mitel Networks Corp., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:11cv18(E.D. Tex.).....	2
<i>VirnetX Inc., and Science Applications International Corporation v. Microsoft Corporation</i> , Case No. 6:13cv351 (E.D. Tex.) .....	2
<i>VirnetX v. Microsoft Corporation</i> , Case No. 6:07 CV 80 (E.D. Tex. 2007) ....	16, 20
<i>York Prods., Inc. v. Central Tractor Farm &amp; Family Ctr.</i> , 99 F.3d 1568,1572 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	13

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. §102(b)..... 7, 26  
35 U.S.C. §301(a)(2), (d) ..... 14  
35 U.S.C. §317(b)..... 3

**Treatises**

18 Susan Bandes & Lawrence B. Solum, Moore's Federal Practice §134-30, at 134-63 (3d ed.1998)..... 14

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) ..... 1  
37 C.F.R. §42.100 (b)..... 13  
37 C.F.R. §42.15(a)..... 7

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.