1

```
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                         TYLER DIVISION
 3
                                    Civil Docket No.
   VIRNETX
 4
                                    6:07-CV-80
   VS.
                                    Tyler, Texas
 5
                                    March 9, 2010
 6
   MICROSOFT CORPORATION
                                    9:00 A.M.
 7
                    TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
            BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE LEONARD DAVIS
 8
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
 9
10
11
   APPEARANCES:
12
   FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
                           MR. DOUGLAS CAWLEY
                           MR. BRADLEY CALDWELL
13
                           MR. JASON D. CASSADY
                           MR. LUKE MCLEROY
                           McKool-Smith
14
                           300 Crescent Court
15
                           Suite 1500
                           Dallas, TX
                                        75201
16
                           MR. ROBERT M. PARKER
17
                           Parker, Bunt & Ainsworth
                           100 East Ferguson
18
                           Suite 1114
                           Tyler, TX
                                       75702
19
20
   APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE:
21
   COURT REPORTERS:
                          MS. SUSAN SIMMONS, CSR
                           Ms. Judith Werlinger, CSR
23
                           Official Court Reporters
                           100 East Houston, Suite 125
24
                                          75670
                           Marshall, TX
                           903/935-3868
   (Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,
   transcript produced on CAT system.)
```



```
1
   APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2
   FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                          MR. MATTHEW POWERS
3
                          MR. JARED BOBROW
                          MR. PAUL EHRLICH
 4
                          MR. THOMAS KING
                          MR. ROBERT GERRITY
5
                          Weil Gotshal & Manges
                          201 Redwood Shores Parkway
 6
                          5th Floor
                          Redwood City, CA
                                               94065
 7
                          MS. ELIZABETH WEISWASSER
 8
                          MR. TIM DeMASI
                          Weil Gotshal & Manges
9
                           767 Fifth Avenue
                          New York, NY
                                          10153
10
                          MR. DANIEL BOOTH
11
                          Weil Gotshal & Manges
                          700 Louisiana
12
                          Suite 1600
                          Houston, TX
                                         77002
13
                          MR. RICHARD SAYLES
14
                          MR. MARK STRACHAN
                          Sayles Werbner
15
                          1201 Elm Street
                           4400 Renaissance Tower
16
                          Dallas, TX
                                        75270
17
                          MR. ERIC FINDLAY
                          Findlay Craft
18
                          6760 Old Jacksonville Highway
                          Suite 101
19
                           Tyler, TX
                                       75703
20
                                   *
21
                        PROCEEDINGS
2.2
                   (Jury out.)
23
                  COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Please rise.
24
                  THE COURT: Please be seated.
25
                  All right. I understand the parties have
```



```
a matter or two before we bring the jury in.
1
                  MR. SAYLES: Yes, Your Honor. If it
 2
3
  please the Court, Dick Sayles for Microsoft.
 4
                  Your Honor, this afternoon a witness to
5
  be called is Mr. Brett Reed, who is the Plaintiff's
6
   damages expert. I'll be cross-examining him.
7
                  And one of the issues related to his
  testimony is some approximately 80 exhibits to which
8
9
  Microsoft cannot agree to admissibility.
                  And, Your Honor, in keeping with the --
10
11
  the spirit of how matters such as this are handled in
  this district, we have agreed in every respect where we
13
  can agree.
14
                  We're not asking that they establish a
  business records predicate or any sort of a thing like
  that. These objections to these particular exhibits go
16
  to the -- the heart of their damage model.
                  And the nature of the exhibits is the
18
  same objections that I brought forward in the motion to
19
20
  strike Mr. Reed and -- and that was denied at pretrial,
   and -- and the motion in limine and the motion
21
  concerning the entire market value, and those were all
22
23
   denied.
24
                  And having the experience of -- of been
25
  around for a while, I know that a pretrial ruling of
```

```
that nature doesn't preserve anything. Microsoft is
  very intent on preserving its position and its
2
  objections with regard to these particular damages that
  it believes are irrelevant financial data not tied to
4
5
  the demand for the technology at issue.
6
                  The -- the problem is that there are some
7
  80 of these. And if they were handled one by one and
  tendered by the Plaintiff and objected to on a
8
  one-by-one basis, it would obviously take a long time to
9
10
   do that.
                  I have spoken with Jason Cassady for the
11
  Plaintiff at some length. We have prepared lists of the
  exhibits that they intend to tender in evidence. And
13
14
  when they do, we have written out what our objections to
  those exhibits are. And we in no way wish to diminish
  the importance of those objections.
16
17
                  We do not wish to waive those objections,
18
  but we do want to suggest to the Court or discuss with
19
  the Court a convenient and realistic manner in which to
  handle those important objections to these exhibits.
20
21
                  And similarly, the Plaintiffs have
  properly disclosed to us last night demonstratives that
23
  they intend to use with Mr. Reed. And as you might
   imagine, those demonstrative aids are based upon the
24
25
  underlying documents to which we object, and, therefore,
```

```
we have the same objection to those demonstratives.
  And we have prepared numbered sets of those. And I
  don't think this morning is necessarily the time to go
 4
   into this in great detail, although if that were the
   Court's wish, we're prepared to.
5
6
                  But I wanted to speak with the Court this
7
  morning to offer as a possible solution that the
  Plaintiff has agreed that they will tender their
  exhibits in a written list to which we have our written
9
10
   objections, which we can provide to the Court in advance
   of this afternoon and obtain a ruling.
11
12
                  There are only two exhibits which we have
  separated out of that that have unique, special
13
14
   objections to them.
                        And those would not take long.
15
                  But I wanted to bring that up with the
  Court, because I expect we want to move this case along.
16
  And when we get to Mr. Reed this afternoon, I know that
18
  Your Honor will want to keep things moving. And so
19
  that's the issue.
20
                  And if -- if the Court would indulge us
21
   and permit us to handle it in a manner that I have
   suggested, we'll work together to do that.
23
                  THE COURT: And you're basically wanting
24
  to make a record on these; is that --
25
                  MR. SAYLES: I definitely want to make a
```

DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

