UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD Carl Zeiss SMT GMBH Petitioner V. Nikon Corporation Patent Owner Case IPR2013-00362 Patent 7,348,575

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
I.	PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED.	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
	A. The Challenged Exhibits and Related Testimony	2
	B. Patent Owner's Motion for Observation Regarding Second Cross- Examination of Richard C. Juergens (Paper No. 30)	4
	C. Patent Owner's Second Declaration of Dr. Jose Sasian (Ex. 1054)	5
III.	ARGUMENT	6
	A. Relevant Law	6
	B. The Challenged Exhibits Were Not Authenticated During the Second Cross-Examination of Mr. Juergens	7
	C. The Challenged Exhibits Constitute Inadmissible Hearsay and Therefo Must Be Excluded	
	D. The Challenged Exhibits and Second Declaration of Dr. Jose Sasian Ar Untimely, and Therefore Must be Excluded	
IV.	CONCLUSION	11



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Statutes	
37 C.F.R. § 42.62(a)	1, 6
37 C.F.R. § 42.64	1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8
Federal Rule of Evidence 801	
Federal Rule of Evidence 802	1
Federal Rule of Evidence 803	1, 8
Federal Rule of Evidence 901	1, 7
Cases	
Shu-hui Chen v. Herve Bouchard, Patent Interference No. 103,675, 2003 WL 25287136 (BPAI Aug. 2, 2002)	9



I. PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.62 and 42.64(c), Petitioner Carl Zeiss SMT GmbH respectfully moves to exclude Exhibits 2036, 2037, 2038, and 2039 offered during the second cross examination of Petitioner's expert witness Richard C. Juergens ("the Challenged Exhibits") by Patent Owner Nikon Corporation, as well as those portions of Mr. Juergens' second cross examination (Exhibit 2040) directed to the Challenged Exhibits. Petitioner moves to exclude these materials (1) for lack of authentication under FRE 901, (2) as inadmissible hearsay under FRE 802, and (3) as untimely. Petitioner further respectfully requests that Patent Owner's reference to the Challenged Exhibits and associated testimony in its Motion for Observation Regarding Second Cross Examination of Richard C. Juergens (Paper No. 30) be similarly excluded. Finally, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Second Declaration of Dr. Jose Sasian be excluded to the extent Patent Owner relies on it to establish the truth of the matters stated within the Challenged Exhibits, or to cure those deficiencies raised by Petitioner's counsel during the second cross-examination of Mr. Juergens. Patent Owner should be precluded from using the Challenged Exhibits, and any associated testimony within Exhibit 2040, and Dr. Sasian's Second Declaration at any hearing, or in any paper such as, without limitation, a brief, motion, or observation on cross-examination. In light of this request, Petitioner asks that the Board disregard



sections B.3-B.8, C.4, and D.2 of Patent Owner's Motion for Observation Regarding Second Cross Examination of Richard C. Juergens (Paper No. 30).

II. BACKGROUND

A. The Challenged Exhibits and Related Testimony

Petitioner seeks exclusion *inter alia*, of the following Exhibits (collectively, the "Challenged Exhibits"):

- Exhibit 2036: entitled "Plots of Terasawa Example 2 in Table 2, Fig. 5"
- Exhibit 2037: entitled "Plots of Lens Design in Exhibit 1042"
- Exhibit 2038: entitled "Plots of Lens Design in Exhibit 1047"
- Exhibit 2039: entitled "Plots of Lens Design in Exhibit 1049"

Patent Owner Nikon Corporation introduced the Challenged Exhibits during the July 2, 2014 Second Cross Examination of Petitioner's expert Mr. Richard C. Juergens. On its face, each Challenged Exhibit appears to be a calculation of some kind, without any indication of the person(s) responsible for its creation, or the date(s) on which it was performed. While the documents appear to refer to Zemax optical modeling software, complete details of how the software was used to carry out the calculations and display them are plainly lacking.

In an effort to cure these facial deficiencies, at the time each Challenged Exhibit was marked, counsel for Patent Owner made representations as to their contents to Mr. Juergens, without providing any information as to the circumstances behind their



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

