| 1 | RECORD OF ORAL HEARING |
|----|---|
| 2 | UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE |
| 3 | |
| 4 | BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | SEQUENOM, INC. |
| 8 | Petitioner |
| 9 | v. |
| 10 | THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND |
| 11 | STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY |
| 12 | Patent Owner |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Case IPR2013-00390 |
| 16 | Patent 8,195,415 B2 |
| 17 | Oral Hearing Held: Tuesday, August 5, 2014 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Before: LORA M. GREEN, FRANCISCO C. |
| 20 | PRATS, and SCOTT E. KAMHOLZ, Administrative Patent Judges |
| 21 | |
| 22 | The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on |
| 23 | Tuesday, August 5, 2014, at 2:01 p.m., Hearing Room A, at the |
| 24 | U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, |
| 25 | Alexandria Virginia |



Case IPR2013-00390 Patent 8,195,415

| 1 | APPEARANCES: |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER: |
| 3 | |
| 4 | MICHAEL J. WISE, ESQ. |
| 5 | Perkins Coie LLP |
| 6 | 1888 Century Park East |
| 7 | Suite 1700 |
| 8 | Los Angeles, California 90067-1721 |
| 9 | 310-788-3210 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | PATRICK MORRIS, Ph.D., ESQ. |
| 12 | Perkins Coie LLP |
| 13 | Four Embarcadero Center |
| 14 | Suite 2400 |
| 15 | San Francisco, California 94111-4131 |
| 16 | 415-344-7105 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | JOHN PETERSON, ESQ. |
| 19 | In-House Counsel |
| 20 | Sequenom, Inc. |
| 21 | 3595 Johns Hopkins Court |
| 22 | San Diego, California 92121 |
| 23 | 858-202-9000 |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |



Case IPR2013-00390 Patent 8,195,415

| 1 | APPEARANCES: |
|----|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: |
| 4 | |
| 5 | R. DANNY HUNTINGTON, ESQ. |
| 6 | SETH E. COCKRUM, Ph.D., ESQ. |
| 7 | SHARON E. CRANE, Ph.D. |
| 8 | Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C. |
| 9 | 607 14th Street, N.W. |
| 10 | Suite 800 |
| 11 | Washington, D.C. 20005 |
| 12 | 202-783-6040 |
| 13 | |
| 14 | MARCUS BURCH, ESQ. |
| 15 | Representative for Illumina, Inc. |
| 16 | 5200 Illumina Way |
| 17 | San Diego, California 92122 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |



| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|---|
| 2 | (2:01 p.m.) |
| 3 | JUDGE GREEN: Good afternoon. Please be seated. |
| 4 | Welcome, everybody. This is the final oral hearing for |
| 5 | IPR2013-00390, which involves Patent Number 8,195,415. |
| 6 | The Board instituted inter partes review in this |
| 7 | proceeding on December 9, 2013. |
| 8 | At this time we would like counsel to introduce |
| 9 | yourselves and your colleagues, beginning with Petitioner. |
| 10 | MR. WISE: Your Honor, my name is Michael Wise. I |
| 11 | am lead counsel for Petitioner, Sequenom. |
| 12 | With me is my partner, Patrick Morris, and my |
| 13 | client, John Peterson, is in-house counsel for Sequenom. |
| 14 | JUDGE GREEN: Thank you. Welcome to the Board. |
| 15 | Patent Owner? |
| 16 | MR. HUNTINGTON: Good afternoon, Your Honors. I |
| 17 | am Danny Huntington for the Patent Owner. I have with me at |
| 18 | counsel table Dr. Seth Cockrum, who is one of the other |
| 19 | attorneys in our office. |
| 20 | Behind me is Dr. Sharon Crane, who is backup |
| 21 | counsel for this case. And then Mr. Marcus Burch, who is a |
| 22 | representative for Illumina, which is a licensee of the |
| 23 | Stanford case. |
| 24 | JUDGE GREEN: Okay. Thank you very much. Welcome |
| 25 | to the Roard |



Case IPR2013-00390 Patent 8,195,415

| 1 | Consistent with our trial order, each party has 45 |
|-----|---|
| 2 | minutes to present their argument. Petitioner will proceed |
| 3 | first to present its case as to the challenged claims, and |
| 4 | you may reserve rebuttal time for the case. |
| 5 | Thereafter, Patent Owner will respond, and |
| 6 | Petitioner can reserve rebuttal time. |
| 7 | Counsel for Petitioner, do you have copies of the |
| 8 | demonstratives for the court reporter, opposing counsel and |
| 9 | the Panel? |
| 10 | MR. WISE: I do. |
| 11 | JUDGE GREEN: Thank you. |
| 12 | MR. WISE: I have already given one to the court |
| 13 | reporter. May I approach the Bench? |
| 14 | JUDGE GREEN: Yes, please. And then would you |
| 15 | like to reserve any time for rebuttal? |
| 16 | MR. WISE: I would, Your Honor. I would like to |
| 17 | reserve 10 minutes. |
| 18 | JUDGE GREEN: 10 minutes. Okay. Whenever you are |
| 19 | ready, you may proceed. |
| 20 | MR. WISE: All right. Demonstrative Exhibit 2 is |
| 21 | the cover page of the '415 patent. This is the patent |
| 22 | involving the IPR that was issued on June 9, 2012. It has a |
| 23 | provisional filing date of September 20, 2008. |
| 24 | The parties to this proceeding are no strangers to |
| 2.5 | each other. The inventors are Quake and Fan. The Patent |



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

