

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

VIRNETX INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. et al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CASE NO. 6:10-CV-417

FINAL JUDGMENT

This action was tried by a jury with the undersigned presiding, and the jury has reached a verdict.

It is **ORDERED** that Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”) did not infringe the following claims:

- Claims 10 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,502,135;
- Claims 2 and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,759;
- Claims 36, 47, and 51 of U.S. Patent No. 7,418,504; and
- Claims 1, 8, 23, 27, and 31 of U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211.

It is further **ORDERED** that the following claims are not invalid:

- Claims 10 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,502, 135;
- Claims 2 and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,759;
- Claims 36, 47, and 51 of U.S. Patent No. 7,418,504; and
- Claims 1, 8, 23, 27, and 31 of U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211.

VIRNETX EXHIBIT 2008

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that Plaintiff VirnetX, Inc. take nothing from Cisco, that Cisco takes nothing of its invalidity counterclaims from VirnetX, Inc., and that all pending motions are **DENIED**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 19th day of March, 2013.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Leonard Davis', written over a horizontal line.

LEONARD DAVIS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE