Paper 15

Entered: January 14, 2014

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SEQUENOM, INC.
Petitioner

v.

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF
THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00390 Patent 8,195,415 B2

\_\_\_\_

Before LORA M. GREEN and FRANCISCO C. PRATS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

GREEN, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5



## I. Introduction

An initial conference call was held on Monday, January 6, 2014, among R. Danny Huntington, representing Patent Owner; Steven O'Connor, representing Petitioner; and Judges Green and Prats. A court reporter was present on the call, and a transcript was filed by Petitioner as an exhibit (Ex. 1013). <sup>1</sup>

## II. Scheduling Order

Patent Owner had some reservations regarding the scheduling order. In particular, Patent Owner noted that the instant proceeding is related to Interference 105,922, which is scheduled for hearing on February 25, 2014. Patent Owner noted that while patentability issues are technically not at issue in the interference, there may be some overlapping issues as to claim construction and Lo II. Patent Owner suggested that the Board may wish to modify the scheduling order to accommodate the hearing in the related interference.

Upon conferring with the Administrative Patent Judge who is managing the related interference, the Board sees no reason to modify the scheduling order at this time.

## III. Motions Lists

Patent Owner did not file a proposed motions list before the initial conference call. Petitioner's list (Paper 10) included a motion for the *pro hac vice* admission of Michael J. Malecek, which the Board noted was authorized in Paper 4. Petitioner also listed motions that are automatically authorized to be filed during the proceeding, such as motions for observations on cross-examination. We

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This order summarizes the statements made during the conference call. A more detailed record may be found in the transcript.



Case IPR2013-00390 Patent 8,195,415 B2

note that there is no need to include such motions in the list of proposed motions. As issues arise, the parties may contact the Board to discuss the filing of any additional motions.

For PETITIONER:
Steven P. O'Connor
Michele C. Bosch
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
steven.oconnor@finnegan.com
michele.bosch@finnegan.com

For PATENT OWNER:
R. Danny Huntington
Sharon E. Crane, Ph.D.
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.
<a href="mailto:dhuntington@rfem.com">dhuntington@rfem.com</a>
<a href="mailto:scrane@rfem.com">scrane@rfem.com</a>

