Paper No. 1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC. Petitioner,

v.

VIRNETX, INC. AND SCIENCE APPLICATION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,921,211 Issued: April 5, 2011 Filed: August 17, 2007 Inventors: Victor Larson, *et al.* Title: AGILE NETWORK PROTOCOL FOR SECURE COMMUNICATIONS USING SECURE DOMAIN NAMES

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2013-00397

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | | NCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR A PETITION FOR RTES REVIEW | . 1 | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|-----|--|--|--|
| | A. | Certification the '211 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner 1 | | | | | |
| | B. | Fee f | Fee for Inter Partes Review (§ 42.15(a)) | | | | |
| | C. | Man | datory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b)) | . 3 | | | |
| | | 1. | Real Party in Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1)) | . 3 | | | |
| | | 2. | Other Proceedings (§ 42.8(b)(2)) | . 4 | | | |
| | | 3. | Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel | . 5 | | | |
| | | 4. | Service Information (§ 42.8(b)(4)) | . 6 | | | |
| | D. | Proo | f of Service (§§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a)) | . 6 | | | |
| II. | | DENTIFICATION OF CLAIMS BEING CHALLENGED § 42.104(B)) | | | | | |
| III. | RELEVANT INFORMATION CONCERNING THE CONTESTED PATENT | | | | | | |
| | A. | Effective Filing Date and Prosecution History of the '211 | | | | | |
| | В. | Perso | on of Ordinary Skill in the Art | . 8 | | | |
| | C. | Cons | struction of Terms Used in the Claims | . 9 | | | |
| | | 1. | Domain Name (Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 14-60) | . 9 | | | |
| | | 2. | Domain Name Service System (Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 14-60) | 10 | | | |
| | | 3. | Indication (Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 14-60) | 11 | | | |
| | | 4. | Secure Communication Link (Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 14-60) | 12 | | | |
| | | 5. | Secure Name (Claims 3, 24, 25, 48, and 49) | 15 | | | |
| IV. | PRECISE REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED 16 | | | | | | |
| | A. Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 14-60 Are Anticipated by Aventail 16 | | | | | | |
| | | 1. | Aventail Anticipates Claim 1 | 16 | | | |
| | | 2. | Aventail Anticipates Claim 36 | 20 | | | |
| | | 3. | Aventail Anticipates Claim 60 | 21 | | | |

| 4. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 2, 3, and 37 22 |
|-------|--|
| 5. | Aventail Anticipates Claim 5 |
| 6. | Aventail Anticipates Claim 6 |
| 7. | Aventail Anticipates Claim 7 |
| 8. | Aventail Anticipates Claim 824 |
| 9. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 14 and 38 25 |
| 10. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 15 and 39 25 |
| 11. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 16 and 40 27 |
| 12. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 17 and 41 30 |
| 13. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 18 and 42 30 |
| 14. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 19 and 43 31 |
| 15. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 20 and 44 32 |
| 16. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 21 and 45 |
| 17. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 22 and 46 |
| 18. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 23 and 47 34 |
| 19. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 24 and 48 35 |
| 20. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 25 and 49 35 |
| 21. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 26 and 50 |
| 22. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 27 and 51 37 |
| 23. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 28 and 52 |
| 24. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 29 and 53 39 |
| 25. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 30 and 54 40 |
| 26. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 31 and 55 41 |
| 27. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 32 and 56 42 |
| 28. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 33 and 57 42 |
| 29. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 34 and 58 43 |
| 30. | Aventail Anticipates Claims 35 and 59 44 |
| Ave | ntail In View of Beser Renders Obvious Claims 3, 31, 32, |
| 55, a | and 56 |

Β.

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211

| | | 1. | Dependent Claim 3 Would Have Been Obvious | 47 | | |
|----|-----|------------|---|----|--|--|
| | | 2. | Dependent Claims 31 and 55 Would Have Been Obvious | 47 | | |
| | | 3. | Dependent Claims 32 and 56 Would Have Been Obvious | 48 | | |
| | C. | | tail In View of a Person of Ordinary Skill Renders ous Claims 31, 32, 55, and 56 | 49 | | |
| V. | CON | CONCLUSION | | | | |

Attachment A. Proof of Service of the Petition

Attachment B. List of Evidence and Exhibits Relied Upon in Petition

I. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR A PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

A. Certification the '211 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner

Petitioner certifies that U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211 (the '211 patent) (Ex. 1001) is available for *inter partes* review. Petitioner certifies that it is not barred or estopped from requesting *inter partes* review of the claims of the '211 patent on the grounds identified in this Petition. Neither Petitioner, nor any party in privity with Petitioner, has filed a civil action challenging the validity of any claim of the '211 patent. The '211 patent has not been the subject of a prior *inter partes* review by Petitioner or a privy of Petitioner.

Petitioner also certifies this petition for *inter partes* review is filed within one year of the date of service of a complaint alleging infringement of a patent. Petitioner was served with a complaint alleging infringement of the '211 patent on **December 31, 2012**, which led to Civil Action No. 6:12-cv-00855-LED in the Eastern District of Texas. Ex. 1050. Because the date of this petition is less than one year from December 31, 2012, this petition complies with 35 U.S.C. § 315(b).

Petitioner notes it was served with a complaint asserting infringement of the '211 patent prior to December 31, 2012. Specifically, in Civ. Act. No: 6:10-cv-417 (the "2010 Litigation"), Petitioner was served on February 4, 2011 with an amended complaint asserting infringement of the '211 patent. Petitioner was served with the original complaint leading to the 2010 Litigation in August of

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.