[Docs] [txt pdf] [draft-ietf-aft-so...] [Diff1] [Diff2]

PROPOSED STANDARD

Network Working Group Request for Comments: 1928 Category: Standards Track M. Leech
Bell-Northern Research Ltd
M. Ganis
International Business Machines
Y. Lee
NEC Systems Laboratory
R. Kuris
Unify Corporation
D. Koblas
Independent Consultant
L. Jones
Hewlett-Packard Company
March 1996

SOCKS Protocol Version 5

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Acknowledgments

This memo describes a protocol that is an evolution of the previous version of the protocol, version 4 [1]. This new protocol stems from active discussions and prototype implementations. The key contributors are: Marcus Leech: Bell-Northern Research, David Koblas: Independent Consultant, Ying-Da Lee: NEC Systems Laboratory, LaMont Jones: Hewlett-Packard Company, Ron Kuris: Unify Corporation, Matt Ganis: International Business Machines.

1. Introduction

The use of network firewalls, systems that effectively isolate an organizations internal network structure from an exterior network, such as the INTERNET is becoming increasingly popular. These firewall systems typically act as application-layer gateways between networks, usually offering controlled TELNET, FTP, and SMTP access. With the emergence of more sophisticated application layer protocols designed to facilitate global information discovery, there exists a need to provide a general framework for these protocols to transparently and securely traverse a firewall.

Leech, et al

Standards Track

[Page 1]

RFC 1928

SOCKS Protocol Version 5

March 1996

There exists, also, a need for strong authentication of such traversal in as fine-grained a manner as is practical. This requirement stems from the realization that client-server relationships emerge between the networks of various organizations, and that such relationships need to be controlled and often strongly authenticated.

The protocol described here is designed to provide a framework for client-server applications in both the TCP and UDP domains to conveniently and securely use the services of a network firewall. The protocol is conceptually a "shim-layer" between the application layer and the transport layer, and as such does not provide network-layer gateway services, such as forwarding of ICMP messages.

2. Existing practice



applications, including TELNET, FTP and the popular information-discovery protocols such as ${\tt HTTP}$, WAIS and ${\tt GOPHER}$.

This new protocol extends the SOCKS Version 4 model to include UDP, and extends the framework to include provisions for generalized strong authentication schemes, and extends the addressing scheme to encompass domain-name and V6 IP addresses.

The implementation of the SOCKS protocol typically involves the recompilation or relinking of TCP-based client applications to use the appropriate encapsulation routines in the SOCKS library.

Note:

Unless otherwise noted, the decimal numbers appearing in packet-format diagrams represent the length of the corresponding field, in octets. Where a given octet must take on a specific value, the syntax X'hh' is used to denote the value of the single octet in that field. When the word 'Variable' is used, it indicates that the corresponding field has a variable length defined either by an associated (one or two octet) length field, or by a data type field.

3. Procedure for TCP-based clients

When a TCP-based client wishes to establish a connection to an object that is reachable only via a firewall (such determination is left up to the implementation), it must open a TCP connection to the appropriate SOCKS port on the SOCKS server system. The SOCKS service is conventionally located on TCP port 1080. If the connection request succeeds, the client enters a negotiation for the

Leech, et al

Standards Track

[Page 2]

RFC 1928

SOCKS Protocol Version 5

March 1996

authentication method to be used, authenticates with the chosen method, then sends a relay request. The SOCKS server evaluates the request, and either establishes the appropriate connection or denies it.

Unless otherwise noted, the decimal numbers appearing in packet-format diagrams represent the length of the corresponding field, in octets. Where a given octet must take on a specific value, the syntax X'hh' is used to denote the value of the single octet in that field. When the word 'Variable' is used, it indicates that the corresponding field has a variable length defined either by an associated (one or two octet) length field, or by a data type field.

The client connects to the server, and sends a version identifier/method selection message:

+	+	+	+	
VER	NMETHODS	METHODS		
1	1	1 to 255		

The VER field is set to X'05' for this version of the protocol. The NMETHODS field contains the number of method identifier octets that appear in the METHODS field.

The server selects from one of the methods given in METHODS, and sends a METHOD selection message:

VER	METHOD	
1 1	1	

If the selected METHOD is X'FF', none of the methods listed by the client are acceptable, and the client MUST close the connection.

The values currently defined for METHOD are:



עיחוי או אוויים אייייעש האייייט ספרוודס פר

- X'03' to X'7F' IANA ASSIGNED X'80' to X'FE' RESERVED FOR PRIVATE METHODS
- X'FF' NO ACCEPTABLE METHODS

The client and server then enter a method-specific sub-negotiation.

Leech, et al Standards Track [Page 3]

RFC 1928 SOCKS Protocol Version 5 March 1996

Descriptions of the method-dependent sub-negotiations appear in separate memos.

Developers of new METHOD support for this protocol should contact IANA for a METHOD number. The ASSIGNED NUMBERS document should be referred to for a current list of METHOD numbers and their corresponding protocols.

Compliant implementations MUST support GSSAPI and SHOULD support USERNAME/PASSWORD authentication methods.

Requests

Once the method-dependent subnegotiation has completed, the client sends the request details. If the negotiated method includes encapsulation for purposes of integrity checking and/or confidentiality, these requests MUST be encapsulated in the methoddependent encapsulation.

The SOCKS request is formed as follows:

- :	- :				DST.ADDR		۲
+ 1 +	÷	1	X'00'	1	Variable	2	

Where:

- protocol version: X'05' VER
- CMD CONNECT X'01' 0
 - o BIND X'02'
 - UDP ASSOCIATE X'03' 0
- RSV RESERVED O
- ATYP address type of following address o IP V4 address: X'01' ATYP

 - DOMAINNAME: X'03' IP V6 address: X'04'
- DST.ADDR desired destination address
- DST.PORT desired destination port in network octet

The SOCKS server will typically evaluate the request based on source and destination addresses, and return one or more reply messages, as appropriate for the request type.

Leech, et al Standards Track [Page 4]

RFC 1928 SOCKS Protocol Version 5 March 1996

5. Addressing

In an address field (DST.ADDR, BND.ADDR), the ATYP field specifies the type of address contained within the field:

the address is a version-4 IP address, with a length of 4 octets



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

octet of the address field contains the number of octets of name that follow, there is no terminating NUL octet.

o X'04'

the address is a version-6 IP address, with a length of 16 octets.

6. Replies

The SOCKS request information is sent by the client as soon as it has established a connection to the SOCKS server, and completed the authentication negotiations. The server evaluates the request, and returns a reply formed as follows:

_	VER	REP	RSV	ATYP	BND.ADDR	BND.PORT	_
_	1	1	X'00'	1	Variable	2	ļ

Where:

```
protocol version: X'05'
Reply field:
o REP
    o X'00' succeeded
o X'01' general SOCKS server failure
o X'02' connection not allowed by ruleset
        X'03' Network unreachable
X'04' Host unreachable
    0
    O
        X'05' Connection refused
        X'06' TTL expired
X'07' Command not supported
    O
    o X'08' Address type not supported
o X'09' to X'FF' unassigned
    RSV
              RESERVED
0
o ATYP
               address type of following address
```

Leech, et al

Standards Track

[Page 5]

RFC 1928

SOCKS Protocol Version 5

March 1996

- o IP V4 address: X'01' o DOMAINNAME: X'03'
- o IP V6 address: X'04'
- BND.ADDR
- server bound address server bound port in network octet order BND.PORT

Fields marked RESERVED (RSV) must be set to X'00'.

If the chosen method includes encapsulation for purposes of authentication, integrity and/or confidentiality, the replies are encapsulated in the method-dependent encapsulation.

CONNECT

In the reply to a CONNECT, BND.PORT contains the port number that the server assigned to connect to the target host, while BND.ADDR contains the associated IP address. The supplied BND.ADDR is often different from the IP address that the client uses to reach the SOCKS server, since such servers are often multi-homed. It is expected that the SOCKS server will use DST.ADDR and DST.PORT, and the client-side source address and port in evaluating the CONNECT request.

BIND

The BIND request is used in protocols which require the client to accept connections from the server. FTP is a well-known example, which uses the primary client-to-server connection for commands and status reports, but may use a server-to-client connection for transferring data on demand (e.g. LS, GET, PUT).

It is expected that the client side of an application protocol will use the BIND request only to establish secondary connections after a primary connection is established using CONNECT. In is expected that corver will use DCT ADD and DCT DODT in evaluating the RIND



Two replies are sent from the SOCKS server to the client during a BIND operation. The first is sent after the server creates and binds a new socket. The BND.PORT field contains the port number that the SOCKS server assigned to listen for an incoming connection. The BND.ADDR field contains the associated IP address. The client will typically use these pieces of information to notify (via the primary or control connection) the application server of the rendezvous address. The second reply occurs only after the anticipated incoming connection succeeds or fails.

Leech, et al

Standards Track

[Page 6]

RFC 1928

SOCKS Protocol Version 5 March 1996

In the second reply, the BND.PORT and BND.ADDR fields contain the address and port number of the connecting host.

UDP ASSOCIATE

The UDP ASSOCIATE request is used to establish an association within the UDP relay process to handle UDP datagrams. The DST.ADDR and DST.PORT fields contain the address and port that the client expects to use to send UDP datagrams on for the association. The server MAY use this information to limit access to the association. If the client is not in possession of the information at the time of the UDP ASSOCIATE, the client MUST use a port number and address of all zeros.

A UDP association terminates when the TCP connection that the UDP ${\tt ASSOCIATE}$ request arrived on terminates.

In the reply to a UDP ASSOCIATE request, the BND.PORT and BND.ADDR fields indicate the port number/address where the client MUST send UDP request messages to be relayed.

Reply Processing

When a reply (REP value other than X'00') indicates a failure, the SOCKS server MUST terminate the TCP connection shortly after sending the reply. This must be no more than 10 seconds after detecting the condition that caused a failure.

If the reply code (REP value of $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}00^{\prime}$) indicates a success, and the request was either a BIND or a CONNECT, the client may now start passing data. If the selected authentication method supports encapsulation for the purposes of integrity, authentication and/or confidentiality, the data are encapsulated using the method-dependent encapsulation. Similarly, when data arrives at the SOCKS server for the client, the server MVST encapsulate the data as appropriate for the authentication method in use.

7. Procedure for UDP-based clients

A UDP-based client MUST send its datagrams to the UDP relay server at the UDP port indicated by BND.PORT in the reply to the UDP ASSOCIATE request. If the selected authentication method provides encapsulation for the purposes of authenticity, integrity, and/or confidentiality, the datagram MUST be encapsulated using the appropriate encapsulation. Each UDP datagram carries a UDP request header with it:


Leech, et al Standards Track

[Page 7]

RFC 1928

SOCKS Protocol Version 5

March 1996





DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

