Paper No. _____
Filed: June 26, 2013

Filed on behalf of: Sequenom, Inc.
By: Steven P. O'Connor
Michele C. Bosch
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4413
Telephone: 202-408-4000
Facsimile: 202-408-4400
E-mail: steven.oconnor@finnegan.com
michele.bosch@finnegan.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SEQUENOM, INC.
Petitioner

v.

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF
THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY
Patent Owner

---

Patent 8,195,415

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,195,415**

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

## Table of Contents


Table of Authorites ..... iv

I. Introduction ..... 1

II. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 ..... 1

III. Payment of Fees Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(a) and 42.103 ..... 2

IV. Grounds for Standing ..... 3

V. Identification of Challenge ..... 3

VI. Background and Overview of the '415 Patent ..... 5

VII. Claim Construction ..... 8

A. Chromosome Portion ..... 9

B. Window and Sliding Window ..... 9

C. Sequence Tag Density ..... 11

D. Sequence Tag ..... 11

E. Massively Parallel Sequencing ..... 11

F. Mixed Sample ..... 12

VIII. Detailed Explanation of Grounds for Unpatentability Under the Broadest Reasonable Construction ..... 13

A. Ground 1: Claims 1-6 and 8-12 Are Anticipated by *Lo II* ..... 13

1. Claim 1 ..... 13

2. Claim 2 ..... 17

3. Claim 3 ..... 18

4. Claim 4 ..... 18

5. Claim 5 ..... 19



DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

6. Claim 6 ..... 19

7. Claim 8 ..... 19

8. Claim 9 ..... 20

9. Claim 10 ..... 20

10. Claim 11 ..... 21

11. Claim 12 ..... 22

B. Ground 2: Claim 7 Is Obvious over *Lo II*, *Hillier*, and/or *Smith* ..... 22

C. Ground 3: Claims 13 and 16 Are Obvious over *Lo II* and *Wang* ..... 24

1. Claim 13 ..... 24

2. Claim 16 ..... 30

D. Ground 4: Claim 14 Is Obvious over *Lo II*, *Shimkets*, and/or *Dohm* ..... 30

E. Ground 5: Claim 15 Is Obvious over *Lo II* and *Quake* ..... 31

F. Ground 6: Claim 17 Is Obvious over *Lo II*, *Wang*, *Hillier*, and/or *Smith* ..... 32

G. Ground 7: Claims 1-6 and 8-12 Are Obvious over *Lo II* and *Wang* ..... 34

H. Ground 8: Claim 7 Is Obvious over *Lo II*, *Wang*, *Hillier*, and/or *Smith* ..... 36

I. Ground 9: Claim 14 Is Obvious over *Lo II*, *Wang*, *Shimkets*, *and /or Dohm* ..... 36

J. Ground 10: Claim 15 Is Obvious over *Lo II*, *Wang*, and *Quake* ..... 37

K. Ground 11: Claims 1-6 and 8-12 Are Obvious over *Lo I* and *Shimkets* ..... 37

1. Claim 1 ..... 38

2. Claim 2 ..... 45

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

3. Claim 3 ..... 46
4. Claim 4 ..... 46
5. Claim 5 ..... 47
6. Claim 6 ..... 47
7. Claim 8 ..... 48
8. Claim 9 ..... 48
9. Claim 10 ..... 49
10. Claim 11 ..... 49
11. Claim 12 ..... 50

L. Ground 12: Claim 7 Is Obvious over *Lo I, Shimkets, Hillier,* and/or *Smith* ..... 50

M. Ground 13: Claims 13 and 16 Are Obvious over *Lo I, Shimkets,* and *Wang* ..... 51

1. Claim 13 ..... 51
2. Claim 16 ..... 58

N. Ground 14: Claim 14 Is Obvious over *Lo I, Shimkets,* and/or *Dohm* ..... 59

O. Ground 15: Claim 15 Is Obvious over *Lo I, Shimkets,* and *Quake* ..... 59

P. Ground 16: Claim 17 Is Obvious over *Lo I, Shimkets, Wang, Hillier,* and/or *Smith* ..... 60

IX. Conclusion ..... 60

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

## Table of Authorities

**Page(s)**

**FEDERAL CASES**

*SAP Am., Inc. v. Versada Dev. Group, Inc.*,
No. CBM2012-00001 (PTAB Jun. 11, 2013) ..... 8

*KSR International Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.*,
550 U.S. 398 (2007) ..... passim

*Ex parte Yamaguchi*,
No. 2007-4412, 2008 WL 4233306, at *9-11 (B.P.A.I. Aug. 29, 2008) ..... 37

**FEDERAL STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 102 ..... passim

35 U.S.C. § 103 ..... passim

**FEDERAL REGULATIONS**

37 C.F.R. § 42.8 ..... 1

37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a) ..... 2

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) ..... 8

37 C.F.R. § 42.103 ..... 2

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) ..... 3

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

**LAW FIRMS**
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

**FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

**E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.