

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

New Bay Capital, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

VirnetX Inc.

Patent Owner.

---

IPR2013- 00376

Patent 7,490,151

Issue Date: Feb. 10, 2009

Title: ESTABLISHMENT OF A SECURE COMMUNICATION LINK BASED ON  
A DOMAIN NAME SERVICE (DNS) REQUEST

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,490,151**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	COMPLIANCE WITH FORMAL REQUIREMENTS .....	1
A.	REAL PARTIES-IN-INTEREST .....	1
B.	STANDING .....	1
C.	RELATED MATTERS.....	1
D.	NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL.....	4
E.	SERVICE INFORMATION.....	4
F.	PROOF OF SERVICE ON THE PATENT OWNER.....	4
II.	FEE.....	4
III.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED.....	5
IV.	FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	5
A.	Admitted Prior Art Domain Name Services.....	5
B.	“The Invention” .....	7
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	9
A.	Legal Standards .....	9
B.	Proposed Claim Constructions.....	11
VI.	FULL STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR CANCELLATION OF CLAIMS.....	20
A.	Claims 1 and 13 are Unpatentable Over Kiuchi.....	20
B.	Request 1 – Claims 1 and 13 are Obvious over Kiuchi.....	27
Ground 1.	Claim 1 is Obvious Over Kiuchi.....	32
Ground 2.	Claim 13 is Obvious Over Kiuchi.....	37

C. Request 2 – Claims 1 and 13 are Anticipated by Kiuchi.....	38
Ground 3. Claim 1 is Anticipated by Kiuchi.....	42
Ground 4. Claim 13 is Anticipated by Kiuchi.....	46
D. Request 3 – Claims 1 and 13 are Unpatentable over Dalton in view of Kiuchi .....	48
Ground 5. Claim 1 is Obvious in view of Dalton and Kiuchi.....	52
Ground 6. Claim 13 is Obvious in view of Dalton and Kiuchi.....	59
VII. CONCLUSION.....	60

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>AirCRAFT Medical LTD. v. Verathon Inc.</i> , Reexam. Control No. 95/000,161, Appeal 2012-007851, p. 16 (PTAB Dec. 11, 2012) .....	10
<i>Catalina Marketing Int’l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 298 F.3d 801, 808 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	15
<i>Data General Corp. v. Johnson</i> , 78 F.3d 1556, 1565 (Fed.Cir.1996) .....	10
<i>Garmin Int’l Inc. v.Cuozzo Speed Technologies, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00001, Paper 15 (PTAB, Jan. 9, 2013).....	9
<i>IMS Tech., Inc. v. Haas Automation, Inc.</i> , 206 F.3d 1422, 1434 (Fed. Cir. 2000) .	15
<i>In re Morris</i> , 127 F.3d 1048, 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	10
<i>Key Pharmaceuticals v. Hercon Laboratories Corp.</i> , 161 F.3d 709, 715, 48 USPQ2d 1911, 1916 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	10
<i>KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398, 401; 127 S.Ct. 1727, 173 (2007)..	31
<i>Multiform Desiccants, Inc. v. Medzam, Ltd.</i> , 133 F.3d 1473, 1477 (Fed. Cir.1998)	9
<i>RenishawPLC v. Marposs Societa per Azioni</i> , 158 F.3d 1243, 1249 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	9
<i>Sakraida v. Ag Pro, Inc.</i> , 425 U.S. 273, 282, 96 S.Ct. 1532 (1976).....	31
<i>Storage Tech. Corp. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 329 F.3d 823, 831 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	15
<i>VirnetX Inc. et al. v. Microsoft Corporation</i> , Case No. 6:13cv351 (E.D. Tex.).....	2
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:13cv211 (E.D. Tex.) .....	1
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:10cv417 .....	12, 13
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:10cv417 (E.D. Tex.).....	1
<i>VirnetX Inc., et al. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:12cv855 (E.D. Tex.) .....	1
<i>VirnetX v. Microsoft Corporation</i> , Case No. 6:07 CV 80 .....	13
<i>Virnetx v. Microsoft Corporation</i> , Case No. 6:07 CV 80 (E.D. Tex. 2007).....	12

*VirnetX v. Microsoft Corporation*, Case No. 6:07 CV 80 (E.D. Tex. 2007) ..... 19

*York Prods., Inc. v. Central Tractor Farm & Family Ctr.*, 99 F.3d 1568,1572 (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 9

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. §102(b)..... 5, 21, 48

35 U.S.C. §103(a)..... 5

35 U.S.C. §301(a)(2),(d) ..... 10

**Treatises**

18 Susan Bandes & Lawrence B. Solum, *Moore's Federal Practice* § 134-30, at 134-63 (3d ed.1998) ..... 10

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. §42.100 (b)..... 9

37 C.F.R. §42.15(a)..... 5

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.