1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2 TYLER DIVISION 3 VIRNETX, INC. 4 DOCKET NO. 6:10cv417 -vs-5 Tyler, Texas) 8:52 a.m. APPLE, INC. November 1, 2012 6 7 8 TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL MORNING SESSION 9 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONARD DAVIS, UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY 10 11 12 APPEARANCES 13 14 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: 15 MR. DOUGLAS CAWLEY 16 MR. BRADLEY W. CALDWELL MR. JASON D. CASSADY 17 MR. JOHN AUSTIN CURRY McKOOL SMITH 300 Crescent Court, Ste. 1500 Dallas, TX 75201 19 20 21 COURT REPORTERS: MS. JUDITH WERLINGER MS. SHEA SLOAN 22 shea sloan@txed.uscourts.gov 23 Proceedings taken by Machine Stenotype; transcript was produced by a Computer. 25



```
1 FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 2 MR. ROBERT M. PARKER
   MR. ROBERT CHRISTOPHER BUNT
 3 PARKER BUNT & AINSWORTH
   100 East Ferguson, Ste. 1114
   Tyler, TX 75702
 5
 6
 7
 8
    FOR THE DEFENDANT:
 9
   MR. DANNY L. WILLIAMS
10 MR. TERRY D. MORGAN
   MR. RUBEN S. BAINS
11 MR. CHRIS CRAVEY
   MR. MATT RODGERS
12 MR. DREW KIM
   MR. SCOTT WOLOSON
13 WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.
   10333 Richmond, Ste. 1100
14 Houston, TX 77042
15
   MR. ERIC ALBRITTON
16 MR. STEPHEN E. EDWARDS
   MS. DEBRA COLEMAN
17 MR. MATTHEW C. HARRIS
   ALBRITTON LAW FIRM
18 P.O. Box 2649
   Longview, TX 75606
19
20 MR. JOHN M. DESMARAIS
   MR. MICHAEL P. STADNICK
21 DESMARAIS, LLP - NEW YORK
    230 Park Avenue
22 New York, NY 10169
23
24
25
```



```
1
                    PROCEEDINGS
 2
                  (Jury out.)
 3
                  COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
 4
                  THE COURT: Please be seated.
                  All right. I understand there's a matter
 5
   before we bring the jury in; is that correct?
                  MR. ALBRITTON: Your Honor, there may be
   a couple. I have just one very minor housekeeping
 9 matter I wanted to raise with you.
10
                  This offer of proof issue --
                  THE COURT: Uh-huh.
11
12
                  MR. ALBRITTON: -- what I would propose,
13 if it's okay with the Court, is that by tomorrow evening
14 we can actually file a written offer of proof with the
15 witnesses and the testimony and the exhibits, as opposed
16 to taking up the Court's time actually calling people
17 live, if that's --
                  THE COURT: And this is the offer of
18
19 proof regarding?
20
                  MR. ALBRITTON: On the re-exam issues,
21 Your Honor.
22
                  THE COURT: Okay. Any objection to that?
23
                  MR. CURRY: We have no objections to the
24 procedure.
25
                  THE COURT: All right.
```



```
1
                  MR. ALBRITTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
                  We also have some issues related to the
   briefing on inducement. The briefs that the -- that
   were filed, it's going to come up with Dr. Jones. Based
   on one of his slides, we understand he's going to offer
   some opinions in that regard, and so we thought it might
   make sense for the Court to take those issues up.
                  THE COURT: All right. I've taken a look
   at that, and I -- well, let me just hear from each side,
   and then I'll tell what you I think.
11
                  Go ahead.
12
                  And I've read the briefs and the cases
   and everything, so I don't need a whole lot of
13
   discussion; but just sort of what your main point is
   regarding the testimony.
15
16
                  MR. CRAVEY: Thank you, Your Honor. May
   it please the Court. Chris Cravey, on behalf of Apple.
17
18
                  As Your Honor just stated, the basic
19
   issue that we take with Dr. Jones' report and his
   demonstratives now is that they articulate the wrong
21 standard for inducement.
22
                  Specifically with respect to the
23 knowledge prong of inducement, they have -- they want to
24 put forth a negligence standard, knew or should have
```

25 known of the acts that constitute infringement. And the



- 1 Supreme Court in the Global-Tech case has specifically
- 2 held that that standard no longer applies; and that with
- 3 respect to the knowledge prong, you either have to have
- 4 actual knowledge.
- 5 And the one exception the Supreme Court
- 6 now makes for that actual knowledge is willful
- 7 blindness.
- 8 And so respect to the negligence
- 9 standard, the Supreme Court specifically recognized that
- 10 negligence standard of being out there and rejected it
- 11 in favor of this willful blindness standard. And in
- 12 fact, the Supreme Court said in their opinion that the
- 13 willful blindness standard is one that surpasses. In
- 14 other words, it is above the negligence standard.
- In fact, the Supreme Court said that the
- 16 willful blindness standard is one that surpasses
- 17 recklessness, which we know is above negligence.
- 18 THE COURT: Well, what -- what --
- 19 specifically what testimony and demonstratives are you
- 20 objecting to, or that you have filed your -- I guess
- 21 it's a motion in limine, right?
- MR. CRAVEY: Yes, Your Honor. And I've
- 23 got two demonstratives here I can show the Court.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.
- 25 MR. CRAVEY: Okay. This is the first



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

