## FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

1425 K Street, N.W. 11th Floor Washington, DC 20005

Frederick P. Fish 1855-1930

VIA EMAIL

Telephone 202 783-5070

W.K. Richardson 1859-1951 February 24, 2014

Facsimile 202 783-2331

Mr. John S. Kern Mr. Robert C. Mattson Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, L.L.P.

Web Site www.fr.com

1940 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314

#### SERVICE OF SIGNED TRANSCRIPT AND ERRATA FOR THE DEPOSITION OF RICHARD C. JUERGENS

ATLANTA

Re:

Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,348,575

Case No .:

IPR2013-00363

Our Ref.: AUSTIN

24984-0056IP2

BOSTON DALLAS

Dear Messrs. Kern and Mattson:

DELAWARE

HOUSTON

MUNICH

NEW YORK

SILICON VALLEY

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

TWIN CITIES

WASHINGTON, DC

Attached are the signed transcript and errata for the deposition of Richard C. Juergens on February 13, 2014 in IPR2013-00363 and the following documents that Mr. Juergens refers to in his errata:

- A Code V file provided to Mr. Juergens by Fish & Richardson prior to 1. his declaration;
- 2. The Mann application as filed with the USPTO.

If you have any questions, please let us know.

Sincerely,

/Edward G. Faeth/

Edward G. Faeth Patent Paralegal

40953642.doc

**ZEISS 1135** Zeiss v. Nikon



#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 42.6(e)(4) and 42.205(b), the undersigned certifies that on February 24, 2014, complete and entire copies of the transmittal letter, Signed Deposition Transcript and Errata of Richard C. Juergens, a Code V file provided to Mr. Juergens by Fish & Richardson prior to preparing his declaration, and the Mann application as filed with the USPTO were provided via email to the Petitioner by serving the correspondence email address of record as follows:

Mr. John S. Kern Mr. Robert C. Mattson Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, L.L.P. 1940 Duke Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Email: <u>CPdocketKern@oblon.com</u> Email: <u>CPdocketMattson@oblon.com</u>

/Edward G. Faeth/

Edward G. Faeth Fish & Richardson P.C. 60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 (202) 626-6420

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

-----

CARL ZEIS SMT GMBH :

Petitioner : Case IPR2013-00363

v. : Patent 7,348,575

NIKON CORPORATION :

Patent Owner :

-----:

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY DEPOSITION OF RICHARD C. JUERGENS

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2014 9:02 AM

FISH & RICHARDSON One Marina Park Drive Boston, Massachusetts 02210

Sandra A. Deschaine, CSR, RPR, CLR, CRA

		*			
-	1	APPEARANCES		2	2
	2				
	3	ON BEHALF OF NIKON CORPORATION:			
	4	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.:			
	5	John Kern, Esquire			
	6	Robert Mattson, Esquire			
	7	1940 Duke Street	t.		
	8	Alexandria, Virginia 22314 U.S.A.		*	
	9	T. 703.412.3000 F. 703.413.2200	*	40	
	10	jkern@oblon.com	746	ž.	
	11	rmattson@oblon.com			
	12 13	ON BEHALF OF CARL ZEISS SMT GMBH: FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.	ž.		
	14	Kurt Glitzenstein, Esquire			
	15	Marc Wefers, Ph.D., Esquire			
	16	One Marina Park Drive			
	17	Boston, Massachusetts 02210			
	18	T. 617.542.5070 F. 617.542.8906			
	19	glitzenstein@fr.com			
	20	wefers@fr.com			
	21	ä			
	22				
	23	e = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =			
	24				

# Capital Reporting Company Juergens, Richard C. 02-13-2014

				3
1		INDEX		Ş
2	WITNESSES:		PAGE	
3	Richard C. Ju	ergens		
4	By Mr. Zer	rn	4/114	
5	By Mr. Gl	itzenstein	110	
6				
7		EXHIBITS		
8	EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	- (
9	Exhibit 2001	International		
10		Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors	8	
11				
12				
13				
14	(Exhibits ret	ained by Attorney Kern.	)	
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1	PROCEEDINGS		4	
2	RICHARD C. JUERGENS, Deponent,			
3	having first been satisfactorily identified			
4	by the production of his Arizona driver's			
5	license and duly sworn by the Notary Public,			
, 6	was examined and testified as follows:			
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION			
8	Q. Good morning, Mr Juergens.			
9	A. Good morning.			
10	Q. Do you know why you're here today?			
11	A. Yes.			
12	Q. Are you here for are you here			
13	as an expert witness for Karl Zeiss?			
14	A. Yes, I am.			
15	Q. Are you here for Inter Partes			
16	Review IPR2013-00363?			
17	A. Yes.			
18	Q. Is that involving U.S. Patent			
19	Number 7,348,575.			
20	A. Yes.			
21	Q. I'd like to ask you a few			
22	questions about your background to start off			
23	the day.			
24	A. Okay.			

- 1 Q. Would you please begin by telling
- 2 me about your education.
- 3 A. I have a Bachelor's degree in
- 4 Physics from California State College of
- 5 Fullerton, and that is in Fullerton,
- 6 California, and I have a Master's degree in
- 7 Physics from University of California,
- 8 Irvine.
- 9 Q. And did your studies in physics
- 10 focus on optics?
- 11 A. They did not.
- 12 Q. Would you please tell me where you
- 13 learned about lithography technology?
- 14 A. I picked it up over the course of
- 15 my career. On-the-job training, you might
- 16 say.
- 17 Q. Can you give me some examples of
- 18 on-the-job training?
- 19 A. My actual employment has never
- 20 really impinged upon microlithography. Where
- 21 I learned about the techniques of
- 22 microlithography were from conferences that I
- 23 attended where I have listened to papers and
- 24 talks, and from work that I've done for Fish

- 1 & Richardson.
- 2 Q. So just for clarity, have you
- 3 worked at any time as a lens designer in
- 4 microlithography?
- 5 A. Well, there's two questions in
- 6 there.
- 7 I have worked as a lens designer,
- 8 but I have not worked as a lens designer
- 9 specifically in microlithography.
- 10 Q. So would you consider then
- 11 microlithography more of a hobby than a
- 12 profession?
- 13 A. Optics is my profession, and
- 14 microlithography is part of that profession.
- 15 Q. I see.
- 16 Do you have any publications in
- 17 the field of microlithography?
- 18 A. Not specifically in the field of
- 19 lithography, no.
- Q. Do you have any publications in
- 21 the field of optics generally?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Can you list those or just
- 24 reference them generally?

# Capital Reporting Company Juergens, Richard C. 02-13-2014

		7
1	A. They are listed in my CV, which is	
2	one of the exhibits submitted in this	1
3	discussion.	A A
4	Q. Fair enough.	
5	Do you know what the acronym ITRS	
6	stands for?	
7	A. No, I do not.	
8	Q. Would you agree that it stands for	
9	the International Technology Roadmap of	
10	Semiconductors?	
11	MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,	
12	foundation.	
13	THE WITNESS: I would accept	
14	that.	
15	BY MR. KERN:	
16	Q. Do you know what a technology node	
17	is in the context of resolution?	
18	A. I've never come across that exact	
19	term, no.	
20	Q. Say, for example, a half pitch of	
21	90 nanometers, does that help your	
22	understanding?	
23	A. A half pitch.	
24	Q. A half pitch of 90 nanometers as	

8 an example of a technology node. A. No, that does not help. Q. Would it help if I had told you that it was related to the resolution of a rejection lens system? A. It does not help much, no. MR. KERN: I'd like to introduce a 8 document and mark it Nikon 2101. 9 (Exhibit No. 2001, International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors, marked for 10 11 identification.) 12 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: 2001. MR. KERN: 2101 corresponds to 13 your Zeiss exhibits. 14 15 (Off-the-record discussion.) 16 BY MR. KERN: Q. Could you read the title of this 18 document, Mr. Juergens? A. It is called the International 19 20 Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors. Q. And it's the 2003 Edition, 21 22 Lithography? A. Yes. 23

Q. And are you familiar with this

- 1 publication?
- 2 A. I am not.
- 3 Q. Would it surprise you to learn
- 4 that this publication is published every
- 5 year?
- 6 A. It would not surprise me.
- 7 Q. Would it surprise you to learn
- 8 that this publication sets forth the industry
- 9 expectations for the future of semiconductor
- 10 devices?
- 11 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 12 foundation.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I accept that.
- 14 BY MR. KERN:
- 15 Q. So could you please turn to Page
- 16 16 of this document and look at Figure 53.
- 17 What is the title of the graph shown in
- 18 Figure 53.
- 19 A. It's labeled, "Lithography
- 20 Exposure Tool Potential Solutions."
- Q. And do you see the years across
- 22 the top of the graph?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And what is the range of the years

- 1 shown on the top of the graph?
- 2 A. It starts at 2003 and goes to
- 3 2019.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 And the next line below that is
- 6 labeled "Technology Node."
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And can you read the range of half
- 10 pitches shown corresponding to the years 2003
- 11 through 2019 shown in that row?
- 12 A. Okay. It starts under 2004. It
- 13 says, "hp90."
- 14 And then under 2019 it lists
- 15 hp16."
- 16 Q. So what is your understanding of a
- 17 half pitch in nanometers?
- 18 A. I would assume that this is the
- 19 half spacing between two individual, separate
- 20 lines.
- 21 Q. And what is your understanding of
- 22 a graphic, such as the one shown in Figure
- 23 53, showing a half pitch changing from -- a
- 24 half pitch of 90 nanometers in 2004 to a half

- 1 pitch of 60 nanometers in 2019?
- 2 A. Could you clarify what the
- 3 question is?
- 4 Q. Sure. What is your understanding
- 5 of this graphic when it indicates that a half
- 6 pitch is 90 in year 2004 and is being reduced
- 7 year by year to a half pitch of 16 in 2019?
- MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 9 foundation.
- 10 THE WITNESS: The graph appears to
- indicate that over time, between 2004
- and 2019, that the half pitch will be
- 13 steadily decreasing from 90 to 16.
- 14 BY MR. KERN:
- 15 Q. So do you understand that this
- 16 graph is showing the industry expectation for
- 17 resolution moving forward from 2003 past,
- 18 present into the future?
- 19 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 20 foundation.
- 21 THE WITNESS: That's what it
- 22 appears to be, yes.
- 23 BY MR. KERN:
- 24 O. So based on the 2003 ITRS

- 1 document, would you say that it's reasonable
- 2 that it was the plan for the semiconductor
- 3 industry to achieve better resolution in the
- 4 future?
- 5 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 6 foundation.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I could not answer
- 8 that since I have not read this
- 9 document.
- 10 BY MR. KERN:
- 11 Q. Fair enough.
- 12 Per our prior discussions, do you
- 13 agree that resolution is an important design
- 14 feature of a projection lens?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And without having the benefit of
- 17 reading this document and looking at the
- 18 graphs shown in Figure 3, would you agree
- 19 that the graph -- I'm sorry, scratch that,
- 20 53, would you agree that the graph shown in
- 21 Figure 53 is at least indicating that, in the
- 22 future, expectations are that the half pitch
- 23 will be reduced?
- 24 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,

### Capital Reporting Company Juergens, Richard C. 02-13-2014

13 form, foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. KERN: Are you aware of some of the 0. leading technologies in lithography at the 6 time of filing the '575 Patent? A. Is this question, Am I aware of 8 the technology at that time, or the question 9 is, Was I aware at the time of the filing? Q. At the time of filing, did you 10 11 just list some of the leading technologies in 12 microlithography? Roughly 2003. A. About that time is when immersion 13 14 technology was being applied to lithography, 15 and catadioptric technology was also being 16 applied to lithography. Q. When you say "applied," were they 17 18 leading technologies in the field at that 19 time, or were they still in their design 20 phase? 21 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection, 22 form. THE WITNESS: They were probably 23 24 still in their design phase.

- 1 BY MR. KERN:
- 2 Q. And what about EUV lithography,
- 3 would you agree that was available in 2003
- 4 and a leading technology?
- 5 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 6 form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: That was not
- 8 available back in 2003.
- 9 BY MR. KERN:
- 10 Q. What about 157 nanometer
- 11 lithography, was that a leading technology in
- 12 2003?
- 13 A. I would say yes.
- 14 Q. Fair enough.
- 15 Have you personally designed any
- 16 reduction projection lens for lithography?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Have you managed the design of a
- 19 projection lens for photolithography?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. So I want to look at Exhibit 1129,
- 22 and that's your CV.
- Could you look at the second
- 24 paragraph and refresh your recollection of

- 1 that paragraph?
- 2 (Witness reviewing document.)
- A. Okay.
- 4 O. For the record, could you please
- 5 read aloud the last full sentence in that
- 6 paragraph?
- 7 A. "He worked eleven years at Optical
- 8 Research Associates, the suppliers of CODE V,
- 9 and went around the world giving seminars,
- 10 lectures, and technology support on how to
- 11 use CODE V effectively for design and
- 12 analysis of all kinds of optical systems
- 13 including lithography systems."
- 14 O. So is it the intention of that
- 15 last sentence to imply that you designed
- 16 lithographic systems while at Optical
- 17 Research Associates?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. I want to ask you about some of
- 20 the authors of several articles and lens
- 21 designers and whether you're familiar with
- 22 their work or them personally.
- 23 Are you familiar with Mr. Willi
- 24 Ulrich in the field of lithography?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You're personally familiar with
- 3 him?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you are familiar with his
- 6 works as far as publications?
- 7 A. I'm familiar with some of his
- 8 works. I would not say I'm familiar with all
- 9 of them.
- 10 Q. Fair enough.
- 11 Are you familiar with Mr. Ulrich's
- 12 design in the field of optical lens design,
- 13 particularly related to lithography?
- 14 A. I'm only familiar with his designs
- 15 that have been expressed or shown in the
- 16 patents that I've been working with Fish &
- 17 Richardson on.
- 18 Q. Fair enough.
- 19 How about the same questions with
- 20 regard to Mr. David Williamson? Are you
- 21 familiar with Mr. Williamson?
- 22 A. I am.
- Q. Are you personally familiar with
- 24 Mr. Williamson?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Are you with familiar any of Mr.
- 3 Williamson's publications?
- 4 A. I have heard him give some talks
- 5 at conferences. I could not now tell you
- 6 what they are on, other than probably touched
- 7 on lithographic topics. But I would not say
- 8 that I'm intimately familiar with his
- 9 complete history of publications.
- 10 Q. And Mr. Williamson is a lens
- 11 designer in the field of lithography; is that
- 12 accurate?
- 13 A. Yes, he is.
- 14 Q. Are you familiar with any of
- 15 Mr. Williamson's optical designs in the field
- 16 of lithography?
- 17 A. No, I'm not.
- 18 Q. Same questions with regards to a
- 19 Mr. David Shafer.
- 20 Do you know Mr. David Shafer?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. And do you know him personally?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And are you familiar with

- 1 Mr. Shafer's publications or a subset of his
- 2 publications?
- 3 A. I am familiar with his -- with
- 4 some of his publications. I actually have a
- 5 complete set of his publications, but I have
- 6 not read all of them.
- 7 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 8 And Mr. David Shafer is an optical
- 9 lens designer in the field of lithography?
- 10 A. Yes, he is.
- 11 Q. And are you familiar with some or
- 12 all of Mr. Shafer's optical designs in
- 13 lithography?
- 14 A. I'm familiar with some of them.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 What about the same questions for
- 17 Mr. Yashuhiro Omura. Are you familiar with
- 18 Mr. Omura?
- 19 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. And do you know him personally?
- 21 A. I have met Mr. Omura personally,
- 22 yes.
- Q. And are you familiar with some or
- 24 all of Mr. Omura's publications in the field

- 1 of lithography?
- 2 A. Only through the patents that I've
- 3 seen in conjunction with this task.
- 4 Q. Fair enough.
- 5 Would you agree that Mr. Omura is
- 6 an optical lens designer in
- 7 microlithography?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Are you familiar with all or some
- 10 of Mr. Omura's optical designs in
- 11 lithography?
- 12 A. Only as shown in his patents.
- 13 Q. In the '575 Patent?
- 14 A. In particular, yes.
- 15 Q. And you also studied the similar
- 16 continuation in the '870 patent?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Are there any other patents of
- 19 Mr. Omura that you studied?
- 20 A. There is another patent that we
- 21 are using as an example of prior art to his
- 22 '575 Patent.
- Q. Okay. In this proceeding?
- 24 A. In this proceeding.

- 1 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 2 Are you familiar with Mr. Warner
- 3 Tabarelli?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. So you never met Mr. Warner
- 6 Tabarelli?
- 7 A. I have not.
- 8 Q. Do you agree that Mr. Warner
- 9 Tabarelli is an optical lens designer?
- 10 A. I could not say of my own
- 11 knowledge.
- 12 Q. Are you aware of any publications
- 13 by Mr. Tabarelli?
- 14 A. I am aware of a publication that
- 15 he has that describes the use of immersion
- 16 fluids.
- 17 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 18 Are you familiar with all or some
- 19 of Mr. Tabarelli's optical designs in the
- 20 field of lithography?
- 21 A. No, I'm not.
- 22 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 23 Do you consider the people I just
- 24 mentioned to be experts in the field of

- 1 optical design for projection lenses and
- 2 microlithography?
- 3 A. With the exception of Tabarelli,
- 4 whom I do not know, I would say yes.
- 5 Q. But you are familiar with
- 6 Mr. Tabarelli's work in the form of his
- 7 patent?
- 8 A. Yes, but that work was not related
- 9 to optical design. That was related to
- 10 immersion fluids.
- 11 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 12 Would you consider those persons
- 13 to be persons of ordinary skill in the art?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Can you tell me about your main
- 16 responsibilities at Optical Research
- 17 Associates?
- 18 A. I was in the marketing and
- 19 customer support group, and I did technical
- 20 support through faxes, e-mails, and
- 21 telephonically to customers on CODE V. I
- 22 gave seminars on the use of CODE V, both at
- 23 the -- beginning, and intermediate, or
- 24 advanced levels, and I went almost literally

- 1 around the world visiting various countries
- 2 giving technical support, doing marketing,
- 3 and giving seminars on CODE V.
- 4 Q. And during your employment at ORA,
- 5 or Optical Research Associates, did you ever
- 6 lecture Nikon?
- 7 A. I have visited Nikon several
- 8 times, yes.
- 9 Q. And that was Nikon in Japan?
- 10 A. In Japan, yes.
- 11 Q. Have you ever visited their U.S.
- 12 subsidiary?
- 13 A. No, I have not.
- 14 Q. And do you recall what the topic
- 15 of the lecture was when you visited Japan,
- 16 with Nixon in Japan?
- 17 A. I visited them several times. The
- 18 first time I visited them was to give
- 19 demonstrations of CODE V and describe it,
- 20 because at the time they were not using CODE
- 21 V, and it was in an attempt to convince them
- 22 to start to use CODE V.
- 23 Subsequent visits to them were to
- 24 meet with them and help answer technical

- 1 questions that they had on CODE V.
- Q. Does Nikon currently use CODE V?
- 3 A. Yes, they do.
- 4 Q. Do you remember what group you had
- 5 met with when you visited Nikon or groups,
- 6 plural?
- 7 A. At least one time or more times
- 8 the groups I visited were managed by
- 9 Mr. Omura.
- 10 Q. So how long have you or did you
- 11 work at Optical Research Associates?
- 12 A. It was almost eleven years.
- 13 Q. And from when to when?
- 14 A. From 1988 to 1999.
- 15 Q. So going back to your
- 16 responsibilities at Optical Research
- 17 Associates, what percentage of your time at
- 18 Optical Research Associates would you say was
- 19 devoted to the sales of CODE V software?
- 20 A. Zero.
- 21 Q. What percentage of your time was
- 22 devoted to demonstrating CODE V software to
- 23 potential clients?
- A. Probably 5 to 10 percent.

- 1 Q. Fair enough.
- What percentage of your time then
- 3 was devoted to customer support of clients
- 4 using CODE V software?
- 5 A. 75 to 80 percent.
- 6 Q. And what percentage of your time
- 7 at Optical Research Associates was devoted to
- 8 optical design, specifically optical design
- 9 of microlithography?
- 10 A. Zero percent.
- 11 Q. And can you tell me what your main
- 12 responsibilities are at Raytheon?
- 13 A. I am considered as a senior --
- 14 what's called a subject matter expert in
- 15 opticals, and I am involved in overseeing the
- 16 technical aspects of the various optical
- 17 products that are included in Raytheon
- 18 products.
- 19 Q. How long have you worked at
- 20 Raytheon?
- 21 A. I started in 1999 up to the
- 22 present time, so it has been just over 15
- 23 years.
- Q. And do you do optical design for

- 1 Raytheon?
- 2 A. I do.
- 3 Q. What type of optical design?
- 4 A. It has been primarily infrared
- 5 type systems.
- 6 O. So infrared sensors? Infrared
- 7 emitters? What type of infrared?
- 8 A. Infrared image sensors.
- 9 Q. Image sensors. Okay.
- 10 And what percentage of your time
- 11 at Raytheon is devoted to optical design of
- 12 microlithography systems?
- 13 A. Zero percent.
- 14 Q. So have you been involved in
- 15 Sematech, S-e-m-a-t-e-c-h?
- 16 A. No, I have not.
- 17 Q. Do you know what Sematech is?
- 18 A. I cannot say right now what it is.
- 19 It sounds familiar, but I do not know what it
- 20 is.
- 21 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 22 So prior to your involvement in
- 23 this litigation and the prior interference of
- 24 the '870 Patent to Omura, have you ever

- 1 examined patents related to lens systems
- 2 before?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Have you ever examined patents
- 5 related to projection optical systems before
- 6 in the field of microlithography?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Can you define what a lens unit
- 9 would mean to a person of ordinary skill in
- 10 the art?
- 11 A. Usually that would be considered a
- 12 group of lenses that is doing some specific
- 13 function within the overall operation of the
- 14 lens.
- 15 Q. Can you define what it means to be
- 16 a lens group to a person of ordinary skill in
- 17 the art?
- 18 A. A lens group is simply a
- 19 concatenation of multiple lenses that are
- 20 called a single group for whatever reason the
- 21 namer of that group had. It would probably
- 22 tend to have the same general meaning as a
- 23 lens unit but not exactly the same name.
- Q. So people would generally

- 1 include -- scratch that.
- 2 Back to the definition of a lens
- 3 unit.
- 4 Lens unit was a grouping of lenses
- 5 that had a similar function; is that
- 6 accurate?
- 7 A. No, that had a specific function.
- 8 For example, if I had a telescope followed by
- 9 a scanner, followed by an imaging unit, then
- 10 I would -- I can call a telescope one unit; I
- 11 can call the imager one unit; I can call the
- 12 scanning system one unit and so forth.
- 13 Q. So a lens unit could fairly be
- 14 described as a group of lenses that
- 15 functioned together to achieve a function?
- 16 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 17 form.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Just pause for
- a moment, give me a moment to object,
- 21 please.
- 22 BY MR. KERN:
- 23 Q. So are you familiar with the
- 24 objective lens in a pair of binoculars?

- 1 A. Yes, I am.
- 2 Q. Is the objective lens in your
- 3 definition a lens unit?
- 4 A. It could be considered as a lens
- 5 unit.
- 6 Q. And why would it be considered a
- 7 lens unit?
- 8 A. Because the objective lens is --
- 9 the elements in an objective lens are close
- 10 together, and they are relatively separated
- 11 from other portions of the overall lens
- 12 system.
- 13 Q. And what is the function of the
- 14 objective lens unit in a pair of binoculars?
- 15 A. To collect the incoming light and
- 16 focus it.
- 17 Q. And does that function play a role
- 18 in defining it as a lens unit since the
- 19 lenses cooperate together to collect and
- 20 focus light?
- 21 A. It could.
- 22 Q. It could.
- Why might it not?
- 24 A. The "could" implies that it could

- 1 be called a lens unit for the reason that you
- 2 stated.
- 3 Q. I see. Okay.
- So are you familiar with the
- 5 eyepiece lens in a pair of binoculars or
- 6 lenses in a pair of binoculars?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is an eyepiece unit a lens unit?
- 9 A. It could be called a lens unit.
- 10 Q. Why could it be called a lens
- 11 unit?
- 12 A. Because they tend to be grouped
- 13 together and form a separate function from
- 14 the objective lens unit.
- 15 O. And what is the function of the
- 16 eyepiece lens unit in a pair of binoculars?
- 17 A. It is to recoluminate the light so
- 18 that the human eye can see the light easily.
- 19 Q. I'd like to turn to your
- 20 Declaration. It's Exhibit 1101. Scratch
- 21 that. I believe it's Exhibit 1116.
- 22 So in your expert report at
- 23 Paragraph 15 there's a section about legal
- 24 standards.

		30
1	Do you agree with that?	101
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And did you write this section of	
4	your expert report?	
5	A. I had help from Fish & Richardson	
6	in writing it.	
7	Q. What portion or percentage of that	
8	section was written by Fish & Richardson?	-
9	MR. GLITZENSTEIN: I'm going to	
10	just, before you answer, Mr. Juergens.	
11	Counsel, I want to make sure I	
12	understand your position on inquiring on	
13	this issue. So you know, Federal Rules	
14	of Civil Procedure 26 protects the types	
15	of questions that you're asking right	
16	now from discovery.	
17	I'm curious as to your position on	
18	the discoverability of this type of	A
19	information in view of that.	
20	MR. KERN: Sure. I just want to	
21	see what percentage of the report was	
22	actually written by Mr. Juergens.	
23	MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Right. I	
24	understand the question.	

		31
. 1	My question for you is, how do you	
2	view do you view the limitations on	
3	the ability to take discovery of experts	
4	set forth in Rule 26 of the Federal	
5	Rules of Civil Procedure as operative in	
6	this proceeding?	
7	MR. KERN: Of course.	
8	MR. GLITZENSTEIN: You do.	
9	MR. KERN: Yes. Okay. Given	
10	that, I'll allow you to answer, but I am	
11	a little concerned about	
12	MR. KERN: Let rephrase the	
13	question if it's causing	
14	consternation.	
15	BY MR. KERN:	
16	Q. What percentage of Paragraph 15	
17	did you draft, Mr. Juergens?	
18	A. I would say that I did not have to	
19	draft any of it. I did not draft any of it.	
20	I did proof read it. I did read it and	
21	verify that I could understand it and knew	
22	what it meant.	
23	Q. Sure. Fair enough.	
24	Could you please read Paragraph 15	

- 1 aloud?
- 2 A. "I understand that when it comes
- 3 to interpreting the scope of a claim, the
- 4 claim's terms should be given their broadest
- 5 reasonable interpretation consistent with the
- 6 specification and the prosecution history of
- 7 the application or patent. If the
- 8 specification provides a definition of a
- 9 claim term, the claim term should be
- 10 interpreted based on the definition."
- 11 Q. And so what does the language
- 12 "consistent with the specification" in that
- 13 paragraph mean to you?
- 14 A. It means to me that the
- 15 interpretation of a term must be consistent
- 16 with how it is used in the specification of
- 17 the patent.
- 18 Q. By "used," would you include how
- 19 it is described in the specification? Is
- 20 that what's meant by "used" in your last
- 21 answer?
- 22 A. That's -- yes.
- 23 Q. And would you generally agree that
- 24 the drawings are part of the specification in

- 1 the U.S. Patent?
- 2 A. The drawings?
- 3 Q. The drawings, the figures are part
- 4 of the specification in the U.S. Patent?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What do you understand "the
- 7 prosecution history" to mean in that
- 8 paragraph?
- 9 A. I understand that to mean that if
- 10 previous discussions or histories of the
- 11 application have -- indicate a different
- 12 interpretation of a term, then that is the
- 13 interpretation that should be used.
- 14 Q. And what is the prosecution
- 15 history?
- 16 A. For example, an interference.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 Would you agree that interaction
- 19 by the applicant with the U.S. Patent and
- 20 Trademark Office during examination of the
- 21 application, the record of that is a
- 22 prosecution history?
- 23 A. I could accept that.
- 24 Q. Okay. Fair enough.

34 1 So do you generally agree with Paragraph 15? 3 A. Yes. Q. Did you apply Paragraph 15 in your analysis of what a third lens unit is in paragraph -- I'm sorry, Claim 55? 7 A. Yes. Q. And do you believe that the '575 9 Patent defines various lens units? A. Yes. 10 11 Q. Fair enough. 12 I'd like to turn now to the Mann 13 Application, which is Exhibit 1110. Do you recognize the Mann 14 15 Application, Mr. Juergens? A. Yes, I do. 16 17 Q. Did you read the Mann Application 18 or review the Mann Application in its 19 entirety? A. Yes. 20 Q. How did you come to know about the 21 22 Mann Application? A. Through Fish & Richardson. 23 Q. Were you told anything about the 24

- 1 Mann Application when you were given the Mann
- 2 Application for the first time?
- 3 A. It looks like -- I'm not sure what
- 4 you mean by was I told anything about it.
- 5 Q. Did anybody describe to you the
- 6 purpose of the Mann Application when they
- 7 gave the application to you for the first
- 8 time?
- 9 A. I was asked to look at the Mann
- 10 Application, in particular the embodiment of
- 11 Figure 2, to ascertain whether or not it
- 12 appeared to be a prior art to the '575 Patent
- 13 application.
- Q. Did anybody give you any guidance
- 15 in ascertaining whether Figure 2 was prior
- 16 art to the '575 Patent?
- 17 A. I discussed the issue with Fish &
- 18 Richardson.
- 19 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 20 I'd like to look at annotated
- 21 Figure 2 of the Mann reference in your expert
- 22 report. And I believe that's Paragraph 94 of
- 23 your expert report.
- 24 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. Do you recognize this figure?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Did you annotate this figure?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. Did you annotate that figure with
- 6 help from others?
- 7 A. I discussed the annotations with
- 8 Fish & Richardson.
- 9 Q. So did you have any assistance in
- 10 separating the various lens units shown in
- 11 this figure?
- 12 A. I would not call it assistance. I
- 13 would certainly say that I discussed the
- 14 separation of the lens units with Fish &
- 15 Richardson.
- 16 Q. So were you given any guidance in
- 17 how to divide the various lens units of the
- 18 Mann Figure 2?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. So nobody suggested to you or
- 21 showed you where the breakpoints should be
- 22 between the various units in Figure 2 Mann?
- 23 A. We discussed where the breaking
- 24 should be.

## Capital Reporting Company Juergens, Richard C. 02-13-2014

- 1 Q. Do you recall where and when you
- 2 annotated this figure?
- A. It was last year, maybe summer
- 4 time frame. I don't recall exactly when.
- 5 Q. And I notice your annotations are
- 6 typed on this figure.
- 7 Did you generate that graphic?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 Q. Do you know who did generate that
- 10 graphic?
- 11 A. I assume somebody at Fish &
- 12 Richardson generated this graphic.
- Q. Was that graphic based on your
- 14 direction?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And how did you communicate that
- 17 graphic to be generated?
- 18 A. I took a similar diagram that I
- 19 created from CODE V and put that into
- 20 PowerPoint, and annotated that drawing and
- 21 submitted -- with these annotations, and
- 22 submitted that drawing to Fish & Richardson.
- Q. Do you still have a copy of that
- 24 drawing?

- 1 A. I probably do somewhere.
- 2 Q. So this graphic then was generated
- 3 by Fish & Richardson at your direction?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Were there any changes made to the
- 6 drawing after you submitted the instruction
- 7 to modify the graphic?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So you had submitted an original
- 10 marked up version and instructions to modify
- 11 Figure 2, a graphic was generated, and then
- 12 it was later modified?
- 13 A. I submitted to them a drawing that
- 14 broke this up into units, and we discussed --
- 15 I discussed with Fish & Richardson the
- 16 breaking of the units and then I submitted
- 17 later a second drawing that had a slightly
- 18 different breakdown of the units.
- 19 Q. And what had changed in the
- 20 breakdown of the units between the first and
- 21 second drawing?
- 22 A. It involved whether or not lenses
- 23 E11 -- well, in particular lens labeled E11
- 24 was to be considered as part of the third

- 1 unit or not.
- 2 Q. So am I to understand that
- 3 initially lens E11 was to be considered part
- 4 of the third unit based on your initial
- 5 analysis?
- 6 A. I thought that lens E11 could be
- 7 construed to be part of a third unit, yes.
- 8 Q. Why did you think Ell was part of
- 9 a third unit initially?
- 10 A. Because there is a slight
- 11 separation between lens E11 and lens E12.
- 12 Q. So referring to the Figure 2 of
- 13 Mann, there is an air space present between
- 14 Ell and El2; is that accurate?
- 15 A. Yes. There is air space between
- 16 every element in this lens.
- 17 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 18 But there is a relatively larger
- 19 air space between Ell and El2 in Figure 2
- 20 than other lenses in the system?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And in the field of optical
- 23 design, when there is a relatively large lens
- 24 space separating lens units, is that a

- 1 natural location to separate lens groups in a
- 2 complex optical system?
- 3 A. Not always.
- 4 Q. So could you please explain why in
- 5 this case you at least initially considered
- 6 it to be a place to break between the third
- 7 lens unit and fourth lens unit?
- 8 A. It has to do with the purpose of
- 9 the different units. The third lens unit is,
- 10 in general, a negative lens unit, which is
- 11 diverging the light to form a virtual object
- 12 point, and then lens E11 and forward to the
- 13 image form a positive group that re-images
- 14 that virtual object onto the wafer.
- 15 Q. So your selection of Ell as part
- 16 of the fourth lens unit, was based on your
- 17 assessment that it began to diverge the beam
- 18 passing through the projection system; is
- 19 that accurate?
- 20 A. That was -- no. Ell is the start
- 21 of the group that is beginning to refocus the
- 22 light back onto the wafer.
- 23 Q. Oh, I see. Okay.
- 24 Could you explain to me why you

- 1 chose to divide the design in Figure 2 of
- 2 Mann, the Mann Application, into four lens
- 3 units?
- 4 A. Because the '575 Patent broke
- 5 lenses -- broke their lenses up into four
- 6 lens groups, and I looked to see whether or
- 7 not this lens could also be separated into
- 8 four groups that had individual functions.
- 9 Q. And why not break Mann into two
- 10 lens units, three lens units, four lens
- 11 units, five lens units?
- 12 A. Well, it certainly could be broken
- 13 into fewer lens units, obviously. I could
- 14 consider the first and second unit together
- 15 to be a unit and so forth. I looked to break
- 16 it up into four to see if the four units
- 17 would correspond to the four units in the
- 18 '575 Patent.
- 19 Q. So that I understand, in Figure 2
- 20 of Mann, you separated the units to match the
- 21 number of units in Claim 55 of the '575
- 22 Patent?
- MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection to
- 24 form. Mischaracterization.

42 1 THE WITNESS: I would say that I divided the lenses up into the groups based upon their obvious function in 3 this lens and as described in the '575 Patent. BY MR. KERN: 7 Q. So did the '575 Patent, Claim 55, guide your separation of the projection lens shown in Figure 2 of Mann? 10 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection to 11 form. THE WITNESS: It guided it in the 12 13 sense that it describes negative groups, positive groups, and so forth. 14 15 BY MR. KERN: 16 Q. Did you feel that it was in any way unnatural to break the Figure 2 of Mann 17 18 projections lens into four units? 19 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection to 20 form. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 BY MR. KERN: 23 Q. Did you have difficulty dividing 24 the Figure 2 projection lens of Mann into

- 1 four units?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Could you explain the process you
- 4 went through to separate the units E6 through
- 5 E20 into separate units?
- 6 It's the latter portion of the
- 7 projection lens.
- 8 A. These lens systems tend to follow
- 9 a similar form where they have a waist group,
- 10 which tends to comprise larger negative
- 11 lenses, followed by a bulge group comprised
- 12 predominantly of positive lenses. And almost
- 13 every form that we have looked at in the
- 14 various patents that we looked at in this
- 15 proceeding, show similar design form, and it
- 16 was natural to break this group of lenses
- 17 into two groups along that -- those ideas.
- 18 BY MR. KERN:
- 19 Q. So I'm sorry, you said it was
- 20 natural. Why was it natural? Maybe I didn't
- 21 follow you.
- 22 A. Because there is an obvious set of
- 23 lenses that sort of form the waist group, and
- 24 it's obvious which lenses formed the bulge

- 1 group.
- 2 Q. So which lenses in Figure 2 form
- 3 the waist group?
- 4 A. That would be the lenses E6
- 5 through E10.
- 6 Q. And which lenses form the beam
- 7 bulge group?
- 8. A. Lens Ell through E20.
- 9 Q. And where, roughly, is the waist
- 10 located in Figure 2 of the Mann Application?
- 11 A. The actual smallest diameter point
- 12 is located around lens E10.
- 13 Q. And where would you say the beam
- 14 bulge portion is formed in the projection
- 15 lens in Figure 2 of the Mann Application?
- 16 A. The maximum diameter appears to be
- 17 around lens E15.
- 18 Q. Again, could you please explain
- 19 why you divided E6 -- lenses E6 through E20
- 20 at -- or between lenses E10 and E11?
- 21 A. Because the bulge group, the back
- 22 end is imaging a -- what I would refer to as
- 23 a virtual object point into the wafer. That
- 24 virtual object point is generated by a

- 1 negative group of lenses that is diverging
- 2 the light coming from the front part of the
- 3 lens.
- 4 Q. Mr. Juergens, how much time would
- 5 you estimate you spent studying the Mann
- 6 Application in preparation for this Inter
- 7 Partes Review?
- 8 A. Maybe an hour.
- 9 Q. And that's in preparation for
- 10 drafting your expert Declaration as well;
- 11 does that include that time?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. How much time would you have spent
- 14 studying the Mann Application in preparation
- 15 preparing your Declaration?
- 16 A. That would have been several
- 17 hours.
- 18 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 19 I want to take a look now at Claim
- 20 59 in the '575 Patent, and that's in this IPR
- 21 Exhibit 1101. And if you'd like, you can use
- 22 the exhibit from yesterday's IPR as well.
- 23 A. Yes, I have that.
- Q. So could you please read aloud the

- 1 ratio in the middle of the claim for --
- 2 dependent Claim 59?
- 3 A. It refers to a projection optical
- 4 system satisfying the condition at 0.17 times
- 5 Ma, divided by L, is less than 0.6.
- 6 Q. Is that ratio a standard equation
- 7 in the art of photolithography?
- 8 A. I could not say whether that was a
- 9 standard equation or not.
- 10 Q. What does that equation mean to
- 11 you?
- 12 A. The equation means to me that a
- 13 distance of Ma divided by another distance,
- 14 L, times .17 has to be less than some value.
- Q. What is the variable Ma and the
- 16 variable L in that equation?
- 17 A. Variable Ma is the distance -- it
- 18 says, in the claim, it says, "Is a distance
- 19 on an optical axis between the third
- 20 reflecting mirror and the second surface,"
- 21 which is also the wafer.
- 22 Q. Are you aware if the '575 Patent
- 23 discloses that equation in the specification?
- A. I believe it does, yes.

## Capital Reporting Company Juergens, Richard C. 02-13-2014

- 1 Q. Could you please show me where?
- 2 A. It is on Page 30, Column 13, Line
- 3 4.
- 4 Q. And could you please read that
- 5 condition at Column 13, Line 4 aloud?
- 6 A. It says, "The projection optical
- 7 system satisfies the following condition:
- 8 0.17 is less than Ma, divided by L, and that
- 9 is less than 0.6. Where Ma is a distance on
- 10 optical axis between the third reflecting
- 11 mirror and the second surface."
- 12 O. So is that the same as the
- 13 condition shown in Claim 59?
- A. No. There is a "less than" sign
- 15 that is missing or has been added, depending
- 16 upon which way you look at it.
- 17 Q. Did you notice this discrepancy in
- 18 Claim 59 when you prepared your Expert
- 19 Declaration?
- 20 A. I do not remember if I actually
- 21 noticed it or not.
- 22 Q. Do you know at this time which
- 23 formula is correct or the intended formula?
- 24 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection to

## Capital Reporting Company Juergens, Richard C. 02-13-2014

48 form. 1 2 THE WITNESS: I cannot claim which is correct. I only know which one is in the claim. BY MR. KERN: Q. Fair enough. So did you perform your analysis then based on the equation as shown in Claim 9 59? 10 A. I did. Do you believe that an expert in 11 Q. lithography might have noticed the 12 discrepancy between Claim 59 formula and the 13 specification formula? 14 15 A. He may have done so. 16 Q. So I'd like now to turn to Paragraph 107 of your Expert Declaration, that's Exhibit 1116. 18 19 A. Okay. 20 Could you please refresh your recollection of that paragraph, and let me 21 know when you're ready. 22 (Witness reviewing document.) 23 24 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. So in that paragraph you explain
- 2 that you use the lens prescription table in
- 3 Table 2 of the Mann Application and CODE V to
- 4 determine the distance between mirror M3 and
- 5 wafer 220.
- 6 Do you agree?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And that you found that M over --
- 9 I'm sorry, Ma over capital L, is 0.474. Is
- 10 that accurate?
- A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. So it's my understanding that
- 13 Exhibit 1130 shows the CODE V sequence data
- 14 corresponding to Table 2 in the Mann
- 15 Application, and let me get you the exhibit,
- 16 1130.
- 17 So do you agree that that shows
- 18 the CODE V sequence date corresponding to
- 19 Table 2 in the Mann Application?
- 20 A. It does not.
- 21 Q. What does it show?
- 22 A. It shows a macro that I wrote to
- 23 identify which lenses are negatives and which
- 24 are positives.

50 1 Q. Do you have Exhibit 1130? A. I was handed 1131. Q. Well, that's unfortunate. Let me correct that. MR. GLITZENSTEIN: It's an aberration. BY MR. KERN: Q. Do you now have Exhibit 1130 in front of you? 10 A. Yes. Q. So would you agree that that shows 11 the CODE V sequence data corresponding to 12 13 Table 2 of the Mann Application? 14 A. Yes. Q. And could you please 15 16 simultaneously look at Table 2 of the Mann Application? I believe it's on Page 8. A. Actually, it begins on -- oh. 18 Q. Table 2, Paragraph 63. 19 20 A. Page 11 or -- the 8 up at the top, 21 okay. Q. My apologies. 22 23 So could you please tell me what 24 the -- well, stepping back.

- 1 Could you please describe what is
- 2 shown in Table 2 of the Mann Application?
- A. Table 2 shows the surface numbers,
- 4 the radius of curvature, the thickness, and
- 5 name of the glass, the refractive index of
- 6 material, and the semi-diameter of each
- 7 surface in the system.
- 8 O. And the labels of each of the
- 9 columns are not in English; is that accurate?
- 10 A. That's accurate.
- 11 Q. Do you recognize the language?
- 12 A. I do not -- I am not fluent in
- 13 German.
- 14 Q. Very good. Okay.
- So how did you come to know the
- 16 titles in the various columns shown in Figure
- 17 2?
- 18 A. Because this is in the form that
- 19 every other lens prescription I've ever seen
- 20 is in. It was fairly obvious.
- 21 O. Fair enough.
- 22 A. Plus radiant sounds like radius,
- 23 Dickin, is clearly similar to thickness and
- 24 so forth.

- 1 Q. Fair enough.
- 2 Could you please tell me what the
- 3 thickness then is of the first lens in Table
- 4 2?
- 5 A. It is 38.10000000.
- 6 Q. And why are those values --
- 7 scratch that.
- 8 Why did the values shown in that
- 9 column have so many significant digits?
- 10 A. Because that's what Mann chose to
- 11 put in his table. I cannot say why Mann
- 12 chose to do that.
- 13 Q. Is that standard in the art?
- 14 Would a person of ordinary skill in the art
- 15 normally describe lenses to that level of
- 16 detail?
- 17 A. I oftentimes see lenses described
- 18 to that level of detail, yes.
- 19 Q. Fair enough.
- 20 So is there a reason why a lens is
- 21 described at that level of detail?
- 22 A. When you optimize a lens in CODE
- 23 V, for example, oftentimes radii and
- 24 thicknesses will be computed to many digits,

- 1 typically to 17 significant digits, and the
- 2 output of the program will list those data to
- 3 however many significant digits you choose to
- 4 list it out to. And whether all of those
- 5 digits are significant is a different
- 6 question.
- 7 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 8 So, again, what was the value in
- 9 Table 2 for the first lens?
- 10 A. It is 38 -- Table 38 point -- and
- 11 with seven or eight zeros.
- 12 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 13 And what is the value for the
- 14 first lens in the CODE V sequence data shown
- 15 in Exhibit 1130?
- 16 A. Well, it shows it as 28.0.
- 17 Q. Do you agree that those numbers
- 18 should be the same?
- 19 A. They should be the same.
- 20 Q. So is that an error in the CODE V
- 21 sequence data?
- A. It appears to be an error, yes.
- Q. So back to Table 2.
- 24 Could you please tell me what the

- 1 thickness of the fourth lens is in Table 2?
- 2 A. It's 29.923376607.
- 3 Q. And could you please tell me what
- 4 the thickness of the fourth lens is in CODE V
- 5 sequence data in Exhibit 1130?
- 6 A. It is 25.7835 and some following
- 7 digits.
- 8 Q. Is that different from the
- 9 thickness shown in Table 2 of Mann for the
- 10 fourth lens unit?
- 11 A. Yeah, it is different.
- 12 Q. Do you agree that both of these
- 13 instances are examples of errors in the CODE
- 14 V sequence data?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. So would these errors affect the
- 17 CODE V computations in any way?
- 18 A. They could.
- 19 Q. And how might they?
- 20 A. If you were computing some
- 21 characteristics, such as magnification or
- 22 wavefront error, it would have a significant
- 23 impact on that.
- Q. On any other calculations would it

- 1 have an impact?
- A. If you're referring to, let's say,
- 3 the spacings or distances elsewhere in the
- 4 prescription, it might not have an impact at
- 5 all.
- 6 O. Would about on the accuracy on the
- 7 calculations, would it have an exact on
- 8 accuracy?
- 9 A. It depends upon what you're
- 10 calculating.
- 11 Q. Can you give me an example of a
- 12 calculation where it would not have an impact
- 13 on accuracy?
- 14 A. If I were to try to calculate, for
- 15 example, the focal length of a lens or a lens
- 16 group that did not include these errors, then
- 17 it would have no impact on that.
- 18 Q. Are you aware of any other errors
- 19 in the CODE V sequence data?
- 20 A. I'm not aware of them, no.
- 21 Q. Are you aware of any errors in
- 22 your Expert Declaration?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Fair enough.

į	No.	56
1	I'd like to turn back to the Mann	
2	Application.	
3	MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Sorry to	
4	interrupt. When we get to a convenient	
5	stopping point.	
6	MR. GLITZENSTEIN: I think now is	
7	a convenient stopping point.	
. 8	MR. GLITZENSTEIN: I would be	
9	happy if you wanted to play this out.	
10	THE WITNESS: I would like to make	
11.	one comment.	
12	And that is, when I submitted	
13	these sequence files to Fish &	
14	Richardson, I took the files that I was	
15	using and I edited them to sort of look	
16	very similar, and there may have been an	
17	error introduced in that editing. So I	
18	cannot be I cannot say with certainty	
19	that this is the exact sequence that I	
20	used in the analysis.	
21	BY MR. KERN:	
22	Q. So you may have used a different	
23	set of data to perform your analysis than in	
24	this exhibit?	

- 1 A. That is possible. It's possible.
- 2 Q. And did anybody instruct you to do
- 3 that?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And you submitted this set of data
- 6 as a cleaner version of the data you used to
- 7 perform the analysis for aesthetic purposes
- 8 only?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you still have the original
- 11 data that you used to perform the analysis?
- 12 A. I probably do.
- MR. KERN: Now is a good time for
- 14 a break then.
- 15 (Recess taken at 10:17 AM to 10:31 AM.)
- 16 BY MR. KERN:
- 17 Q. Mr. Juergens, could you please
- 18 take a look at 41 of the Mann's application.
- 19 And when you find it, could you please read
- 20 it aloud?
- 21 A. "Now, referring to Figure 2 in
- 22 which a catadioptric multi-mirror projection
- 23 reduction objective 200 according to a second
- 24 embodiment is illustrated. Figure 2 is a

- 1 schematic optical diagram of the system 200
- 2 illustrating the system 200 in a manner to
- 3 generally show the arrangement of the
- 4 elements. The system 200 includes a
- 5 plurality of the mirrors and a plurality of
- 6 lens elements that are arranged in distinct
- 7 groups and in predetermined locations
- 8 relative to the mirrors."
- 9 Q. Do you agree that that paragraph
- 10 is referring to Figure 2 of the Mann
- 11 Application?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And do you agree that Mann in that
- 14 paragraph is specifying that there are lens
- 15 groups?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And is Mann's -- is Mann's
- 18 application defining lens groups in that
- 19 paragraph?
- 20 A. He says that the lenses are
- 21 arranged in distinct groups.
- 22 Q. So the sentence, "The system 200
- 23 includes a plurality of mirrors and a
- 24 plurality of lens elements that are arranged

- 1 in distinct groups," what does that mean to
- 2 you?
- A. It means that they are separate
- 4 groups.
- 5 O. So does that mean that the
- 6 plurality of the mirrors are a group, and the
- 7 plurality of lenses are a group, and that
- 8 they were distinct from one another?
- 9 A. It could mean any of those.
- 10 Q. Do you believe that one of
- 11 ordinary skill in the art would understand
- 12 that to mean any of those?
- 13 A. I think of one of ordinary skill
- 14 in the art would read further to see how he
- 15 breaks them up into groups.
- Q. Were you aware of how he breaks
- 17 them up into groups?
- 18 A. I would have to review the patent.
- 19 Q. Are you aware that Mann, in its
- 20 specification, does break the lenses into
- 21 particular groups?
- 22 A. Okay. I accept that.
- Q. Okay. Could you turn to Paragraph
- 24 50 of the Mann Application now, and please

- 1 read that -- well --
- 2 A. "The system 200 is designed so
- 3 that there are a group of lens elements that
- 4 are both physically and optically behind the
- 5 mirror M3 and the lens elements E6.
- 6 According to one exemplary embodiment, there
- 7 are fourteen lens elements that are disposed
- 8 optically behind the lens element E6, and
- 9 more specifically, lens elections E7 to E20
- 10 are disposed along the optical axis and
- 11 optically behind the lens element E6 and
- 12 optically and physically in front of the
- 13 wafer 120."
- 14 Q. That's actually enough,
- 15 Mr. Juergens. Thank you.
- In that paragraph, the portion you
- 17 have read, what is the group of lens elements
- 18 that Mann is referring in the first sentence
- 19 of that paragraph?
- 20 A. He's referring to the entire group
- 21 of lenses, from E6 to E20.
- Q. Okay. And he's referring to that
- 23 as a group of lenses?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. So would you generally agree with
- 2 the statement that Mann discloses -- or the
- 3 Mann disclosure teaches that the lens
- 4 elements of that group are both physically
- 5 and optically behind the mirror M3 and the
- 6 lens elements E6?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Would you agree that the Mann
- 9 Application refers to lenses E7 through E20
- 10 as a group?
- 11 A. He just refers to lens elements E7
- 12 through E20. He does not use the word
- 13 "group" associated with those lenses
- 14 particularly.
- 15 Q. So Paragraph 50, first sentence,
- 16 when he's referring to "there are a group of
- 17 lens elements that are both physically and
- 18 optically behind M3," is he referring to
- 19 lenses E7 through E20?
- 20 A. I see what you're referring to.
- 21 Yes, that would imply that he's referring to
- 22 E7 through E20.
- 23 Q. So would a person of ordinary
- 24 skill in the art understand a "group of

- 1 lenses" to be same as "the lens group"?
- 2 A. The wording is similar.
- 3 Q. Does it generally have the same
- 4 meaning as to one of ordinary skill in the
- 5 art?
- A. Actually, I would say that a lens
- 7 group and a group of lenses do have slightly
- 8 different connotations.
- 9 Q. And how, in your understanding,
- 10 are they different from one another?
- 11 A. Well, a lens group implies a
- 12 function of a group of lenses. A group of
- 13 lenses just implies a collection of them.
- 14 Q. So then a group of lenses, under
- 15 that interpretation, would have more of an
- 16 arbitrary grouping, as opposed to a lens
- 17 group, which would group lenses together
- 18 based on a common function?
- MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection to
- 20 form.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 22 BY MR. KERN:
- Q. So in your understanding, would a
- 24 person of ordinary skill in the art

- 1 understand there to be a difference between a
- 2 lens group and a lens unit?
- 3 A. Those are more similar in --
- 4 fairly similar in meaning.
- 5 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 6 I want to look at Paragraph 98 of
- 7 your expert report, and I'll give you a
- 8 moment to refresh your recollection of it.
- 9 Please let me know when you're ready.
- 10 (Witness reviewing document.)
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. So in Paragraph 98 of your expert
- 13 report you state that the focal length of the
- 14 elements E6 through E10 is negative 662
- 15 millimeters; is that correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. Do you still have the CODE V files
- 18 that you used to determine the focal length
- 19 of that lens collection?
- 20 A. Probably.
- 21 Q. Have they been made part of any
- 22 exhibit currently part of this Inter Partes
- 23 Review?
- 24 A. Well, I had submitted a sequence,

- 1 Exhibit 1130.
- 2 Q. So that calculation was based upon
- 3 the data in Exhibit 1130?
- 4 A. No, I cannot for sure say that.
- 5 Q. So would it have been a separate
- 6 analysis to calculate the focal length of
- 7 lens units E6 through E10?
- 8 A. What do you mean by "a separate
- 9 analysis"?
- 10 Q. Did you run an independent and
- 11 separate simulation to determine the focal
- 12 length of the lenses -- or the lenses E6
- 13 through E10?
- 14 A. I did.
- Q. And is that part of any exhibit
- 16 currently included in this Inter Partes
- 17 Review?
- 18 A. As I said earlier, there may have
- 19 been mistakes in the exhibit that I submitted
- 20 for the sequence of the -- of the CODE V
- 21 sequence. But I would say that the
- 22 calculation was based upon the correct
- 23 prescription.
- Q. So the calculation, though, to

- 1 determine the focal length of the elements E6
- 2 through E10, was based upon the prescription
- 3 table shown in Figure 2 of Mann; is that
- 4 accurate?
- 5 A. It was based upon a CODE V
- 6 sequence for that -- was for the prescription
- 7 in Table 2 that was submitted to me by Fish &
- 8 Richardson.
- 9 Q. So when you say that it was
- 10 submitted to you by Fish & Richardson, do you
- 11 mean that it was prepared by somebody else
- 12 and given to you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So the data entry portion of the
- 15 table was prepared by somebody else, and you
- 16 imported that data into the CODE V program?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. Did you personally review
- 19 the data that was given to you and compare it
- 20 to the table of Figure 2 of Mann prior to
- 21 running the simulation?
- 22 A. I did not compare the data line by
- 23 line with Table 2. I did compare the drawing
- 24 of the lens to see that it looked similar to

- 1 Figure 2, and I verified that the
- 2 magnification of the lens was proper and that
- 3 it was in focus, and with the errors that are
- 4 in Exhibit 1130, I would not have gotten the
- 5 correct magnification, nor would it have been
- 6 properly enough to.
- 7 Q. Do you recall why the data in
- 8 Table 2 of Mann was prepared for you as
- 9 opposed to you entering it directly into CODE
- 10 V?
- 11 A. That was very commonly done in my
- 12 negotiations with Fish & Richardson. They
- 13 oftentimes and usually supplied me with the
- 14 lens prescriptions.
- Q. And was the primary reason for
- 16 that cost savings?
- 17 A. I cannot say why they chose to do
- 18 that.
- 19 Q. Was there any discussion to the
- 20 extent that, we don't want you, Mr. Juergens,
- 21 spending your time doing data entry, we want
- 22 you to perform analysis for us?
- 23 A. There was no specific discussion
- 24 along those lines, no.

## Capital Reporting Company Juergens, Richard C. 02-13-2014

- 1 Q. Do you know who at Fish &
- 2 Richardson prepared the data in Table 2 for
- 3 your analysis?
- 4 A. I believe the sequences were
- 5 actually prepared by somebody at Zeiss, Carl
- 6 Zeiss.
- 7 Q. Do you know if those prescriptions
- 8 came from actual design data or whether they
- 9 had been manually entered by somebody based
- 10 on the Table 2 shown in the Mann Application?
- 11 A. I do not know.
- 12 Q. So do you have any other files
- 13 that you're aware of that you used to carry
- 14 out your analysis that are not part of an
- 15 exhibit in this Inter Partes Review?
- 16 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 17 O. So there are no other files?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And how did you --scratch that.
- 20 What computer did you use to run
- 21 the simulations in CODE V for preparation of
- 22 your Expert Declaration?
- 23 A. Used my personal computer.
- Q. And this is a personal computer

- 1 you have at your home?
- 2 A. At my home.
- 3 Q. At any time did you use a Fish &
- 4 Richardson computer to perform any of these
- 5 calculations?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. At any time did you use a work
- 8 computer to perform any of these
- 9 calculations?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. And do you personally have a copy
- 12 of CODE V software on your home computer?
- 13 A. I do.
- Q. And do you know what version of
- 15 CODE V software is on your home computer?
- 16 A. I have three versions of the CODE
- 17 V on my home computer.
- 18 Q. And what versions are they?
- 19 A. They are numbered 10.4, 10.5 and
- 20 10.6.
- 21 Q. Are those the latest three
- 22 versions of the CODE V software?
- 23 A. They are.
- Q. And what year, roughly, did those

- 1 three versions come out respectively?
- 2 A. 10.6 has come out since I did
- 3 these analyses. 10.5 came out probably early
- 4 in 2013, and 10.4 probably came out in 2012.
- 5 I could not tell you the exact dates.
- 6 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 7 Did you make any printouts of the
- 8 analysis or data entry that's not part of
- 9 your Expert Declaration?
- 10 A. I don't recall having made any
- 11 printouts, no.
- 12 Q. Before calculating the focal
- 13 length of elements E6 through E10, did you
- 14 speak with anyone about what the results of
- 15 that calculation should look like?
- 16 A. I discussed the results with Fish
- 17 & Richardson.
- 18 Q. So you discussed the actual
- 19 results after you had performed the
- 20 calculation?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Did you discuss, before performing
- 23 the calculations, what the results should
- 24 look like?

- 1 A. I did not discuss with them what
- 2 they should look like. I discussed with them
- 3 the idea that, do these lens groups, you
- 4 know, have a positive and negative focal
- 5 length, and that was the question I was asked
- 6 to look into.
- 7 Q. So were you instructed to find a
- 8 group of lenses that had a negative focal
- 9 length prior to your calculations?
- 10 A. It was not -- I was not asked that
- 11 in those specific words, no.
- 12 Q. Could you explain how you might
- 13 have been asked that in other words?
- 14 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 15 mischaracterization.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I was asked to look
- 17 at lens groups and identify what
- 18 their -- what the focal lengths of those
- overall groups were. And those lens
- 20 groups had to correspond to logical
- 21 breakdowns of lens groups.
- 22 BY MR. KERN:
- 23 Q. And did you calculate the focal
- 24 length of other lens groups?

## Capital Reporting Company Juergens, Richard C. 02-13-2014

71 I don't recall doing so, no. 1 Q. So during your analysis, would you have tried or run calculation simulations on various subsets on lens groups shown in the Mann Application? MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection, 6 7 form. THE WITNESS: I don't believe that I experimented by trying different combinations to see if I could come up 10 11 with a predetermined result. I looked at groups based on a normal function. I 12 looked at, in particular, I looked at 13 the -- what I labeled Group 3 or Unit 3, 14 15 and that was to see if the group that contained two negative lenses had an 16 overall negative focal length. 17 BY MR. KERN: 18 Q. So is it true that you did not 19 20 experiment with other subsets of consecutive lenses to determine a lens unit division as 21 shown in Figure 2 -- annotated Figure 2 of the Mann Application? 23 24 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,

- 1 form.
- 2 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 3 BY MR. KERN:
- 4 Q. So earlier you had mentioned that
- 5 annotated Figure 2 had gone through at least
- 6 one iteration where you had selected or I
- 7 guess included lens element E11 in that
- 8 grouping?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And that later it had been advised
- 11 to not include lens E11; is that accurate?
- 12 A. That's accurate.
- 13 Q. Was the decision to not include
- 14 lens E11 based on any simulation results?
- 15 A. The decision to include E11 in the
- 16 other group was partially based upon
- 17 calculations, yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. So then is it fair to say
- 19 that you had performed a calculation on the
- 20 group of lenses E6 through E11 to obtain a
- 21 initial focal length result?
- 22 A. I did do that.
- Q. And then later, when group -- when
- 24 the group of lenses E6 through E11 was

- 1 changed to the Group E6 through E10, you
- 2 reran a simulation to determine the focal
- 3 length?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And was the determinations of the
- 6 respective focal lengths of the group E6
- 7 through E11 and E6 through E10 the
- 8 determinant in deciding which lens group to
- 9 select as the third unit?
- 10 A. Could you repeat that question,
- 11 please?
- 12 THE REPORTER: \*\*.
- 13 Q. Was the changes to the
- 14 illustration of the lens Group for E6 through
- 15 E11 and lens group for E6 and E10 at least
- 16 part of the determination to change the lens
- 17 group selection?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And why was that?
- 20 A. If you include Ell into that
- 21 group, the overall focal length of that group
- 22 becomes positive. And without that, the
- 23 overall focal length of that group is
- 24 negative.

- 1 Q. And do you recall the limitation
- 2 of the third lens unit in Claim 55 requires a
- 3 positive or negative focal length?
- 4. A. It requires that group to have an
- 5 overall negative focal length.
- 6 Q. So would you agree that the
- 7 language of Claim 55 defining the third lens
- 8 unit guided the decision to select the third
- 9 unit in Mann as shown in annotated Figure 2
- 10 of your expert report?
- 11 A. The wording required a group that
- 12 has at least two negative lenses, and so I
- 13 looked at the fact that E6 -- sorry, lens
- 14 E10 is the second negative lens, and then I
- 15 looked to see if it was logical to include
- 16 Ell in that group or in the next group. And
- 17 I obviously chose, eventually, to include E11
- 18 into the second group. It actually does
- 19 appear to logically fit into that group
- 20 better.
- Q. Had you received any guidance to
- 22 change your initial determination that E11
- 23 was part of the third lens unit?
- 24 A. I discussed the issue with Fish &

- 1 Richardson, but I would not say that I
- 2 received guidance on it.
- 3 Q. So, Mr. Juergens, in your opinion,
- 4 would a person of ordinary skill in the art
- 5 have understood to divide the lens units
- 6 shown in Figure 2 of Mann -- scratch that.
- 7 Would a person of ordinary skill
- 8 in the art have understood to divide the
- 9 lenses shown in Figure 2 of Mann in the same
- 10 fashion that you have shown in annotated
- 11 Figure 2 of your Expert Declaration?
- 12 A. I would say that a person of
- 13 ordinary skill would agree that there are
- 14 logical and optical reasons for grouping the
- 15 units as I showed in that Figure.
- 16 Q. Are there other logical and --
- 17 scratch it.
- 18 Are there other logical -- would a
- 19 person of ordinary skill in the art have
- 20 understood that there are other ways to
- 21 divide the lenses in Figure 2 of the Mann
- 22 Application that are equally as logical?
- 23 A. I would say that different optical
- 24 designers may interpret where to break lens

- 1 units. Their understanding -- or their
- 2 selection may be different. That is
- 3 certainly a possibility.
- 4 Q. So are there other reasonable ways
- 5 that a person of ordinary skill in the art
- 6 could divide the lenses shown in Figure 2 of
- 7 the Mann's application?
- 8 A. Well, certainly. You could choose
- 9 to identify all lenses behind the aperture
- 10 stop, all lenses in front of the aperture
- 11 stop and so forth. So there are other ways
- 12 that you could choose.
- 13 Q. So sort of a recap. Was your
- 14 selection of lenses E6 through E10, as a
- 15 third unit, based on the fact that it had a
- 16 negative focal length?
- 17 A. It was based on -- primarily on,
- 18 as I described earlier, the function of how I
- 19 identified the two groups. That the negative
- 20 group was forming the virtual object of the
- 21 positive, what I call the bulge group, and
- 22 that appears, to me, to be the most logical
- 23 and optical way to divide the groups.
- Q. Do you feel that your selection of

- 1 lens units E6 through E10, as the third unit,
- 2 was in any way arbitrary?
- 3 A. No, it's not arbitrary.
- 4 Q. And could you explain why again?
- 5 A. Because the lens Groups E6 through
- 6 E10 are a negative group that diverge the
- 7 light as though from a virtual object point,
- 8 and that object point is then refocused by a
- 9 positive group onto the wafer.
- 10 Q. So the Mann Application taught
- 11 that there is a group of lenses, E7 through
- 12 E20. Do you agree we discussed earlier?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Why did you ignore the teaching of
- 15 the Mann Application, that the lens group was
- 16 defined as lenses E7 through E20?
- 17 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 18 form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: It was clear that he
- 20 was grouping them as -- to identify the
- lenses that are physically behind E6 and
- 22 physically behind mirror M3 and for no
- 23 other reason.
- 24 BY MR. KERN:

- 1 Q. And so why would the inventor
- 2 group the lenses based on their physical
- 3 location?
- 4 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 5 form.
- 6 THE WITNESS: That's one of the
- 7 aspects of his patent that he felt was
- 8 important to stress.
- 9 BY MR. KERN:
- 10 Q. In your understanding of the
- 11 teaching of the Mann Application, is there a
- 12 common foundation that lenses E7 through E20
- 13 perform?
- 14 A. They serve to re-image the
- 15 intermediate image onto the wafer.
- 16 Q. So defining the function as you
- 17 just stated, wouldn't it logically make sense
- 18 to group lenses performing the common
- 19 function together as one lens unit?
- 20 A. You could choose to do so.
- Q. I want to now turn to the '575
- 22 Patent, which is Exhibit 1001 in this IPR,
- 23 and I want to turn to Figure 5 of that
- 24 patent?

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. So I know we touched upon this
- 3 yesterday, but for the record, in this
- 4 matter, are you familiar with the concept of
- 5 beam bulge and beam waist?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And what is a beam bulge?
- 8 A. A beam bulge is a region in which
- 9 the ray paths diverge and then reconverge so
- 10 as to form a bulge in the lenses.
- 11 Q. In your opinion, as a person of
- 12 ordinary skill in the art, is what is shown
- 13 in Figure 5 of the '575 Patent a large bulge?
- MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 15 form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I would not
- 17 characterize it as large or small. I
- 18 would characterize it as a bulge.
- 19 BY MR. KERN:
- 20 Q. What is a beam waist again, for
- 21 the record?
- 22 A. It's a region in the ray paths
- 23 where the rays tend to be -- have their
- 24 narrowest dimension perpendicular to the

- 1 axis.
- 2 Q. So would you agree that a lens
- 3 waist is located, in a projection optical
- 4 system, where the beam reaches its minimum
- 5 diameter?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And conversely, would you agree
- 8 that the beam bulge is located where the
- 9 light beam passing through the projection
- 10 system reaches a maximum diameter?
- 11 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 12 form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: That would be the
- 14 peak of the bulge. Likewise, the waist
- 15 would be the narrowest part of the
- 16 waist. You still may identify a waist
- 17 region and a bulge region as including
- 18 areas near those points.
- 19 BY MR. KERN:
- 20 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 21 And why would a lens designer
- 22 design a system having a large bulge?
- 23 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 24 form.

		81
1	THE WITNESS: That was a lens	
2	design form that was first propounded to	
3	the industry by a person named Glatzle,	
4	G-l-a-e-t-z-l (sic), and he described	
5	that that was a useful method for	
6	controlling the aberrations, in	
7	particular the field curvature of a	
8	lithographic type lens.	
9	BY MR. KERN:	
10	Q. And how does it control	
11	aberrations, the large bulge?	
12	MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,	
13	form.	
14	THE WITNESS: To answer that	
15	question, I would have to go through	
16	Glatzle's paper in fair detail with you.	
17	I think that's beyond the scope of this	
18	proceeding.	
19	BY MR. KERN:	
20	Q. Fair enough.	
21	Suffice it to say, though, the	
22	beam bulge portion of the projection lens in	
23	Figure 5 is controlling aberration?	
24	A. Yes.	

- 1 Q. And it is additionally fixing what
- 2 aspect of the beam?
- 3 A. It's fixing several aberrations,
- 4 including field curvature and probably
- 5 chromatic aberration as well.
- 6 Q. So generally, in designing a
- 7 in-line, off-access catadioptric system, do
- 8 optical designers generally prefer a large
- 9 bulge?
- 10 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 11 form.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Glatzle actually
- propounded his bulge concepts on all
- 14 dioptric designs, and then that concept
- 15 was later adapted to catadioptric
- designs.
- 17 BY MR. KERN:
- 18 Q. So in Figure 5 of the '575 Patent,
- 19 what is shown, in your opinion, at the beam
- 20 waist, what elements are present at the beam
- 21 waist?
- 22 A. Elements L25 and L26.
- Q. And what elements are present in
- 24 the beam bulge shown in Figure 5, in your

- 1 opinion?
- 2 A. I would say that the bulge group
- 3 comprises elements L27 through to the final
- 4 lens L217.
- 5 Q. Okay. Could you please turn to
- 6 Figure 9 of the '575 Patent?
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. Would you please identify what you
- 9 understand to be the third unit in Figure 9
- 10 of the '575 Patent?
- 11 A. Based upon function, I would say
- 12 that the third unit would be the group
- 13 labeled G21.
- 14 Q. And what function are you basing
- 15 that on?
- 16 A. It's the waist function of the
- 17 negative portion that is diverging the light
- 18 to form the virtual object point.
- 19 Q. And why, in your opinion, is the
- 20 third unit located near a waist of the
- 21 optical beam?
- 22 A. Putting negative lenses near
- 23 waists is one of the techniques used to
- 24 correct field curvature.

1 Q. As shown in Figure 9, do you agree 2 that lenses L6 and L7 are separated from mirror M3 and lenses L8 by air spaces? A. They are. Q. Would you agree that the air 5 spaces between mirror M4, and lens L6, and 7 lens L7, and lens L8 are larger than the air spaces between lens Units L6 and L7? MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection, 9 10 form. THE WITNESS: They are larger, 11 12 yes. 13 BY MR. KERN: Q. Do you agree that the lenses L6 15 and L7 are positioned near a waist? A. Yes. 16 Q. And that waist is where the 17 18 optical beam reaches its narrowest diameter, 19 more or less? 20 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection, 21 form. 22 THE WITNESS: More or less. 23 BY MR. KERN: 24 Q. And assuming Figure 9 is drawn to

- 1 scale, could you estimate the ratio of the
- 2 diameter of the waist to bulge as shown in
- 3 Figure 9?
- 4 A. It appears to be in the vicinity
- 5 of maybe 1.5 to 1.
- 6 Q. Maybe -- 1.5 to 1, what ratio is
- 7 that?
- 8 A. The diameter of the G21 group to
- 9 the diameter -- the diameter of the bulge
- 10 group is one and a half times as large as the
- 11 diameter of the waist group, roughly.
- 12 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 13 What about the diameter of the
- 14 beam in the respective waist and bulge
- 15 sections, what would you say the ratio is?
- 16 A. Of a beam diameter?
- Q. Of a beam diameter in that section
- 18 is.
- 19 A. I would say that's in the range of
- 20 probably around maybe 2.5.
- Q. And that's basically taking into
- 22 account the diameter of the beam roughly at
- 23 the third unit, G21, and the diameter of the
- 24 beam at the aperture stop, AS1?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And do you agree in Figure 9 that
- 3 the aperture stop is located near the largest
- 4 portion of the beam bulge?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Could you please explain the
- 7 similarities of the third unit, G21, shown in
- 8 the '575 Patent in Figure 9 with your
- 9 selection of the third unit in the Mann's
- 10 application?
- 11 A. In both cases, the group that I'm
- 12 calling the third unit, composed of a
- 13 negative group that is diverging the light
- 14 into a positive bulge group.
- 15 Q. And are those the only
- 16 similarities?
- 17 A. That's the major functional
- 18 similarity.
- 19 Q. Referring to Figure 2 of the Mann
- 20 Application, does the Mann Application have a
- 21 beam waist?
- 22 A. Not as clearly defined as in
- 23 Figure 9 of the '575.
- Q. If you had to select a beam waist

- 1 in Mann, where might you place it?
- 2 A. Somewhere in the vicinity of E10
- 3 perhaps.
- Q. Does the Mann patent have a beam
- 5 bulge?
- 6 A. It has a slight bulge, yes.
- 7 Q. And where would you place that
- 8 beam bulge?
- 9 A. I place the maximum diameter of
- 10 the bulge at around element E15.
- 11 Q. And can you say from your
- 12 understanding of Figure 2 and the
- 13 specification of the Mann Application, what
- 14 the function of the beam bulge is in the Mann
- 15 Application?
- 16 A. The function of the beam bulge is
- 17 to control certainly aberrations as described
- 18 by Glatzle in his paper.
- 19 Q. And can you say what the function
- 20 of the lens is in the beam waist area of the
- 21 Mann Figure 2 projection lens is?
- 22 A. They are primarily controlling the
- 23 field curvature, and their function is also
- 24 described by Glatzle in his paper.

- Q. And that function is, generally?
- 2 A. To control aberrations.
- 3 Q. And would you say that the lens
- 4 Ell is located near the beam waist in Figure
- 5 2 of Mann?
- 6 A. It's near the beam waist.
- 7 Q. Do you have reason to believe that
- 8 the Mann Figure 2 is not drawn in scale?
- 9 A. No, I believe it is drawn pretty
- 10 much in scale.
- 11 Q. Let's just assume that it's drawn
- 12 in scale for purposes of our discussion.
- 13 Can you estimate the ratio of a
- 14 beam diameter at lens 7 to a beam diameter of
- 15 the bulge as shown in Figure 2 of the Mann
- 16 Application?
- 17 A. That appears to be perhaps in a
- 18 range of 1.5 or less.
- 19 Q. Can you also estimate a ratio of
- 20 beam diameter at lens E10 to the beam
- 21 diameter of the bulge?
- 22 A. The bulge is larger by a factor of
- 23 maybe one and a quarter to one and a half, in
- 24 that ballpark range.

- 1 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 2 I want to return to the '575
- 3 Patent. That's Exhibit 1101.
- 4 A. Which patent?
- 5 Q. '575 Patent. I want to look at
- 6 Column 12, Line 14.
- 7 Could you please read aloud the
- 8 sentence beginning on Line 14 and ending at
- 9 Line 18?
- 10 A. "Since the projection optical
- 11 system comprises the third unit having the
- 12 negative refracting power, the total length
- 13 of the catadioptric projection optical system
- 14 can be decreased and the adjustment for
- 15 satisfying the Petzval's condition can be
- 16 readily performed."
- 17 Q. Do you agree that satisfying
- 18 Petzval's condition corrects for Petzval
- 19 field curvature aberration?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And we generally discussed this
- 22 yesterday, but for the record, could you
- 23 please explain what that means?
- 24 A. Petzval's condition of having a

- 1 zero sum implies -- or directs that the focal
- 2 plane of best image quality is on a flat
- 3 surface.
- 4 Q. And could you generally explain
- 5 the formula for solving that?
- 6 A. The formula is -- it's the sum
- 7 over all the surfaces, and the sum is
- 8 proportional to the sum of one over the
- 9 radius of curvature, times one over the
- 10 difference in index of refraction on the two
- 11 sides of the surface.
- 12 Q. So do you agree that the '575 then
- 13 specifies that a third unit functions to
- 14 satisfy this Petzval condition?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Could you please explain what it
- 17 would mean, to a person of ordinary skill in
- 18 the art, that the adjustment for satisfying
- 19 Petzval's condition can be readily performed,
- 20 as described in that paragraph?
- 21 A. One of the standard techniques for
- 22 controlling Petzval, for driving the Petzval
- 23 sum to zero, is to place negative lenses in a
- 24 region where their diameter is smaller or is

- 1 relatively small. And so he's saying here
- 2 that by putting negative lenses in this unit,
- 3 that you can readily control the Petzval.
- 4 Q. And why do you put it in a
- 5 location where the beam width is relatively
- 6 small?
- 7 A. It's because the impact on the
- 8 Petzval does not depend upon where the beam
- 9 diameter is large or small. But the impact
- 10 on the focal length of a system does depend
- 11 upon the beam diameter. And so by placing a
- 12 negative lens in a region where the beam
- 13 diameter is smaller, it has a lesser impact
- 14 on the focal length but a large impact on the
- 15 Petzval sum.
- 16 Q. So is it accurate to say that by
- 17 placing the corrective lenses at a narrower
- 18 portion of a beam width, one can reduce a
- 19 length of the projection optical system?
- 20 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection to
- 21 form.
- 22 THE WITNESS: The placing of
- 23 negative lenses near a waist is used to
- 24 control Petzval, not necessarily to

- 1 control the length of a system.
- 2 BY MR. KERN:
- 3 Q. Would a person of ordinary skill
- 4 in the art understand that placing lenses at
- 5 a narrow beam diameter in a projection
- 6 optical system would decrease the length of
- 7 the projection optical system?
- 8 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 9 form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: It would appear to
- 11 be relatively obvious that it would do
- 12 that; namely, that it would reduce the
- overall length, although that's not the
- 14 main function for putting negative
- 15 lenses there.
- 16 BY MR. KERN:
- 17 Q. What is the main function again?
- 18 A. To control the Petzval sum.
- 19 Q. And again, could you explain what
- 20 you believe it means to "be readily
- 21 performed" in the context of the paragraph
- 22 you just read?
- 23 A. It means that it's fairly easy to
- 24 adjust the negative powers of those lenses so

- 1 as to achieve a zero Petzval sum.
- Q. Could Petzval's condition be
- 3 corrected at a relatively wider portion of
- 4 the beam projected through the system?
- 5 A. It can, but not as easily.
- 6 Q. And why not?
- 7 A. Because the -- of the reason that
- 8 the -- the main way of correcting Petzval is
- 9 introducing negative power, by adding
- 10 negative power into the system. And if you
- 11 add negative power in regions where the beam
- 12 diameter is large, you have a significant
- 13 impact on the focal length. So since you
- 14 want to control the focal length, it just
- 15 makes it more difficult to control Petzval at
- 16 a bulge.
- 17 Q. How does the focal length of a
- 18 lens system, like a third unit shown in
- 19 element G21 of the '575 Patent, affect a
- 20 length of the projection optical system?
- 21 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 22 form.
- 23 THE WITNESS: The fourth lens unit
- 24 is a positive group that is imaging a

94 virtual object point to the image. 2 diverge the light steeper coming into 3 that group, then that will converge the light at a closer point that is 5 effectively moving the object point. 6 And so by increasing the negative power of the third group, you are 8 indirectly shortening the overall length of the fourth group. 10 BY MR. KERN: Q. So if the third unit lenses were 11 located at a relatively wider portion of the optical beam, the length of the optical 14 system would increase? 15 A. No. It doesn't happen. It has no bearing on the width of the beam at that 17 point. It has to do with the amount of negative power that you're putting in that 19 third group. Q. So if the lenses having the same 20 negative power were put in a larger portion, 22 larger diameter portion of the beam, would they increase the length of the projection optical system, all other things remaining

the same? A. It may do so. I would not say that it necessarily does so. Q. Okay. Fair enough. Could you refer back to Column 12, 5 Line 14, the paragraph that you just read? In that paragraph, do you agree 7 that it reads that "the total length of the catadioptric projection optical system can be decreased"? 10 Α. It does say that. Q. And is that in reference to having 12 13 a third unit in the projection optical 14 system? 15 A. Yes. Q. Could you, again, explain why the 16 total lens of the projection optical system

21 THE WITNESS: The fourth lens 22 group is relaying a virtual object to

could be reduced by the third lens unit?

MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,

19

20

form.

- 23 the image, and by adding negative power
- 24 to the third group, you are changing the

96 location of that virtual object, and 1 that affects the overall length to the image plane. BY MR. KERN: Q. Okay. Fair enough. 5 I want to refer back to the Mann 6 Application, which is Exhibit 1110, Figure 2. A. Yes. Q. So I would like -- could you 10 please confirm that it's your opinion that 11 lenses E6 through E10 correspond to the 12 recited third unit in Claim 55 of the '575 13 Patent? A. That is correct. Q. Could you please turn to Claim 55 15 16 of the '575 Patent? 17 A. I have it here. Q. Could you please read the 18 19 limitation of the third unit? A. It says, "a third unit disposed in 20 21 an optical path between the second unit and the second surface, comprising at least two 22 23 negative lenses, and having a negative

24 refractive power."

- 1 Q. In forming your opinion, did you
- 2 only use the language of Claim 55 to define
- 3 the third unit in Figure 2 of Mann?
- 4 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 5 form.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Not completely, no.
- 7 BY MR. KERN:
- 8 Q. So what else did you use to
- 9 identify the third unit then?
- 10 A. The function of the third unit.
- 11 Q. And the function of the third unit
- 12 again was?
- 13 A. To diverge the light going into
- 14 the bulge group.
- 15 Q. Any other functions?
- 16 A. Well, it also helps to control the
- 17 Petzval sum, as I've described earlier.
- 18 Q. Is there anything else?
- 19 A. Those were the two main functions
- 20 of that group.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Counsel, we've
- 23 been going for about an hour. When you
- get to a convenient stopping point, I

98 1 request a break. MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Actually, now is a convenient time. Let's take a 3 break. 5 (Recess taken at 11:31 AM to I'd 11:55 AM.) 6 MR. KERN: Back on. BY MR. KERN: Q. So, Mr. Juergens, keeping in mind 9 the legal standards you had set forth in your 10 Declaration and we had reviewed, did you 11 consider the function of the third group, as 12 defined in the '575 Patent, in construing the 13 third unit in Figure 2 of the Mann patent? 14 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection, 15 form. THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 BY MR. KERN: 17 Q. And the third unit of the Mann 19 patent includes lenses E6 through E10, 20 correct? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. So once again, could you please explain the reasoning as to why you selected 23 lenses E6 through E10 as the third unit?

## Capital Reporting Company Juergens, Richard C. 02-13-2014

		99
1	MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,	
2	asked and answered.	!
3	THE WITNESS: I selected that	
4	group to be a group because they formed	
5	the function of being a negative group	
6	that diverges light into a positive	
7	bulge group.	
8	BY MR. KERN:	
9.	Q. And do you recall the paragraph we	
10	had ready in the '575 Patent beginning at	
11	Column 12, Line 14?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. And that paragraph described two	
14	functions of the third lens unit; is that	
15	correct?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. One was compactness, the other one	
18	was correcting for Petzval condition. Do you	
19	agree?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. How does the lens group in Mann,	
22	identified as the third unit, perform each of	
23	those functions? Specifically, could you	
24	first explain how it corrects for field	

- 1 curvature?
- 2 A. It corrects for field curvature by
- 3 having negative power in the group. It has a
- 4 little more difficult time correcting Petzval
- 5 in a similar group, in the '575, since their
- 6 diameters are larger, but it can be done.
- 7 Q. So the lens group identified as
- 8 lens unit -- or third lens unit in your
- 9 annotated Figure 2 corrects for Petzval
- 10 condition?
- 11 A. That's one of its purposes, yes.
- 12 Q. And that is correcting for field
- 13 curvature, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So could you please explain how
- 16 the third unit, E6 through E10 in Figure 2 of
- 17 Mann, reduces system length?
- 18 A. By diverging the light out. If
- 19 you look, for example, at the rays between
- 20 E10 and E11, you see that as they exit E10
- 21 they take a sharp divergence outward and then
- 22 are brought back or -- or closer to being
- 23 parallel to the access by lens 11, and you
- 24 can see that without the action of lens E10,

- 1 that that the group from E11 forward would
- 2 have to be further out to collect those rays.
- 3 "Further," I mean further in length.
- 4 Q. So how does that reduce the length
- 5 of the projection optical system?
- A. It keeps the group from E11
- 7 through E20 from having to move further in
- 8 the wafer direction.
- 9 Q. So referring again to Figure 2 of
- 10 Mann, could you explain why you did not
- 11 choose lenses E7 through E20 as a third unit?
- 12 A. There were several reasons. One
- 13 was the fact that the lenses that I
- 14 identified as Unit 3 have a different
- 15 function than the lenses identified as Unit
- 16 4. And another reason is that the '575
- 17 refers to a group that has two negative
- 18 lenses and has -- or has negative power; and
- 19 so I chose to look to see if the group that
- 20 has two negative lenses indeed has negative
- 21 power.
- 22 Q. Do you know whether the lenses E7
- 23 through E20 correct for field curvature?
- 24 A. All the lenses in the system have

- 1 some impact on field curvature.
- 2 Q. Including the lenses before the
- 3 mirrors?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you know whether the lenses E7
- 6 through E20 operate to reduce the length of
- 7 the projection optical system?
- 8 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection.
- 9 THE WITNESS: It's not clear that
- 10 that's their function.
- 11 BY MR. KERN:
- 12 Q. And why isn't it clear?
- 13 A. Well, I haven't totally studied
- 14 that group from that viewpoint, so I can't
- 15 answer that.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 So did you take into account the
- 18 functions of correcting field curvature and
- 19 reducing system length when you selected the
- 20 third lens unit shown in annotated Figure 2
- 21 of the Mann Application?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat
- 23 that, please?
- 24 THE REPORTER: "So did you take

## Capital Reporting Company Juergens, Richard C. 02-13-2014

		103
1	into account the functions of correcting	
2	field curvature and reducing system	
3	length when you selected the third lens	
4	unit shown in annotated Figure 2 of the	
5	Mann Application?"	
6	THE WITNESS: I considered the	
7	reduction of the Petzval, but I did not	
8	take into consideration the effect	
9	shortening the overall length.	
10	BY MR. KERN:	
11	Q. So, Mr. Juergens, do you know	
12	whether the lenses E7 through E20 correct for	
13	field curvature?	
14	A. All the every lens in the	
15	system has an impact on field curvature, and	
16	I would say that the lenses between E7 to E20	
17	were certainly chosen in such a way as to	
18	make the Petzval sum equal to zero.	
19	Q. So referring to Figure 2	
20	scratch that.	
21	In your opinion, Mr. Juergens,	
22	would one of ordinary skill in the art have	
23	understood that the third lens unit could	
24	include lenses E6 through E9?	
1		

- 1 A. I do not believe that a person of
- 2 ordinary skill would break at that point.
- 3 Q. Why not?
- 4 A. Because it's so obviously a
- 5 division between a negative group and a
- 6 positive group, that the break point would
- 7 not put the most powerful negative lens in
- 8 with the positive group.
- 9 Q. Which lens is -- in lens Group E6
- 10 through E9 are positive and which lenses are
- 11 negative?
- 12 A. Just by looking at the ray
- 13 diagram, I would say that E6 is positive, E7
- 14 negative, E8 I can't tell but it's probably
- 15 positive, E9 is definitely positive, E10 is
- 16 negative.
- 17 Q. And do you know whether the lenses
- 18 E6 through E9 correct for field curvature?
- 19 A. All the lenses have an impact on
- 20 field curvature. And these being negative
- 21 lenses are serving to correct for field
- 22 curvature.
- Q. When you say "that all the lenses
- 24 have an impact on field curvature, some of

- them increase the problem of field curvature and some of them correct the problem of field curvature; is that accurate? 3 If you think in terms of elements 4 and not in terms of surfaces, the Petzval curvature can be thought of as the sum of the power of element divided by its index. And so positive lenses lend positive curvature to the Petzval sum, and negative lenses had negative contributions to the Petzval sum, and therefore negative lenses can help flatten the field against positive lenses. So when you say "positive lenses 13 Q. add to the field curvature," they are adding 15 a value, adding value to the field curvature?
- Q. And when you say "negative lenses
- 18 reduce field curvature," they are taking away
- 19 from that value?

Α.

Yes.

- MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection,
- 21 form.

- THE WITNESS: They have negative
- 23 contributions, so they help to cancel
- 24 the positive contributions of the

- positive lenses.
- 2 BY MR. KERN:
- 3 Q. So that the negative lenses have a
- 4 negative contribution and positive lenses
- 5 have a positive contribution to the Petzval
- 6 sum?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And that ideally to solve for the
- 9 Petzval sum, you would want the correct mix
- 10 of positive and negative lens so that that
- 11 sum was zero?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. So in that sense when you say that
- 14 every lens in the system contributes to the
- 15 Petzval's -- or the field of curvature, it's
- 16 meant that some lenses increase and some
- 17 lenses decrease the Petzval sum.
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Do you know whether lenses E6
- 20 through E9 function to reduce the projection
- 21 lens length?
- 22 A. It's hard for me to say whether
- 23 that is -- whether they are doing that or
- 24 not.

O. So is it fair to say that you did

107

- not take that into account when you made your determination of where the third lens unit was located in Figure 2 of Mann? A. That's correct, I did not take 5 that into account. I considered that a 7 secondary condition. Q. I'm sorry. A secondary condition is? A. Lesser importance. 10 Q. Do lenses E6 through E9 meet your 11 12 definition of lens unit? MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection, 13 14 asked and answered. 15 MR. KERN: And that was E6 through 16 E9. THE WITNESS: I would not consider 17 them a lens unit in that they are not 18
  - 21 BY MR. KERN:

19

20

22 Q. So you would not group them as a

optical system in general.

forming a specific subfunction of the

- 23 third lens unit because they're not
- 24 cooperating to perform a function?

- 1 . A. No, it's because the function that
- 2 is being performed in general by that group
- 3 is not complete without the addition of
- 4 element E10.
- 5 Q. And by that group you mean E6
- 6 through E9?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So did you calculate at any point
- 9 the focal length of lenses E6 through E9?
- 10 A. I did not.
- 11 Q. Did anybody suggest to you that
- 12 you should calculate the focal length of
- 13 lenses E6 through E9?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. If you assume that the lenses E6
- 16 through E9 are a lens unit and assume that
- 17 the focal length of that lens unit is
- 18 positive, then would you agree that a third
- 19 unit defined as lens unit E6 through E9 would
- 20 not meet the limitation for a third unit of
- 21 Claim 55?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Is that why you did not include
- 24 lens units -- or lenses E6 through E9 as part

- 1 of your definition of the third lens unit?
- 2 A. The E6 through E9 would not meet
- 3 the condition of the third unit having two
- 4 negative lenses, because in that case it
- 5 would have only one negative lens. So it was
- 6 immaterial to compute the focal length of
- 7 that group.
- 8 Q. And could you please explain again
- 9 the positive and negative lenses in that
- 10 group?
- 11 A. Okay. Of the five lenses, E6
- 12 through E10, they appear to be positive,
- 13 negative, positive, positive, and negative.
- 14 Q. Do you know that based on the
- 15 prescription tables corresponding to Figure
- 16 2?
- 17 A. I computed -- well, I'm basing it
- 18 now on purely looking at the ray diagram.
- 19 Q. Did you at any point calculate the
- 20 positive or negative attributes of a -- of
- 21 the lenses E6 through E9 based on the
- 22 prescription table?
- 23 A. I more than likely did. I don't
- 24 recall exactly when I did it.

1 Q. If the focal length of that group had come back as positive, would you have selected lens units -- or lenses E6 through E9 as the third lens unit? 5 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Objection, form. 6 THE WITNESS: I would not have selected E6 through E9 as the third unit for several reasons. 9 BY MR. KERN: 10 11 Q. And what are those reasons? 12 Α. The fact that that group does not appear to contain two negative lenses, and 13 the fact that the Group E6 through E10 appear to form a specific function within the 15 16 function of the overall lens system. 17 Okay. Fair enough. Q. MR. KERN: No further questions 18 for the witness. 19 20 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: I have just a 21 few. 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. GLITZENSTEIN: 24 Q. Mr. Juergens, can you please turn

-111

- 1 to your Declaration for Exhibit 1116, and I
- 2 want to direct you to page had 41. It's the
- 3 annotated Figure 2 from the Mann reference.
- 4 Do you have that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. I want to ask you first
- 7 about the first unit.
- 8 What elements have you concluded
- 9 are in the first unit of Figure 2 of Mann?
- 10 A. The elements labeled element E1
- 11 and element E2.
- 12 Q. Now, considering the first unit in
- 13 its entirety, and based on what is shown in
- 14 the ray tracing of Figure 2 of Mann, does the
- 15 first unit have a positive refractive power?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.
- 17 O. How do you know?
- 18 A. I can tell by several reasons.
- 19 One is that each of the lenses, E1 and E2,
- 20 are positive lenses, and so the combined
- 21 power of them would be positive, and another
- 22 reason would be that they are bending the
- 23 rays in such a way as to reduce the
- 24 divergence of the rays exiting from the

- 1 reticle.
- 2 Q. Can you determine all of that
- 3 simply from the ray tracing and other
- 4 information shown in Figure 2 of Mann?
- 5 A. Yes, I can.
- 6 Q. Did you need to run your CODE V
- 7 simulation in order to determine that?
- 8 A. No, I ran the CODE V simulation to
- 9 determine what the exact numerical value of
- 10 that focal length is.
- 11 Q. And let me ask you about the
- 12 second unit in Mann.
- What elements are in the second
- 14 unit of Figure 2 of Mann?
- 15 A. Second unit comprises optically
- 16 elements -- lens elements E3, E4, and E5, and
- 17 all four mirrors, M1, M2, M3 and M4.
- 18 Q. Now, is your conclusion that those
- 19 various elements are -- or they together
- 20 comprise the second unit in Mann Figure 2, in
- 21 any way affected by the thickness of lens
- 22 element E4?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Let me ask you about the third

- 1 unit of Mann Figure 2.
- I know you were asked a number of
- 3 questions about this on cross-examination,
- 4 but can you remind us what elements you have
- 5 concluded are in the third unit?
- A. I concluded the third unit
- 7 comprises elements E6, E7, E8, E9 and E10.
- 8 Q. Now, as part of your analysis in
- 9 this case, have you concluded that the third
- 10 unit in the Mann Figure 2 has a negative
- 11 refractive power?
- 12 A. Yes, I determined that group has
- 13 an overall negative power.
- 14 Q. Is that conclusion in any way
- 15 affected by the thickness of element E1 in
- 16 the first unit?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Is that conclusion in any way
- 19 affected by the thickness of element E4 in
- 20 the second unit?
- 21 A. No, it is not.
- 22 Q. Let me ask you about the fourth
- 23 unit in Mann Figure 2.
- 24 What elements have you concluded

- 1 comprise that unit?
- 2 A. I concluded that that group is
- 3 comprised of elements E11 through E20.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 5 And as part of your work in this
- 6 matter, have you concluded that that unit
- 7 collectively has a positive refractive power?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. Now, is that conclusion in any way
- 10 affected by the thickness of element E1 in
- 11 the first unit?
- 12 A. No, it is not.
- 13 Q. Is that conclusion in any way
- 14 affected by the thickness of element E4 in
- 15 the second unit?
- 16 A. No, it is not.
- 17 MR. GLITZENSTEIN: Thank you,
- 18 Mr. Juergens. I have nothing further.
- 19 I pass the witness.
- 20 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. KERN:
- Q. Mr. Juergens, at any point during
- 23 your breaks today did you discuss the goings
- 24 on of our deposition with your counsel?

		115
1	A. I did not.	
2	MR. KERN: Thank you. No further	
3	questions.	
4	(Deposition concluded at 12:19 PM.)	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	-	
22		
23		
24		

```
116
    COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
    SUFFOLK SS.
 3
          I, Sandra A. Deschaine, Registered
   Professional Reporter and Notary Public
    within and for the Commonwealth of
   Massachusetts at large, do hereby certify
    that the Cross-Examination by Deposition of
 7 Richard Juergens, in the matter of Carl Zeiss
    SMT GMBH vs. Nikon Corporation, at the
    offices of Fish & Richardson, One Marina Park
    Drive, Boston, Massachusetts, on Thursday,
   February 13, 2014, was taken and transcribed
    by me; that the witness provided satisfactory
   evidence of identification as prescribed by
    Executive Order 455 (03-13) issued by the
   Governor of the Commonwealth of
    Massachusetts; that the transcript produced
   by me is a true record of the proceedings to
    the best of my ability; that I am neither
   counsel for, related to, nor employed by any
    of the parties to the action in which this
14 deposition was taken, and further that I am
    not a relative or employee of any attorney or
    counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor
    financially or otherwise interested in the
   outcome of this action on this 18th day of
    February, 2014.
17
18
19
20
21
                Sandra A. Deschaine
                Registered Professional Reporter
22
23
   My Commission Expires:
    July 7, 2017
24
```

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT 2 . I, RICHARD C. JUERGENS, do hereby acknowledge I have read and examined the foregoing pages of testimony, and the same is a true, correct and complete transcription of the testimony given by me, and any changes or corrections, if any, appear in the attached errata sheet signed by me. 

118 Capital Reporting Company 1821 Jefferson Place, NW Third Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 857-3376 4 ERRATA SHEET 5 Case Name: Carl Zeiss SMT GmbH v. Nikon Corporation 6 Deponent Name: RICHARD C. JUERGENS Deposition Date: February 13, 2014 Page No. Line No. Change/Reason for Change 9 10 SEE ATTACHED 4-PAGES OF ERRATA SHEETS 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 ruary 23, 2014 24 25

#### **ERRATA SHEET**

Case Name: Carl Zeiss SMT GmbH v. Nikon Corporation – IPR2013-00363

Deponent Name: RICHARD C. JUERGENS

Deposition Date: February 13, 2014

Page 53, line 22:

After "yes" add "insofar as the numbers are different."

Reason: Clarification

Page 54, line 2:

After "29.923376607" add "with the understanding that the question was referring to fourth surface, and not the fourth lens."

Reason: Clarification

Page 54, line 7:

After "digits" add "with the understanding that the question was referring to fourth surface, and not the fourth lens."

Reason: Clarification

Page 54, line 15:

After "Yes." Add the following:

"After my cross-examination, I determined that my answer here was not true. Neither of these instances are examples of errors in the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130.

The difference between the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130 and Table 2 of the published application for Mann with respect to the thickness of the first lens was due to an error in the publication of the Mann application as filed by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO). I have since reviewed a copy of the Mann application as filed with the USPTO, and it provides the same thickness of the first lens as that in the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130 (i.e., 28 mm, not 38 mm). I understand that attorneys for Zeiss will provide a copy of this Mann application as filed with the USPTO to the attorneys for Nikon.

The difference between the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130 and Table 2 of the published application for Mann with respect to the thickness of the fourth surface is because the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130 splits this thickness into two by adding an additional 'dummy' surface between the fourth surface, which corresponds to the back surface of lens E2, and the fifth surface corresponding to the front surface of lens E3. The reason for this additional dummy surface is to locate a surface in the air path between lenses E2 and E3 corresponding to mirror M2, if mirror M2 were to extend into the beam path as the light rays pass from lens E2 to lens E3. The sum of the air thicknesses in the CODE V sequence data of Exhibit 1130 between the back surface of lens E2 and this dummy surface and between this dummy surface and the front surface of lens E3 exactly equals (to the ninth decimal point) the thickness for the fourth surface in Table 2 of Mann, which explains the discrepancy identified during my cross-examination. Furthermore, the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130 shows the same optical medium both before and after this dummy surface (i.e., the optical medium is left blank each time, indicating the default medium of air), which confirms that this dummy surface has no effect on the propagation of the light rays because there is no refraction at the dummy surface.

The CODE V sequence data of Exhibit 1130 includes other examples of such dummy surfaces, including six dummy surfaces corresponding to the front and back surfaces of lenses E4 and E5 and the surfaces of mirrors M1 and M4, respectively, as the light rays pass from lens E3 to mirror M3 around the outside of lenses E4 and E5 and mirrors M1 and M4. Because there is no refraction at any of the dummy surfaces, they have no effect on the propagation of the light rays through the Mann projection objective, and therefore they have no effect on my analysis or the conclusions I reached in my declaration.

Since my cross-examination I did find one slight discrepancy between the CODE V sequence data of Exhibit 1130 and Table 2 of Mann in that the position of the image plane in Exhibit 1130 differs from that Table 2 of Mann by about 7 nm. The only possible effect this 7 nanometer discrepancy could have on my calculations is with respect to the precise values for Ma and L in claim 59 at the sixth decimal place. However, this discrepancy is way too small to affect the value of the ratio of Ma/L I reported in my declaration, which I calculated to only three significant digits. I could find no other discrepancies between the CODE V sequence data of Exhibit 1130 and Table 2 of Mann, except for rounding errors at the ninth decimal place."

Reason: Correction.

Page 56, line 20:

After "used in the analysis." Add:

"After my cross-examination, I determined that my answer here was not accurate. I have since confirmed that I used the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130 to do the CODE V calculations for Mann described in my declaration. I prepared the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130 based on CODE V sequence data for Mann provided to me by Fish & Richardson. I edited this original CODE V sequence data to remove information that was not necessary for the calculations I reported in my declaration. Since my cross-examination, I have confirmed that no errors were introduced into Exhibit 1130 by my editing. I understand that attorneys for Zeiss will provide a copy of the original CODE V sequence data for Mann they gave me to the attorneys for Nikon."

Reason: Correction.

Page 64, line 4:

After "No, I cannot for sure say that." Add:

"After my cross-examination, I determined that my answer here was not accurate. As noted in the correction above, I have since confirmed that I used the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130 to do the CODE V calculations for Mann described in my declaration."

Reason: Correction.

Page 64, line 23:

After "prescription." Add:

"After my cross-examination, I determined that my answer here was not accurate. As explained in the correction above for page 54, line 15, after my cross-examination, I determined that what had been identified as mistakes in Exhibit 1130 were not in fact mistakes, and I have since confirmed that I used the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130 to do the CODE V calculations for Mann described in my declaration."

Reason: Correction.

Page 66, line 6:

After "properly enough to." Add:

"After my cross-examination, I determined that my answer here was not accurate. As I explained in the correction above for page 54, line 15, after my cross-examination I determined that what had been identified as errors in Exhibit 1130 were not in fact errors, and I have since confirmed that I used the CODE V sequence data in Exhibit 1130 to do the CODE V calculations for Mann described in my declaration."

Reason: Correction.

#### Page 73, line 22:

Change "becomes positive" to "becomes a large negative focal length, such that the power of the group is near zero, but still negative."

Reason: Correction.

#### Page 73, line 24:

Change "negative" to "more strongly negative."

Reason: Clarification.

#### Page 74, line 18:

Change "second group" to "fourth group"

Reason: Correction.

119 1 Kurt Glitzenstein, Esquire Marc Wefers, Ph.D., Esquire 2 FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. One Marina Park Drive Boston, Massachusetts 02210 4 IN RE: Carl Zeiss SMT GmbH v. Nikon Corporation 6 Dear Mr. Glitzenstein, 7 Enclosed please find your copy of the deposition of RICHARD C. JUERGENS, along with the original 10 signature page. As agreed, you will be responsible 11 for contacting the witness regarding signature. Within 30 days of February 21, 2014, please 12 13 forward errata sheet and original signed signature 14 page to counsel for Nikon Corporation, John Kern, 15 Esquire. If you have any questions, please do not 17 hesitate to call. Thank you. 18 19 Yours, 20 Sandra A. Deschaine 21 Registered Professional Reporter 22 23 cc: John Kern, Esquire; Robert Mattson, Esquire 24

0	14 89:6,8 95:6	2003 8:21 10:2,10	82:18,24
0.17 46:4 47:8	99:11	11:17,24 13:12	<b>50</b> 59:24 61:15
0.474 49:9	15 24:22 29:23	14:3,8,12	53 9:16,18 10:23
0.6 46:5 47:9	31:16,24 34:2,4	20036 118:2	12:20,21
02210 1:18 2:17	157 14:10	2004 10:12,24 11:6,11	<b>55</b> 34:6 41:21 42:7
119:3	<b>16</b> 9:16 11:7,13	2012 69:4	74:2,7 96:12,15 97:2 108:21
03-13 116:10	17 46:14 53:1	2013 69:4	<b>575</b> 13:6 19:13,22
1	18 89:9	2014 1:15 116:9,16	34:8 35:12,16
1 85:5,6	1821 118:1	118:7 119:12	41:4,18,21
<b>1.5</b> 85:5,6 88:18	18th 116:16	2017 116:23	42:4,7 45:20 46:22 78:21
10 23:24	1940 2:7	2019 10:3,11,14	79:13 82:18
10.4 68:19 69:4	1988 23:14	11:1,7,12	83:6,10 86:8,23
10.5 68:19 69:3	1999 23:14 24:21	202 118:3	89:2,5 90:12 93:19 96:12,16
<b>10.6</b> 68:20 69:2		<b>21</b> 119:12	98:12 99:10
<b>10:17</b> 57:15	2 2 35:11,15,21	2101 8:8,13	100:5 101:16
<b>10:31</b> 57:15	36:18,22 38:11	220 49:5	59 45:20 46:2
10.31 37.13	39:12,19 41:1,19	22314 2:8	47:13,18 48:9,13
1001 78:22	42:9,17,24 44:2,10,15	25.7835 54:6	6
	49:3,14,19	<b>26</b> 30:14 31:4	60 11:1
11 50:20 100:23	50:13,16,19	28.0 53:16	617.542.5070 2:18
<b>11:31</b> 98:5	51:2,3,17 52:4 53:9,23 54:1,9	29.923376607 54:2	617.542.8906 2:18
11:55 98:5	57:21,24 58:10		63 50:19
110 3:5	65:3,7,20,23	3	<b>662</b> 63:14
1101 29:20 45:21 89:3	66:1,8 67:2,10 71:22 72:5 74:9	3 12:18 71:14	002 05.14
1110 34:13 96:7	75:6,9,11,21	101:14	7
	76:6 86:19	30 47:2 119:12	7 88:14 116:23
1116 29:21 48:18 111:1	87:12,21	38 53:10	7,348,575 1:7 4:19
1129 14:21	88:5,8,15 96:7 97:3 98:13	38.10000000 52:5	703.412.3000 2:9
<b>1130</b> 49:13,16	100:9,16 101:9	4	703.413.2200 2:9
50:1,8 53:15	102:20 103:4,19	4 47:3,5 101:16	75 24:5
54:5 64:1,3 66:4	107:4 109:16 111:3,9,14	4/114 3:4	-
1131 50:2	112:4,14,20	41 57:18 111:2	8 2 10 50 17 20
<b>12</b> 89:6 95:5 99:11	113:1,10,23	455 116:10	8 3:10 50:17,20
<b>12:19</b> 115:4	<b>2.5</b> 85:20		80 24:5
<b>120</b> 60:13	200 57:23	5	857-3376 118:3
<b>13</b> 1:15 47:2,5	58:1,2,4,22 60:2	5 23:24 78:23	<b>870</b> 19:16 25:24
116:9 118:7	2001 3:9 8:9,12	79:13 81:23	

T	0
Page	1
I ugu	_

9	actually 18:4 30:22 47:20	analyses 69:3 analysis 15:12	35:1,2,6,7,10,13 41:2 44:10,15
9 83:6,9 84:1,24 85:3 86:2,8,23	50:18 60:14 62:6 67:5 74:18 82:12	34:5 39:5 48:7 56:20,23 57:7,11	45:6,14 49:3,15,19
9:02 1:15	98:2	64:6,9 66:22	50:13,17 51:2
90 7:21,24 10:24	adapted 82:15	67:3,14 69:8 71:2 113:8	56:2 57:18 58:11,18 59:24
11:6,13	add 93:11 105:14	annotate 36:3,5	61:9 67:10
94 35:22	added 47:15		71:5,23 75:22
98 63:6,12	adding 93:9 95:23 105:14,15	annotated 35:20 37:2,20 71:22	76:7 77:10,15 78:11 86:10,20
A	addition 108:3	72:5 74:9 75:10 100:9 102:20	87:13,15 88:16 96:7 102:21
aberration 50:6 81:23 82:5 89:19	additionally 82:1	103:4 111:3	103:5
And the second s	adjust 92:24	annotations 36:7	applied
aberrations 81:6,11 82:3	adjustment 89:14	37:5,21	13:14,16,17
87:17 88:2	90:18	answer 12:7 22:24	apply 34:4
ability 31:3 116:12	advanced 21:24	30:10 31:10 32:21 81:14	arbitrary 62:16 77:2,3
accept 7:13 9:13	advised 72:10	102:15	
33:23 59:22	aesthetic 57:7	answered 99:2	area 87:20 areas 80:18
access 100:23	affect 54:16 93:19	107:14	
according 57:23	affected 112:21	anybody 35:5,14	Arizona 4:4
60:6	113:15,19	57:2 108:11	arranged
account 85:22	114:10,14	anyone 69:14	58:6,21,24
102:17 103:1	affects 96:2	anything 34:24	arrangement 58:3
107:2,6	against 105:12	35:4 97:18	art 19:21 21:13
accuracy 55:6,8,13	agreed 119:10	aperture 76:9,10	26:10,17
accurate 17:12	air 39:13,15,19	85:24 86:3	35:12,16 46:7
27:6 39:14 40:19	84:3,5,7	apologies 50:22	52:13,14 59:11,14 61:24
49:10 51:9,10	Alexandria 2:8	APPEAL 1:2	62:5,24
65:4 72:11,12 91:16 105:3	7	appear 74:19	75:4,8,19 76:5
	allow 31:10	92:10 109:12	79:12 90:18 92:
achieve 12:3 27:15	aloud 15:5 32:1	110:13,14 117:7	103:22
93:1	45:24 47:5 57:20	appeared 35:12	articles 15:20
acknowledge	89:7		AS1 85:24
117:3	am 1:15 4:14 9:2	appears 11:10,22 44:16 53:22	ascertain 35:11
acronym 7:5	13:7 16:22 18:3,19 20:14	76:22 85:4 88:17	ascertaining 35:1
across 7:18 9:21	24:13,15 28:1	applicant 33:19	
action 100:24	31:10 39:2 51:12	application 32:7	aspect 82:2
116:13,16	57:15 98:5	33:11,21	aspects 24:16 78:
actual 5:19 44:11	116:12,14	34:13,15,17,18,2	assessment 40:17
67:8 69:18	amount 94:17	2	assistance 36:9,12

Page	3
1 45	- 0
-	

associated 61:13	beam 40:17	75:24 98:1,4	career 5:15
Associates 15:8,17 21:17 22:5 23:11,17,18 24:7	44:6,13 79:5,7,8,20 80:4,8,9 81:22	104:2,6 breakdown 38:18,20	Carl 1:5 2:12 67:5 116:7 118:5 119:5
assume 10:18	82:2,19,20,24 83:21 84:18	breakdowns 70:21	carry 67:13
37:11 88:11 108:15,16	85:14,16,17,22,2 4 86:4,21,24	breaking 36:23 38:16	case 1:6 40:5 109: 113:9 118:5
assuming 84:24	87:4,8,14,16,20	breakpoints 36:21	cases 86:11
attached 117:8 attempt 22:21	88:4,6,14,20 91:5,8,11,12,18 92:5 93:4,11	breaks 59:15,16 114:23	catadioptric 13:1: 57:22 82:7,15 89:13 95:9
attended 5:23	94:13,16,22	broadest 32:4	causing 31:13
attorney 3:14	bearing 94:16	broke 38:14 41:4,5	ce 119:23
116:14	becomes 73:22	broken 41:12	200 (200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
attributes 109:20	begin 5:1	brought 100:22	certainly 36:13 41:12 76:3,8
authors 15:20 available 14:3,8	beginning 21:23 40:21 89:8 99:10	<b>bulge</b> 43:11,24 44:7,14,21 76:21	87:17 103:17
aware 13:4,7,9	begins 50:18	79:5,7,8,10,13,1	certainty 56:18
20:12,14 46:22	BEHALF 2:3,12	8 80:8,14,17,22	certify 116:6
55:18,20,21 59:16,19	behind 60:4,8,11 61:5,18 76:9	81:11,22 82:9,13,24 83:2 85:2,9,14	change 73:16 74:22 118:8
67:13,16 away 105:18	77:21,22	86:4,14	Change/Reason 118:8
axis 46:19 47:10 60:10 80:1	believe 29:21 34:8 35:22 46:24 48:11 50:17	87:5,6,8,10,14,1 6 88:15,21,22 93:16 97:14 99:7	changed 38:19 73:1
B Bachelor's 5:3	59:10 67:4 71:8 88:7,9 92:20 104:1	C calculate 55:14	changes 38:5 73:13 117:7
background 4:22	bending 111:22	64:6 70:23 108:8,12 109:19	<b>changing</b> 10:23 95:24
ballpark 88:24 based 11:24 32:10	benefit 12:16 best 90:2 116:12	calculating 55:10	characteristics 54:21
37:13 39:4 40:16 42:3 48:8 62:18	better 12:3 74:20	calculation 55:12 64:2,22,24	characterize 79:17,18
64:2,22 65:2,5 67:9 71:12 72:14,16	beyond 81:17 binoculars 27:24 28:14 29:5,6,16	69:15,20 71:3 72:19	choose 53:3 76:8,12 78:20 101:11
76:15,17 78:2 83:11 109:14,21 111:13	BOARD 1:2 Boston 1:18 2:17	calculations 54:24 55:7 68:5,9 69:23 70:9 72:17	chose 41:1 52:10,12 66:17
basically 85:21	116:8 119:3	California 5:4,6,7	74:17 101:19
basing 83:14	break 40:6 41:9,15 42:17 43:16	cancel 105:23	chosen 103:17
109:17	57:14 59:20	capital 49:9 118:1	chromatic 82:5

Civil 30:14 31:5	columns 51:9,16	67:20,23,24	31:14
claim 32:3,9 34:6	combinations	68:4,8,12,15,17	construed 39:7
41:21 42:7 45:19	71:10	computing 54:20	construing 98:12
46:1,2,18 47:13,18	combined 111:20	concatenation 26:19	contacting 119:11
48:2,4,8,13	comes 32:2	concept 79:4 82:14	contain 110:13
74:2,7 96:12,15	coming 45:2 94:2		contained 71:16
97:2 108:21	comment 56:11	concepts 82:13	context 7:17 92:21
claim's 32;4	Commission	concerned 31:11	continuation
clarify 11:2	116:23	concluded 111:8	19:16
clarity 6:2	common 62:18	113:5,6,9,24	contributes 106:14
cleaner 57:6	78:12,18	114:2,6 115:4	contribution
clear 77:19	commonly 66:11	conclusion 112:18	106:4,5
102:9,12	Commonwealth	113:14,18	contributions
clearly 51:23	116:1,5,11	114:9,13	105:10,23,24
86:22	communicate	condition 46:4	
	37:16	47:5,7,13	control 81:10
clients 23:23 24:3		89:15,18,24 90:14,19 93:2	87:17 88:2 91:3,24 92:1,18
close 28:9	compactness 99:17	99:18 100:10	93:14,15 97:16
closer 94:4 100:22		107:7,8 109:3	
CLR 1:21	Company 118:1	conferences 5:22	controlling 81:6,23 87:22
CODE 15:8,11	compare	17:5	90:22
21:21,22	65:19,22,23	confirm 96:10	
22:3,19,20,22	complete 17:9		convenient 56:4,7 97:24 98:3
23:1,2,19,22	18:5 108:3 117:5	conjunction 19:3	
24:4 37:19	completely 97:6	connotations 62:8	converge 94:3
49:3,13,18 50:12	complex 40:2	consecutive 71:20	conversely 80:7
52:22 53:14,20	composed 86:12	consider 6:10	convince 22:21
54:4,13,17 55:19 63:17 64:20	and the second s	20:23 21:12	cooperate 28:19
65:5,16 66:9	comprise 43:10 112:20 114:1	41:14 98:11	cooperating
67:21		107:17	107:24
68:12,15,16,22	comprised 43:11 114:3	consideration	copy 37:23 68:11
112:6,8		103:8	119:8
collect 28:15,19	comprises 83:3	considered 24:13	
101:2	89:11 112:15	26:11 28:4,6	Corporation 1:8 2:3 116:7 118:5
collection 62:13	113:7	38:24 39:3 40:5	119:5,14
63:19	comprising 96:22	103:6 107:6	
collectively 114:7	computations	considering	correct 47:23 48:3 49:11 50:4
55-10-45-1-4 - 40 <b>4</b> - 3-4-30-5	54:17	111:12	63:15,16 64:22
College 5:4	compute 109:6	consistent	65:17 66:5 72:2
column 47:2,5	computed 52:24	32:5,12,15	73:4 83:24 96:1
52:9 89:6 95:5	109:17	consternation	98:20,21 99:15
99:11	computer	COMPLET HALIUH	100:13 101:23

103:12 104:18,21 105:2	105:1,3,6,8,14,1 5,18 106:15	108:19	41:1 43:15 67:8 80:22 81:2
104.18,21 103.2		defines 34:9	
107:5 108:22 117:5	customer 21:19 24:3	defining 28:18 58:18 74:7 78:16	designed 14:15 15:15 60:2
corrected 93:3	customers 21:21	definitely 104:15	designer 6:3,7,8
correcting 93:8	CV 7:1 14:22	definition 27:2	17:11 18:9 19:6 20:9 80:21
99:18 100:4,12 102:18 103:1	D	28:3 32:8,10 107:12 109:1	designers 15:21 75:24 82:8
corrections 117:7	D.C 118:2	degree 5:3,6	designing 82:6
corrective 91:17	data 49:13 50:12 53:2,14,21	demonstrating 23:22	designs 16:14
corrects 89:18 99:24 100:2,9	54:5,14 55:19 56:23 57:5,6,11	demonstrations 22:19	17:15 18:12 19:10 20:19
correspond 41:17	64:3 65:14,16,19,22		82:14,16
70:20 96:11	66:7,21 67:2,8	depend 91:8,10 dependent 46:2	detail 52:16,18,21 81:16
corresponding 10:10 49:14,18	69:8	depending 47:15	determinant 73:8
50:12 109:15	date 49:18 117:12 118:7,24	depends 55:9	determination
corresponds 8:13	dates 69:5	Deponent 4:2	73:16 74:22
cost 66:16	David 16:20	118:6	107:3
counsel 30:11 97:22 114:24	17:19,20 18:8	deposition 1:13 114:24 115:4	determinations 73:5
116:13,15	day 4:23 116:16	116:6,14 118:7	determine 49:4
119:14	days 119:12	119:8	63:18 64:11 65:
countries 22:1	Dear 119:7	Deschaine 1:21	71:21 73:2 112:2,7,9
course 5:14 31:7	deciding 73:8	116:4,21 119:20	determined
CRA 1:21	decision 72:13,15	describe 22:19 35:5 51:1 52:15	113:12
created 37:19		described 27:14	devices 9:10
cross-examination 1:13 4:7 113:3	<b>Declaration</b> 29:20 45:10,15 47:19	32:19 42:4	devoted 23:19,22
116:6	48:17 55:22	52:17,21 76:18 81:4 87:17,24	24:3,7 25:11
CSR 1:21	67:22 69:9 75:11 98:10 111:1	90:20 97:17	diagram 37:18 58:1 104:13
curious 30:17	decrease 92:6	99:13	109:18
currently 23:2 63:22 64:16	106:17	describes 20:15 42:13	diameter 44;11,1 80:5,10 84:18
M. M	decreased 89:14 95:10	DESCRIPTION	85:2,8,9,11,13,1
curvature 51:4 81:7 82:4 83:24		3:8	6,17,22,23 87:9
87:23 89:19 90:9	decreasing 11:13	design 12:13	88:14,20,21
100:1,2,13	define 26:8,15	13:19,24 14:18	90:24 91:9,11,1
101:23 102:1,18	97:2	15:11 16:12	92:5 93:12 94:2
103:2,13,15	defined 77:16	21:1,9 24:8,24	diameters 100:6
104:18,20,22,24	86:22 98:12	25:3,11 39:23	and the second s

-	1 28		
Dickin 51:23	discussions 12:12	88:8,9,11	E3 112:16
difference 63:1 90:10	33:10 disposed 60:7,10	<b>Drive</b> 1:17 2:16 116:8 119:2	<b>E4</b> 112:16,22 113:19 114:14
different 33:11	96:20	driver's 4:4	E5 112:16
38:18 40:9 53:5 54:8,11 56:22 62:8,10 71:9	distance 46:13,17,18 47:9 49:4	driving 90:22 Duke 2:7	E6 43:4 44:4,19 60:5,8,11,21 61:6 63:14
75:23 76:2 101:14	distances 55:3	duly 4:5	64:7,12 65:1
difficult 93:15 100:4	distinct 58:6,21 59:1,8	during 22:4 33:20 71:2 114:22	69:13 72:20,24 73:1,6,7,14,15 74:13 76:14
difficulty 42:23	diverge 40:17 77:6 79:9 94:2 97:13	E	77:1,5,21 96:11
digits 52:9,24 53:1,3,5 54:7	divergence 100:21	E1 111:10,19 113:15 114:10	98:19,24 100:16 103:24 104:9,13,18
dimension 79:24		E10 44:5,12,20	106:19
dioptric 82:14	diverges 99:6	63:14 64:7,13	107:11,15
	diverging 40:11	65:2 69:13 73:1,7,15 74:14	108:5,9,13,15,19
direct 111:2	45:1 83:17 86:13 100:18	76:14 77:1,6	,24 109:2,11,21
direction 37:14 38:3 101:8	divide 36:17 41:1	87:2 88:20 96:11 98:19,24	110:3,8,14 113:7 <b>E7</b> 60:9
directly 66:9	75:5,8,21	100:16,20,24	61:9,11,19,22
directs 90:1	76:6,23	104:15 108:4	77:11,16 78:12
discloses 46:23 61:2	divided 42:2 44:19 46:5,13 47:8 105:7	109:12 110:14 113:7	101:11,22 102:5 103:12,16 104:13 113:7
disclosure 61:3	dividing 42:23	E11 38:23	E8 104:14 113:7
discoverability 30:18	division 71:21 104:5	39:3,6,8,11,14,1 9 40:12,15,20 44:8,20	<b>E9</b> 103:24 104:10,15,18
discovery 30:16 31:3	document 8:8,18 9:16 12:1,9,17	72:7,11,14,15,20 ,24 73:7,15,20 74:16,17,22 88:4	106:20 107:11,16 108:6,9,13,16,19
discrepancy 47:17 48:13	15:2 48:23 63:10 done 5:24 48:15	100:20 101:1,6 114:3	,24 109:2,21 110:4,8 113:7
discuss 69:22 70:1 114:23	66:11 100:6 draft 31:17,19	<b>E12</b> 39:11,14,19	earlier 64:18 72:4 76:18 77:12
discussed 35:17 36:7,13,23	drafting 45:10	E15 44:17 87:10 E2 111:11,19	97:17
38:14,15 69:16,18 70:2	drawing 37:20,22,24	<b>E20</b> 43:5 44:8,19 60:9.21	early 69:3 easily 29:18 93:5
74:24 77:12	38:6,13,17,21 65:23	61:9,12,19,22	easy 92:23
89:21	drawings 32:24	77:12,16 78:12	edited 56:15
discussion 7:3 8:15 66:19,23	33:2,3	101:7,11,23 102:6 103:12,16	editing 56:17
88:12	drawn 84:24	114:3	Edition 8:21

	1 ag	C /	
education 5:2	entirety 34:19	14:21 29:20,21	101:13
effect 103:8	111:13	34:13 45:21,22	110:12,14
effectively 15:11 94:5	entry 65:14 66:21 69:8	48:18 49:13,15 50:1,8 53:15	factor 88:22 fair 7:4 12:11
	equal 103:18	54:5 56:24 63:22	14:14 16:10,18
eight 53:11		64:1,3,15,19 66:4 67:15 78:22	18:7 19:4
elections 60:9	equally 75:22	89:3 96:7 111:1	20:1,17,22 21:1
element 39:16 60:8,11 72:7 87:10 93:19	equation 46:6,9,10,12,16, 23 48:8	exhibits 3:8,14 7:2 8:14	24:1 25:21 31:2: 33:24 34:11 35:19 39:17
105:7 108:4	errata 117:8	exit 100:20	45:18 48:6 51:2
111:10,11 112:22	.119:13	exiting 111:24	52:1,19 53:7,12 55:24 63:5 69:6
113:15,19	error 53:20,22	expectation 11:16	72:18 80:20
114:10,14	54:22 56:17	expectations 9:9	81:16,20 95:4
elements 28:9	errors 54:13,16	12:22	96:5 107:1
58:4,6,24	55:16,18,21 66:3	experiment 71:20	110:17
60:3,5,7,17 61:4,6,11,17	Esquire 2:5,6,14,15	experiment 71.20	fairly 27:13 51:20 63:4 92:23
63:14 65:1 69:13	119:1,15,23	expert 4:13 24:14	familiar 8:24
82:20,22,23 83:3	estimate 45:5 85:1	29:22 30:4	15:21,23
105:4 111:8,10	88:13,19	35:21,23 45:10	16:2,5,7,8,11,14
112:13,16,19	EUV 14:2	47:18 48:11,17	21,23
113:4,7,24 114:3		55:22 63:7,12	17:2,8,14,24
eleven 15:7 23:12	eventually 74:17	67:22 69:9 74:10 75:11	18:3,11,14,17,23
else 65:11,15	evidence 116:10		19:9 20:2,18
97:8,18	exact 7:18 55:7	experts 20:24 31:3	21:5 25:19 27:23
	56:19 69:5 112:9	Expires 116:23	29:4 79:4
elsewhere 55:3	exactly 26:23 37:4	explain 40:4,24	fashion 75:10
e-mails 21:20	109:24	43:3 44:18 49:1	faxes 21:20
embodiment 35:10	examination 33:20	70:12 77:4 86:6	
57:24 60:6	110:22	89:23 90:4,16	feature 12:14
emitters 25:7		92:19 95:16	February 1:15
employed	examined 4:6	98:23 99:24	116:9,16 118:7
116:13,15	26:1,4 117:4	100:15 101:10	119:12
employee 116:14	example 7:20 8:1	109:8	Federal 30:13 31:4
	19:21 27:8 33:16 52:23 55:11,15	Exposure 9:20	feel 42:16 76:24
employment 5:19 22:4	100:19	expressed 16:15	felt 78:7
Enclosed 119:8	examples 5:17	extent 66:20	fewer 41:13
English 51:9	54:13	eye 29:18	field 6:17,18,21
	exception 21:3	eyepiece 29:5,8,16	13:18 15:24
entered 67:9	Executive 116:10		16:12 17:11,15
entering 66:9		F	18:9,24 20:20,24
entire 60:20	exemplary 60:6	fact 74:13 76:15	26:6 39:22 81:7
	exhibit 3:9 8:9		82:4 83:24 87:23

	1 age	. 0	
89:19 99:24	Fish 1:17 2:13	92:9 93:22 95:20	functioned 27:15
100:2,12 101:23	5:24 16:16	97:5 98:15	functions 41:8
102:1,18	30:5,8 34:23	105:21 110:6,15	1972, 1
103:2,13,15	35:17 36:8,14		90:13 97:15,19
104:18,20,21,24	37:11,22 38:3,15	formed 43:24	99:14,23 102:18
		44:14 99:4	103:1
105:1,2,12,14,15	56:13 65:7,10	forming 76:20	future 9:9 11:18
,18 106:15	66:12 67:1 68:3	97:1 107:19	12:4,22
figure 9:16,18	69:16 74:24	10.1000, 20.000, 3.000	14.7,24
10:22 12:18,21	116:8 119:2	formula 47:23	
35:11,15,21	fit 74:19	48:13,14 90:5,6	G
36:1,3,5,11,18,2	3,5 4 4 30	forth 9:8 27:12	<b>G21</b> 83:13 85:8,23
2 37:2,6 38:11	five 41:11 109:11	31:4 41:15 42:14	86:7 93:19
39:12,19 41:1,19	fixing 82:1,3	51:24 76:11 98:9	general 26:22
42:9,17,24		Decor the the	40:10 107:20
	flat 90:2	forward 11:17	
44:2,10,15 51:16	flatten 105:12	40:12 101:1	108:2
57:21,24 58:10	Floor 118:2	119:13	generally 6:21,24
65:3,20 66:1		foundation 7:12	26:24 32:23 34:
71:22 72:5 74:9	fluent 51:12		58:3 61:1 62:3
75:6,9,11,15,21	fluids 20:16 21:10	9:12 11:9,20	82:6,8 88:1
76:6 78:23 79:13		12:6 13:1 78:12	89:21 90:4
81:23 82:18,24	focal 55:15	fourteen 60:7	
83:6,9 84:1,24	63:13,18 64:6,11	formth 10.716	generate 37:7,9
85:3	65:1 69:12	fourth 40:7,16	generated
86:2,8,19,23	70:4,8,18,23	54:1,4,10 93:23	37:12,17 38:2,1
87:12,21	71:17 72:21	94:9 95:21	44:24
88:4,8,15 96:7	73:2,6,21,23	113:22	
97:3 98:13	74:3,5 76:16	frame 37:4	German 51:13
100:9,16 101:9	90:1 91:10,14	front 45:2 50:9	given 31:9 32:4
102:20 103:4,19	93:13,14,17		35:1 36:16
	108:9,12,17	60:12 76:10	65:12,19 117:6
107:4 109:15	109:6 110:1	full 15:5	
111:3,9,14	112:10	Fullerton 5:5	giving 15:9 22:2,3
112:4,14,20	112:10		G-l-a-e-t-z-l 81:4
113:1,10,23	focus 5:10	function 26:13	
figures 33:3	28:16,20 66:3	27:5,7,15	glass 51:5
Glag 56.12 14	foregoing 117:4	28:13,17	Glatzle 81:3 82:13
files 56:13,14		29:13,15 42:3	87:18,24
63:17 67:12,17	form 13:1,22 14:6	62:12,18 71:12	Glatzle's 81:16
filing 13:6,9,10	21:6 27:17 29:13	76:18 78:16,19	
final 83:3	40:11,13 41:24	83:11,14,16	Glitzenstein 2:14
	42:11,20	87:14,16,19,23	3:5 7:11 8:12
financially 116:15	43:9,13,15,23	88:1 92:14,17	9:11 11:8,19
first 4:3 22:18	44:2,6 48:1	97:10,11 98:11	12:5,24 13:21
35:2,7 38:20	51:18 62:20 71:7	99:5 101:15	14:5 27:16,19
41:14 52:3	72:1 77:18 78:5		30:9,23 31:8
53:9,14 60:18	79:10,15	102:10 106:20	41:23 42:10,19
	80:12,24 81:2,13	107:24 108:1	47:24 50:5
61:15 81:2 99:24	82:11 83:18	110:15,16	56:3,6,8 62:19
111:6,7,9,12,15	84:10,21 91:21	functional 86:17	70:14 71:6,24
113:16 114:11	04.10,21 71.21		70.14 /1.0,24

77:17 78:4 79:14 80:11,23 81:12 82:10 84:9,20 91:20 92:8 93:21	86:11,13,14 93:24 94:3,7,9,19 95:22,24	69:10 80:22 89:11,24 94:20 95:12 96:23 100:3 101:7	80:16 83:8 97:9 ignore 77:14 I'll 31:10 63:7
91:20 92:8 93:21 95:19 97:4,22 98:2,14 99:1	97:14,20 98:11 99:4,5,7,21	109:3	illustrated 57:24
102:8 105:20	100:3,5,7	heard 17:4	illustrating 58:2
107:13 110:5,20,23 114:17 119:1,7	101:1,6,17,19 102:14 104:5,6,8,9	help 7:21 8:2,3,6 22:24 30:5 36:6 105:11,23	illustration 73:14 I'm 12:19 16:7,8,14
glitzenstein@fr.co m 2:19	107:22 108:2,5 109:7,10 110:1,12,14	helps 97:16 hereby 116:6 117:3	17:8,17 18:14 20:21 30:9,17 34:6 35:3 43:19
GmbH 1:5 2:12 116:7 118:5 119:5	113:12 114:2 grouped 29:12	he's 60:20,22 61:16,21 91:1	49:9 55:20 67:16 86:11 107:8 109:17
goings 114:23 gone 72:5	grouping 27:4 62:16 72:8 75:14 77:20	hesitate 119:17 histories 33:10	image 25:8,9 40:13 78:15 90:2 94:1
gotten 66:4	groups 23:5,8 40:1	history 17:9 32:6	95:23 96:3
Governor 116:11	41:6,8	33:7,15,22	imager 27:11
graph 9:17,22 10:1 11:10,16	42:2,13,14 43:17 58:7,15,18,21	hobby 6:11 home	imaging 27:9 44:22 93:24
12:19,20	59:1,4,15,17,21 70:3,17,19,20,21	68:1,2,12,15,17	immaterial 109:6
graphic 10:22 11:5 37:7,10,12,13,17	,24 71:4,12 76:19,23 77:5	hour 45:8 97:23 hours 45:17	immersion 13:13 20:15 21:10
38:2,7,11 graphs 12:18	guess 72:7	hp16 10:15	impact 54:23 55:1,4,12,17
group 21:19 23:4 26:12,16,18,20,2 1 27:14 40:13,21	guidance 35:14 36:16 74:21 75:2 guide 42:8	hp90 10:13 human 29:18	91:7,9,13,14 93:13 102:1 103:15
43:9,11,16,23	guided 42:12 74:8		104:19,24
44:1,3,7,21 45:1		I'd 4:21 8:7 29:19	impinged 5:20
55:16 59:6,7 60:3,17,20,23	H half 7:20,23,24	34:12 35:20 48:16 56:1 98:5	implies 28:24 62:11,13 90:1
61:4,10,13,16,24 62:1,7,11,12,14,	10:9,17,19,23,24	idea 70:3	imply 15:15 61:21
17 63:2 70:8 71:14,15	11:5,7,12 12:22 85:10 88:23	ideally 106:8 ideas 43:17	importance 107:10
72:16,20,23,24 73:1,6,8,14,15,1	handed 50:2 happen 94:15	identification 8:11	important 12:13 78:8
7,21,23	<b>happy</b> 56:9		imported 65:16
74:4,11,16,18,19 76:20,21	hard 106:22	identified 4:3 76:19 99:22	include 27:1 32:18
77:6,9,11,15 78:2,18 83:2,12 85:8,10,11	haven't 102:13 having 4:3 12:16	100:7 101:14,15 identify 49:23 70:17 76:9 77:20	45:11 55:16 72:11,13,15 73:20 74:15,17

103:24 108:23	intention 15:14	it's 8:21 9:19 12:1	57:13,16 62:22
included 24:17 64:16 72:7	Inter 4:15 45:6 63:22 64:16	29:20,21 31:13 43:6,24 49:12 50:5,17 54:2	70:22 71:18 72:3 77:24 78:9 79:19 80:19 81:9,19
includes 58:4,23 98:19	67:15 interaction 33:18	57:1 77:3 79:22 82:3 83:16	82:17 84:13,23 92:2,16 94:10
including 15:13 80:17 82:4 102:2	interested 116:15 interference 25:23	88:6,11 90:6 91:7 92:23 96:10	96:4 97:7 98:6,7,17 99:8
incoming 28:15	33:16	102:9 104:4,14 106:15,22 108:1	102:11 103:10 106:2 107:15,21
increase 94:14,23 105:1 106:16	intermediate 21:23 78:15	111;2 I've 5:24 7:18	110:10,18 114:21 115:2
increasing 94:6 indeed 101:20	International 3:9 7:9 8:9,19	16:16 19:2 51:19 97:17	119:14,23 kinds 15:12
independent 64:10	interpret 75:24	C	knew 31:21
index 51:5 90:10 105:7	interpretation 32:5,15 33:12,13	J Japan	knowledge 20:11
indicate 11:11 33:11	62:15 interpreted 32:10	22:9,10,15,16 <b>Jefferson</b> 118:1	Kurt 2:14 119:1
indicates 11:5	interpreting 32:3	jkern@oblon.com	L.L.P 2:4
	interrupt 56:4	2:10	L217 83:4
indicating 12:21 indirectly 94:8	intimately 17:8	John 2:5	L25 82:22
	introduce 8:7	119:14,23	L26 82:22
individual 10:19 41:8	introduced 56:17	Juergens 1:13 3:3 4:2,8 8:18	L27 83:3
industry 9:8 11:16	introducing 93:9	30:10,22 31:17	L6 84:2,6,8,14
12:3 81:3	inventor 78:1	34:15 45:4 57:17	L7 84:2,7,8,15
information 30:19 112:4	involved 24:15 25:14 38:22	60:15 66:20 75:3 98:8 103:11,21 110:24	L8 84:3,7
infrared 25:4,6,7,8 initial 39:4 72:21	involvement 25:22	110:24 114:18,22 116:7 117:3,12 118:6	labeled 9:19 10:6 38:23 71:14 83:13 111:10
74:22	involving 4:18	119:9	
initially 39:3,9 40:5	IPR 45:20,22 78:22	July 116:23	labels 51:8
in-line 82:7	IPR2013-00363 1:6 4:16	<u>K</u> Karl 4:13	51:11 74:7 97:2 large 39:23
inquiring 30:12	Irvine 5:8	Kern 2:5 3:14 7:15	79:13,17 80:22
instances 54:13	isn't 102:12	8:7,13,16 9:14	81:11 82:8 85:1
instruct 57:2 instructed 70:7	issue 30:13 35:17 74:24	11:14,23 12:10 13:3 14:1,9	91:9,14 93:12 116:6
instruction 38:6	issued 116:10	27:22 30:20	larger 39:18 43:10 84:7,11 88:22
instructions 38:10	iteration 72:6	31:7,9,12,15 42:6,15,22 43:18	94:21,22 100:6
intended 47:23	ITRS 7:5 11:24	48:5 50:7 56:21	largest 86:3

last 15:5,15 32:20	,14,18	Ienses 21:1	license 4:5
37:3	29:1,5,8,9,10,14,	26:12,19 27:4,14	light 28:15,20
later 38:12,17	16 34:5,9	28:19 29:6 38:22	29:17,18
72:10,23 82:15	36:10,14,17	39:20 41:5 42:2	40:11,22 45:2
	38:23	43:11,12,16,23,2	77:7 80:9 83:17
latest 68:21	39:3,6,11,16,23,	4 44:2,4,6,19,20	86:13 94:2,4
latter 43:6	24	45:1 49:23	97:13 99:6
leading 13:5,11,18	40:1,7,9,10,12,1	52:15,17 58:20	100:18
14:4,11	6	59:7,20 60:21,23	
	41:2,6,7,10,11,1	61:9,13,19	likely 109:23
learn 9:3,7	3 42:4,8,18,24	62:1,7,12,13,14,	Likewise 80:14
learned 5:13,21	43:7,8	17 64:12 70:8	limitation 74:1
least 12:21 23:7	44:8,12,15,17	71:16,21	96:19 108:20
40:5 72:5 73:15	45:3 49:2 51:19	72:20,24 74:12	
74:12.96:22	52:3,20,22	75:9,21	limitations 31:2
	53:9,14	76:6,9,10,14	line 10:5 47:2,5
lecture 22:6,15	54:1,4,10 55:15	77:11,16,21	65:22,23
lectures 15:10	58:6,14,18,24	78:2,12,18 79:10	89:6,8,9 95:6
legal 29:23 98:9	60:3,5,7,8,9,11,1	83:22 84:2,3,14	99:11 118:8
	7 61:3,6,11,17	90:23 91:2,17,23	lines 10:20 66:24
lend 105:8	62:1,6,11,16	92:4,15,24	
length 55:15	63:2,19 64:7	94:11,20	list 6:23 13:11
63:13,18 64:6,12	65:24 66:2,14	96:11,23	53:2,4
65:1 69:13	70:3,17,19,21,24	98:19,24	listed 7:1
70:5,9,24 71:17	71:4,21	101:11,13,15,18,	listened 5:23
72:21 73:3,21,23	72:7,11,14	20,22,24 102:2,5	
74:3,5 76:16	73:8,14,15,16	103:12,16,24	lists 10:14
89:12	74:2,7,13,14,23	104:10,17,19,21,	literally 21:24
91:10,14,19	75:5,24	23	lithographic 15:1
92:1,6,13	77:1,5,15 78:19	105:8,9,11,12,13	17:7 81:8
93:13,14,17,20	80:2,21	,17	
94:8,13,23 95:8	81:1,8,22 83:4	106:1,3,4,16,17,	lithography 5:13
96:2 100:17	84:6,7,8	19 107:11	6:19 8:22 9:19
101:3,4 102:6,19	87:20,21	108:9,13,15,24	13:5,14,16
103:3,9 106:21	88:3,14,20 91:12	109:4,9,11,21	14:2,11,16
108:9,12,17	93:18,23	110:3,13	15:13,24 16:13
109:6 110:1	95:17,18,21	111:19,20	17:11,16 18:9,1
112:10	99:14,21	less 46:5,14	19:1,11 20:20
lengths 70:18 73:6	100:7,8,23,24	47:8,9,14	48:12
	102:20	84:19,22 88:18	litigation 25:23
lens 6:3,7,8 8:5	103:3,14,23		little 31:11 100:4
12:14 14:16,19	104:7,9	lesser 91:13	
15:20 16:12	106:10,14,21	107:10	located 44:10,12
17:10 18:9 19:6	107:3,12,18,23	let's 55:2 88:11	80:3,8 83:20
20:9	108:16,17,19,24	98:3	86:3 88:4 94:12
26:1,8,14,16,18,	109:1,5	level 52:15,18,21	107:4
23 27:2,4,13,24	110:3,4,16		location 40:1 78:3
28:2,3,4,7,8,9,11	112:16,21	levels 21:24	91:5 96:1

	1 4,50		^
locations 58:7	77:10,15 78:11	88:23	mistakes 64:19
logical 70:20 74:15	86:19,20	MCCLELLAND	mix 106:9
75:14,16,18,22	87:1,4,13,14,21	2:3	modified 38:12
76:22	88:5,8,15 96:6 97:3 98:13,18	mean 26:9 32:13	modify 38:7,10
logically 74:19	99:21 100:17	33:7,9 35:4	
78:17	101:10 102:21	46:10	moment 27:20 63:8
long 23:10 24:19	103:5 107:4	59:1,5,9,12 64:8 65:11 90:17	
	111:3,9,14	101:3 108:5	morning 4:8,9
M	112:4,12,14,20 113:1,10,23		move 101:7
M1 112:17		meaning 26:22 62:4 63:4	moving 11:17 94:
M2 112:17	manner 58:2		multi-mirror
M3 49:4 60:5	Mann's 57:18	means 26:15 32:14 46:12 59:3 89:23	57:22
61:5,18 77:22	58:17 76:7 86:9	92:20,23	multiple 26:19
84:3 112:17	manually 67:9	meant 31:22 32:20	
M4 84:6 112:17	Marc 2:15 119:1	106:16	N
Ma 46:5,13,15,17	Marina 1:17 2:16	meet 22:24 107:11	namely 92:12
47:8,9 49:9	116:8 119:2	108:20 109:2	namer 26:21
macro 49:22	mark 8:8	mentioned 20:24	nanometer 14:10
magnification	marked 8:10	72:4	nanometers
54:21 66:2,5	38:10	met 18:21 20:5	7:21,24 10:17,2
MAIER 2:3	marketing 21:18	23:5	11:1
main 21:15 24:11	22:2	method 81:5	narrow 92:5
92:14,17 93:8	Massachusetts		narrower 91:17
97:19	1:18 2:17	microlithography 5:20,22	
major 86:17	116:1,6,8,11	6:4,9,11,14,17	narrowest 79:24 80:15 84:18
	119:3	13:12 19:7 21:2	
managed 14:18 23:8	Master's 5:6	24:9 25:12 26:6	natural 40:1 43:16,20
	match 41:20	middle 46:1	
Mann 34:12,14,17,18,2	material 51:6	millimeters 63:15	necessarily 91:24 95:3
2 35:1,6,9,21			
36:18,22 39:13	matter 24:14 79:4 114:6 116:7	mind 98:8	negative 40:10 42:13 43:10 45:
41:2,9,20		minimum 80:4	63:14 70:4,8
42:9,17,24	Mattson 2:6 119:23	mirror 46:20	71:16,17 73:24
44:10,15 45:5,14		47:11 49:4 60:5	74:3,5,12,14
49:3,14,19	maximum 44:16	61:5 77:22	76:16,19 77:6
50:13,16 51:2 52:10,11 54:9	80:10 87:9	84:3,6	83:17,22 86:13
56:1 58:10,13	may 48:15	mirrors 58:5,8,23	89:12 90:23
59:19,24 60:18	56:16,22 64:18 75:24 76:2 80:16	59:6 102:3 112:17	91:2,12,23
61:2,3,8 65:3,20	75:24 76:2 80:16 95:2		92:14,24 93:9,10,11
66:8 67:10		mischaracterizati	94:6,18,21 95:2
71:5,23 74:9	maybe 37:3 43:20 45:8 85:5,6,20	on 41:24 70:15	96:23 99:5 100:
75:6,9,21	43.0 03.3,0,20	missing 47:15	101:17,18,20

	1 46		4-+
104:5,7,11,14,16 ,20 105:9,10,11,17,2 2 106:3,4,10 109:4,5,9,13,20 110:13 113:10,13 negatives 49:23 negotiations 66:12 neither 116:12 NEUSTADT 2:4 Nikon 1:8 2:3 8:8 22:6,7,9 23:2,5 116:7 118:5 119:5,14 Nixon 22:16 nobody 36:20	71:6,24 77:17 78:4 79:14 80:11,23 81:12 82:10 84:9,20 91:20 92:8 93:21 95:19 97:4 98:14 99:1 102:8 105:20 107:13 110:5  objective 27:24 28:2,8,9,14 29:14 57:23  OBLON 2:3 obtain 72:20 obvious 42:3 43:22,24 51:20 92:11 obviously 41:13	109:11 110:17 111:6 114:4  Omura 18:17,18,21 19:5,19 23:9 25:24  Omura's 18:24 19:10 on-the-job 5:15,18 onto 40:14,22 77:9 78:15 operate 102:6 operation 26:13 operative 31:5 opinion 75:3 79:11 82:19 83:1,19 96:10 97:1	optics 5:10 6:13,2 optimize 52:22 ORA 22:4 order 112:7 116:10 ordinary 21:13 26:9,16 52:14 59:11,13 61:23 62:4,24 75:4,7,13,19 76:5 79:12 90:1 92:3 103:22 104:2 original 38:9 57:10 119:9,13 others 36:6 otherwise 116:15
node 7:16 8:1 10:6 nor 66:5 116:13,15 normal 71:12	74:17 104:4 off-access 82:7 Office 1:1 33:20	103:21 opposed 62:16 66:9	outcome 116:16 output 53:2 outward 100:21
normally 52:15 Notary 4:5 116:5 nothing 114:18 notice 37:5 47:17 noticed 47:21 48:12 numerical 112:9	offices 116:8  Off-the-record 8:15  oftentimes 52:17,23 66:13 oh 40:23 50:18 okay 4:24 10:12 15:3 18:7,15	optical 15:7,12,16 16:12 17:15 18:8,12 19:6,10 20:9,19 21:1,9,16 22:5 23:11,16,18 24:7,8,16,24 25:3,11 26:5 39:22 40:2 46:3,19 47:6,10	overall 26:13 28:11 70:19 71:17 73:21,23 74:5 92:13 94:8 96:2 103:9 110:16 113:13 overseeing 24:15 Owner 1:9
NW 118:1  O object 27:20 40:11,14	19:23 20:1,17,22 21:11 25:9,21 29:3 31:9 33:17,24 35:19,24 39:17	58:1 60:10 75:14,23 76:23 80:3 82:8 83:21 84:18 89:10,13 91:19 92:6,7	P.C 2:13 119:2 page 3:2,8 9:15 47:2 50:17,20
44:23,24 76:20 77:7,8 83:18 94:1,5 95:22 96:1 <b>Objection</b> 7:11	40:23 45:18 48:19,24 50:21 51:14 53:7,12 55:24 59:22,23 60:22 63:5,11	93:20 94:13,24 95:9,13,17 96:21 101:5 102:7 107:20 optically	111:2 118:8 119:10,14 pages 117:4 pair 27:24 28:14 29:5,6,16
9:11 11:8,19 12:5,24 13:21 14:5 27:16 41:23 42:10,19 47:24 62:19 70:14	65:18 69:6 72:18 79:1 80:20 83:5,7 85:12 89:1 95:4 96:5 97:21 102:16	60:4,8,11,12 61:5,18 112:15 opticals 24:15	29:5,6,16 paper 81:16 87:18,24 papers 5:23

paragraph 14:24	patents 16:16	Petitioner 1:6	98:22 100:15
15:1,6 29:23	19:2,12,18	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	102:23 109:8
31:16,24 32:13	26:1,4 43:14	Petzval 89:18	110:24
33:8 34:2,4,6	path 96:21	90:14,22 91:3,8,15,24	119:8,12,16
35:22 48:17,21		92:18 93:1,8,15	plural 23:6
49:1 50:19	paths 79:9,22	97:17 99:18	*
58:9,14,19 59:23	pause 27:19	100:4,9 103:7,18	plurality
60:16,19 61:15	peak 80:14	105:5,9,10	58:5,23,24 59:6,7
63:6,12 90:20	people 20:23 26:24	106:5,9,17	
92:21 95:6,7		Petzval's	Plus 51:22
99:9,13	Per 12:12	89:15,18,24	PM 115:4
parallel 100:23	percent 23:24	90:19 93:2	point 40:12
Park 1:17 2:16	24:5,10 25:13	106:15	44:11,23,24
116:8 119:2	percentage	Ph.D 2:15 119:1	53:10 56:5,7
Partes 4:15 45:7	23:17,21 24:2,6	phase 13:20,24	77:7,8 83:18
63:22 64:16	25:10 30:7,21		94:1,4,5,17
67:15	31:16	photolithography	97:24 104:2,6 108:8 109:19
partially 72:16	perform 48:7	14:19 46:7	108:8 109:19
particular 19:14	56:23 57:7,11	physical 78:2	
35:10 38:23	66:22 68:4,8	physically 60:4,12	points 80:18
59:21 71:13 81:7	78:13 99:22	61:4,17 77:21,22	portion 30:7 43:6
	107:24	physics 5:4,7,9	44:14 60:16
particularly 16:13 61:14	performed 69:19		65:14 81:22
317578	72:19 89:16	picked 5:14	83:17 86:4 91:1
parties 116:13,15	90:19 92:21	pitch 7:20,23,24	93:3 94:12,21,2
pass 114:19	108:2	10:17,23,24	portions 28:11
passing 40:18 80:9	performing 69:22	11:1,6,7,12 12:22	position 30:12,17
past 11:17	78:18	pitches 10:10	positioned 84:15
patent 1:1,2,7,9	perhaps 87:3		positive 40:13
4:18 13:6	88:17	placing 91:11,17,22 92:4	42:14 43:12 70:
19:13,16,20,22	perpendicular		73:22 74:3 76:2
21:7 25:24	79:24	plan 12:2	77:9 86:14 93:2
32:7,17	person 26:9,16	plane 90:2 96:3	99:6
33:1,4,19 34:9	52:14 61:23	play 28:17 56:9	104:6,8,10,13,1
35:12,16	62:24	please 5:1,12 9:15	105:8,12,13,24
41:4,18,22	75:4,7,12,19	15:4 27:21 31:24	106:1,4,5,10
42:5,7 45:20	76:5 79:11 81:3	40:4 44:18 45:24	108:18
46:22 59:18	90:17 92:3 104:1	47:1,4 48:20	109:9,12,13,20 110:2
78:7,22,24 79:13 82:18 83:6,10	personal 67:23,24	50:15,23 51:1	111:15,20,21
86:8 87:4	personally 14:15	52:2 53:24 54:3	114:7
89:3,4,5 93:19	15:22 16:2,23	57:17,19 59:24	
96:13,16	17:22 18:20,21	63:9 73:11	positives 49:24
98:12,13,19	65:18 68:11	83:5,8 86:6	possibility 76:3
99:10	persons 21:12,13	89:7,23 90:16	possible 57:1
	A)	96:10,15,18	3/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1

potential 9:20	70:9	proportional 90:8	90:9
23:23 power 89:12 93:9,10,11	probably 13:23 17:6 23:24 26:21 38:1 57:12 63:20	propounded 81:2 82:13 prosecution 32:6	ran 112:8 range 9:24 10:9 85:19 88:18,24
94:7,18,21 95:23 96:24 100:3 101:18,21 105:7 111:15,21	69:3,4 82:4 85:20 104:14 problem 105:1,2	33:7,14,22 protects 30:14 provided 116:9	ratio 46:1,6 85:1,6,15 88:13,19
113:11,13 114:7 powerful 104:7 PowerPoint 37:20	Procedure 30:14 31:5 proceeding 19:23,24 31:6	provides 32:8 Public 4:5 116:5 publication	ray 79:9,22 104:1 109:18 111:14 112:3 rays 79:23 100:19
powers 92:24	43:15 81:18	9:1,4,8 20:14	101:2 111:23,24
predetermined 58:7 71:11 predominantly	proceedings 116:12 process 43:3	publications 6:16,20 16:6 17:3,9	Raytheon 24:12,17,20 25:1,11
43:12	produced 116:11	18:1,2,4,5,24 20:12	<b>RE</b> 119:5
prefer 82:8 preparation	production 4:4 products 24:17,18	published 9:4	reaches 80:4,10 84:18
45:6,9,14 67:21 prepared 47:18	profession	purely 109:18 purpose 35:6 40:8	readily 89:16 90:19 91:3 92:2
65:11,15 66:8 67:2,5	6:12,13,14 <b>Professional</b> 116:5,21 119:21	purposes 57:7 88:12 100:11	reading 12:17 reads 95:8
preparing 45:15 prescribed 116:10	program 53:2 65:16	putting 83:22 91:2 92:14 94:18	ready 48:22 63:9 99:10
prescription 49:2 51:19 55:4 64:23	projected 93:4	Q	really 5:20
65:2,6 109:15,22 prescriptions 66:14 67:7	projection 12:14 14:16,19 21:1 26:5 40:18 42:8,24 43:7	quality 90:2 quarter 88:23 question 11:3	reason 26:20 29:1 52:20 66:15 77:23 88:7 93:7 101:16 111:22
present 11:18 24:22 39:13 82:20,23	44:14 46:3 47:6 57:22 80:3,9 81:22 87:21	13:7,8 30:24 31:1,13 53:6 70:5 73:10 81:15	reasonable 12:1 32:5 76:4
pretty 88:9	89:10,13 91:19	questions 4:22 6:5	reasoning 98:23
previous 33:10	92:5,7 93:20 94:23 95:9,13,17	16:19 17:18 18:16 23:1 30:15	reasons 75:14 101:12 110:9,11
primarily 25:4 76:17 87:22	101:5 102:7 106:20	110:18 113:3 115:3 119:16	111:18 recall 22:14 37:1,
primary 66:15	projections 42:18	D	66:7 69:10 71:1
printouts 69:7,11 prior 12:12 19:21	proof 31:20 proper 66:2	radiant 51:22	74:1 99:9 109:2 recap 76:13
25:22,23 35:12,15 65:20	properly 66:6	radii 52:23 radius 51:4,22	received 74:21 75:2

	1 age		3.35% 1.3212
Recess 57:15 98:5	47:10	63:7,13 74:10	2:13 6:1 16:17 30:5,8 34:23
recited 96:12 recognize 34:14 36:1 51:11	refocus 40:21 refocused 77:8 refracting 89:12	Reporter 73:12 102:24 116:5,21 119:21	35:18 36:8,15 37:12,22 38:3,15 56:14 65:8,10
recollection 14:24 48:21 63:8 recoluminate 29:17	refraction 90:10 refractive 51:5 96:24 111:15 113:11 114:7	Reporting 118:1 request 98:1 required 74:11 requires 74:2,4	66:12 67:2 68:4 69:17 75:1 116:8 119:2 rmattson@oblon.
reconverge 79:9 record 15:4 33:21 79:3,21 89:22	refresh 14:24 48:20 63:8	reran 73:2 Research 15:8,17	com 2:11 Roadmap 3:9 7:9 8:10,20
116:12 RECROSS- EXAMINATIO	regard 16:20 regarding 119:11 regards 17:18	21:16 22:5 23:11,16,18 24:7 resolution 7:17 8:4 11:17 12:3,13	Robert 2:6 119:23 role 28:17 roughly 13:12
N 114:20 REDIRECT 110:22	region 79:8,22 80:17 90:24 91:12	respective 73:6 85:14	44:9 68:24 85:11,22 row 10:11
reduce 91:18 92:12 101:4 102:6 105:18 106:20 111:23	regions 93:11 Registered 116:4,21 119:21	respectively 69:1 responsibilities 21:16 23:16 24:12	RPR 1:21 Rule 31:4 Rules 30:13 31:5
reduced 11:6 12:23 95:18	re-image 78:14 re-images 40:13 rejection 8:5	responsible 119:10	run 64:10 67:20 71:3 112:6
reduces 100:17 reducing 102:19 103:2	related 8:4 16:13 21:8,9 26:1,5 116:13	result 71:11 72:21 results 69:14,16,19,23 72:14	running 65:21  S sales 23:19
reduction 14:16 57:23 103:7 refer 44:22 95:5	relative 58:8 116:14 relatively 28:10	retained 3:14 reticle 112:1	Sandra 1:21 116:4,21 119:20
96:6 reference 6:24 35:21 95:12 111:3	39:18,23 91:1,5 92:11 93:3 94:12 relaying 95:22	return 89:2 review 4:16 34:18 45:7 59:18 63:23 64:17 65:18	satisfactorily 4:3 satisfactory 116:9 satisfies 47:7
referring 39:12 55:2 57:21 58:10 60:18,20,22 61:16,18,20,21	remaining 94:24 remember 23:4 47:20 remind 113:4	67:15 reviewed 98:10 reviewing 15:2 48:23 63:10	satisfy 90:14 satisfying 46:4 89:15,17 90:18 savings 66:16
86:19 101:9 103:19 refers 46:3 61:9,11 101:17 reflecting 46:20	repeat 73:10 102:22 rephrase 31:12 report 29:22 30:4,21 35:22,23	Richard 1:13 3:3 4:2 116:7 117:3,12 118:6 119:9 Richardson 1:17	scale 85:1 88:8,10,12 scanner 27:9 scanning 27:12

	1 ago	C 17	
schematic 58:1	sensors 25:6,8,9	12:18,20 16:15 19:12 36:10 42:9	<b>skill</b> 21:13 26:9,16 52:14 59:11,13
scope 32:3 81:17	sentence 15:5,15	47:13 48:8	61:24 62:4,24
scratch 12:19 27:1	58:22 60:18	51:2,16 52:8	75:4,7,13,19
29:20 52:7 67:19	61:15 89:8	53:14 54:9 65:3	76:5 79:12 90:1
75:6,17 103:20	separate 10:19	67:10 71:4,22	92:3 103:22
second 14:23	29:13 40:1	74:9 75:6,9,10	104:2
38:17,21 41:14	43:4,5 59:3 64:5,8,11	76:6 79:12	slight 39:10 87:6
46:20 47:11		82:19,24 84:1	slightly 38:17 62:7
57:23 74:14,18	separated 28:10	85:2 86:7 88:15	
96:21,22	41:7,20 84:2	93:18 102:20 103:4 111:13	small 79:17
112:12,13,15,20	separating 36:10	112:4	91:1,6,9
113:20 114:15	39:24		smaller 90:24
secondary 107:7,8	separation 36:14	shows 49:13,17,22	91:13
section 29:23	39:11 42:8	50:11 51:3 53:16	smallest 44:11
30:3,8 85:17		sic 81:4	
sections 85:15	sequence 49:13,18 50:12 53:14,21	sides 90:11	SMT 1:5 2:12 116:7 118:5
2 42 42 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	54:5,14 55:19		110:7 118:3
seen 19:3 51:19	56:13,19 63:24	sign 47:14	
select 73:9 74:8	64:20,21 65:6	signature 118:24	software 23:19,22
86:24		119:10,11,13	24:4 68:12,15,22
selected 72:6	sequences 67:4	signed 117:8	Solutions 9:20
98:23 99:3	serve 78:14	119:13	solve 106:8
102:19 103:3	serving 104:21	0.00,100	
110:3,8	1.9	significant 52:9	solving 90:5
	sets 9:8	53:1,3,5 54:22	somebody 37:11
selection 40:15	seven 53:11	93:12	65:11,15 67:5,9
73:17 76:2,14,24	several 15:20	similar 19:15 27:5	somewhere 38:1
86:9	22:7,17 45:16	37:18 43:9,15	87:2
Sematech	82:3 101:12	51:23 56:16 62:2	
25:15,17	110:9 111:18	63:3,4 65:24	sorry 12:19 34:6
S-e-m-a-t-e-c-h		100:5	43:19 49:9 56:3
25:15	Shafer 17:19,20	similarities	74:13 107:8
	18:8	86:7,16	sort 43:23 56:15
semiconductor 9:9	Shafer's 18:1,12		76:13
12:2	sharp 100:21	similarity 86:18	sounds 25:19
Semiconductors		simply 26:18	51:22
3:10 7:10	sheet 117:8 119:13	112:3	
8:10,20	shortening 94:8	simulation 64:11	space
semi-diameter	103:9	65:21 72:14 73:2	39:13,15,19,24
51:6	showed 36:21	112:7,8	spaces 84:3,6,8
seminars 15:9	75:15	simulations 67:21	spacing 10:19
21:22 22:3	showing 10:23	71:3	spacings 55:3
Sec. 727-45.	11:16	simultaneously	
senior 24:13	shown 9:17	50:16	speak 69:14
sense 42:13 78:17	10:1,10,11,22		specific 26:12 27:7
106:13	10.1,10,11,22	single 26:20	66:23 70:11

Page 18

107:19 110:15	studying 45:5,14	58:1,2,4,22 60:2	technologies
specifically 6:9,18 24:8 60:9 99:23	subfunction 107:19	80:4,10,22 82:7 89:11,13	13:5,11,18 technology 3:9
		91:10,19	5:13 7:9,16
specification 32:6,8,12,16,19,	subject 24:14	92:1,6,7	8:1,9,20 10:6
24 33:4 46:23	submitted 7:2	93:4,10,18,20	13:8,14,15
48:14 59:20	37:21,22	94:14,24	14:4,11 15:10
87:13	38:6,9,13,16	95:9,14,17 100:17 101:5,24	telephonically
specifies 90:13	56:12 57:5 63:24 64:19 65:7,10	102:7,19	21:21
specifying 58:14	Subsequent 22:23	103:2,15 106:14	telescope 27:8,10
spending 66:21	subset 18:1	107:20 110:16	tend 26:22 29:12
		systems	43:8 79:23
spent 45:5,13	subsets 71:4,20	15:12,13,16 25:5,12 26:1,5	tends 43:10
SPIVAK 2:3	subsidiary 22:12	43:8	term 7:19 32:9,15
SS 116:2	Suffice 81:21	- 1 - 2 - 7	33:12
standard 46:6,9	SUFFOLK 116:2	T	terms 32:4 105:4,
52:13 90:21	suggest 108:11	Tabarelli	testified 4:6
standards 29:24 98:9	suggested 36:20	20:3,6,9,13 21:3	testimony 117:4,6
53.15	sum 90:1,6,7,8,23	Tabarelli's 20:19	Thank 10:4 60:15
stands 7:6,8	91:15 92:18 93:1	21:6	85:12 89:1
start 4:22 22:22	97:17 103:18	table 49:2,3,14,19	114:4,17 115:2
40:20	105:6,9,10	50:13,16,19	119:17
started 24:21	106:6,9,11,17	51:2,3 52:3,11	that's 11:21 14:22
starts 10:2,12	summer 37:3	53:9,10,23	32:22 35:22
state 5:4 63:13	supplied 66:13	54:1,9	45:9,20 48:18
	suppliers 15:8	65:3,7,15,20,23 66:8 67:2,10	49:11 50:3 51:1
stated 29:2 78:17		109:22	52:10 60:14
statement 61:2	support 15:10 21:19,20 22:2	tables 109:15	65:17 69:8
STATES 1:1	24:3		72:2,12 73:4 78:6 81:17
steadily 11:13	sure 11:4 30:11,20	taking 85:21 105:18	85:19,21 86:17
steeper 94:2	31:23 35:3 64:4	talks 5:24 17:4	89:3 92:13 98:2
stepping 50:24	surface 46:20	task 19:3	100:11 102:10 106:7,12,18
stop 76:10,11	47:11 51:3,7	400	107:5 108:22
85:24 86:3	90:3,11 96:22	taught 77:10	therefore 105:11
stopping 56:5,7	surfaces 90:7	teaches 61:3	
97:24	105:5	teaching 77:14	there's 6:5 29:23
Street 2:7	surprise 9:3,6,7	78:11	thereto 116:15
stress 78:8	sworn 4:5	technical 21:19 22:2,24 24:16	they're 107:23
studied 19:15,19	system 8:5 27:12	techniques 5:21	thickness 51:4,23
102:13	28:12 39:20	83:23 90:21	52:3 54:1,4,9 112:21
studies 5:9	40:2,18 46:4	03.43 70.41	113:15,19
DEMENDED J.J	47:7 51:7		113.13,17

114:10,14	transcript 116:11	27:3,4,9,10,11,1	91:8,11
thicknesses 52:24	transcription	2,13	useful 81:5
third 34:5 38:24	117:6	28:3,5,7,14,18	usually 26:11
39:4,7,9 40:6,9	TRIAL 1:2	29:1,8,9,11,14,1	66:13
46:19 47:10 73:9		6 34:5 39:1,4,7,9	00.13
74:2,7,8,23	tried 71:3	40:7,9,10,16 41:14,15 54:10	V
76:15 77:1	true 71:19 116:12	63:2 71:14,21	value 46:14
83:9,12,20 85:23	117:5	73:9 74:2,8,9,23	53:8,13
86:7,9,12 89:11	try 55:14	76:15 77:1 78:19	105:15,19 112:
90:13 93:18	trying 71:9	83:9,12,20 85:23	
94:7,11,19		86:7,9,12 89:11	values 52:6,8
95:13,18,24	turn 9:15 29:19	90:13 91:2	variable
96:12,19,20	34:12 48:16 56:1	93:18,23 94:11	46:15,16,17
97:3,9,10,11	59:23 78:21,23 83:5 96:15	95:13,18	various 22:1 24:1
98:11,13,18,24	110:24	96:12,19,20,21	34:9 36:10,17,2
99:14,22		97:3,9,10,11	43:14 51:16 71:
100:8,16 101:11	type 25:3,5,7	98:13,18,24	112:19
102:20 103:3,23 107:3,23	30:18 81:8	99:14,22	verified 66:1
107:3,23	typed 37:6	100:8,16	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
109:1,3 110:4,8	types 30:14	101:11,14,15	verify 31:21
112:24 113:5,6,9		102:20 103:4,23	version 38:10 57:
118:2	typically 53:1	107:3,12,18,23	68:14
		108:16,17,19,20 109:1,3 110:4,8	versions
Thursday 1:15 116:8	U	111:7,9,12,15	68:16,18,22 69:
	U.S 4:18 22:11	112:12,14,15,20	vicinity 85:4 87:2
title 8:17 9:17	33:1,4,19	113:1,5,6,10,16,	view 30:19 31:2
titles 51:16	U.S.A 2:8	20,23	
today 4:10 114:23	Ulrich 15:24	114:1,6,11,15	viewpoint 102:14
Tool 9:20	Ulrich's 16:11	UNITED 1:1	Virginia 2:8
top 9:22 10:1	understand 11:15	units 34:9	virtual 40:11,14
50:20	30:12,24 31:21	36:10,14,17,22	44:23,24 76:20
topic 22:14	32:2 33:6,9 39:2	38:14,16,18,20	77:7 83:18 94:1
Mr. S. M. Branchine	41:19 59:11	39:24 40:9	95:22 96:1
topics 17:7	61:24 63:1 83:9	41:3,10,11,13,16	visited
total 89:12 95:8,17	92:4	,17,20,21 42:18	22:7,11,15,17,1
totally 102:13	understanding	43:1,4,5 64:7	23:5,8
touched 17:6 79:2	7:22 10:16,21 11:4 49:12	75:5,15 76:1 77:1 84:8 108:24	visiting 22:1
tracing 111:14	62:9,23 76:1	110:3	visits 22:23
112:3	78:10 87:12	University 5:7	vs 116:7
Trademark 1:1	understood	unnatural 42:17	***
33:20	75:5,8,20 103:23	upon 5:20 42:3	W 10.11.22
training 5:15,18	unfortunate 50:3	47:16 55:9	wafer 40:14,22 44:23 46:21 49:
transcribed 116:9		64:2,22 65:2,5	60:13 77:9 78:1
transcriped 110.7	unit 26:8,23	72:16 79:2 83:11	00.13 11.7 10.1

Page 20

	2 . 9 .		
101:8  waist 43:9,23 44:3,9 79:5,20 80:3,14,16 82:20,21 83:16,20 84:15,17 85:2,11,14 86:21,24 87:20 88:4,6 91:23  waists 83:23  Warner 20:2,5,8  Washington 118:2  wavefront 54:22  ways 75:20 76:4,11  Wefers 2:15 119:1  wefers@fr.com 2:20  we've 97:22  whatever 26:20  whether 15:21 35:11,15 38:22 41:6 46:8 53:4 67:8 101:22 102:5 103:12 104:17 106:19,22,23  whom 21:4  wider 93:3 94:12  width 91:5,18 94:16  Willi 15:23  Williamson 16:20,21,24 17:10	42:1,12,21 48:2,23 56:10 62:21 63:10 70:16 71:8 72:2 77:19 78:6 79:16 80:13 81:1,14 82:12 84:11,22 91:22 92:10 93:23 95:21 97:6 98:16 99:3 102:9,22 103:6 105:22 107:17 110:7,19 114:19 116:9 119:11 WITNESSES 3:2 wording 62:2 74:11 work 5:24 15:22 21:6,8 23:11 68:7 114:5 worked 6:3,7,8 15:7 24:19 working 16:16 works 16:6,8 world 15:9 22:1 write 30:3 writing 30:6 written 30:8,22 wrote 49:22  Y Yashuhiro 18:17 yesterday 79:3 89:22 yesterday's 45:22 Yours 119:19	119:5 Zern 3:4 zero 23:20 24:10 25:13 90:1,23 93:1 103:18 106:11 zeros 53:11	
Willi 15:23 Williamson	89:22		
16:20,21,24 17:10 Williamson's 17:3,15 witness 4:13 7:13 9:13 11:10,21 12:7 13:2,23 14:7 15:2 27:18			