

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RAYMARINE, INC.

Petitioner

v.

NAVICO HOLDING AS

Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00355

Patent 8,305,840

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	RESPONSE TO PETITIONER’S SUMMARY OF REASONS FOR REQUESTED RELIEF.....	1
III.	RESPONSE TO PETITIONER’S OVERVIEW OF THE ‘840 PATENT AND THE PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	3
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	5
	(A) <u>Claim Interpretation: “A Single Linear Downscan Transducer Element”</u>	6
	(B) <u>Claim Interpretation: “A Fan-Shaped Sonar Beam”</u>	10
	(C) <u>Claim Interpretation: “Sequentially Insonify Different Fan-Shaped Regions of the Underwater Environment”</u>	11
	(D) <u>Claim Interpretation: “Composite Of Images Of The Fan-Shaped Regions”</u>	12
V.	ARGUMENT.....	13
	(A) <u>U.S. Patent No. 5,791,552 is Not Prior Art</u>	14

(B) <u>Petitioner’s Challenges to Claims 16-19, 39-42, and 70-72 Do Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</u>	16
<i>i. Petitioner’s Challenge That Claims 16 and 39 Are Anticipated by Hydrography Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</i> ...	19
a) <i>Hydrography Fails To Disclose A Single Linear Down Scan Transducer Element</i>	20
b) <i>Hydrography Fails To Disclose Using Both A Linear Down Scan Transducer And A Circular Transducer</i>	22
<i>ii. Petitioner’s Challenge That Claims 16-19, 39-42, and 70-72 Are Unpatentable Over Hydrography in View of Adams Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</i>	24
a) <i>Petitioner’s Challenge That Claims 16 and 39 Are Unpatentable Over Hydrography in View of Adams Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success</i>	24
1) <i>Adams Fails To Teach Either A Linear Transducer Element Or A Fan Shaped Beam</i>	24
2) <i>Adams Fails To Teach Use Of Separate Linear And Circular Transducer Elements, Or Downward Facing Elements</i>	27

b) Petitioner’s Challenge That Claims 17 and 42 Are Unpatentable Over Hydrography in View of Adams Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success.....30

 1) *Hydrography And Adams Fail To Teach Placing Linear And Circular Transducer Elements In The Same Housing.....30*

c) Petitioner’s Challenge That Claims 18 and 40 Are Unpatentable Over Hydrography in View of Adams Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success.....32

 1) *Hydrography And Adams Fail To Teach Projecting Fan-Shaped And Conical Sonar Beams That Overlap.....32*

d) Petitioner’s Challenge That Claims 19 and 41 Are Unpatentable Over Hydrography in View of Adams Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success.....34

 1) *Hydrography And Adams Fail To Teach Linear And Circular Transducers Providing Generally Simultaneous Data.....34*

e) Petitioner’s Challenge That Claim 70 Is Unpatentable Over Hydrography in View of Adams Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success.....36

1) <i>Hydrography And Adams Fail To Teach Displaying The Intensity Of A Return Echo Received From The Conical Sonar Beam.....</i>	36
f) <i>Petitioner’s Challenge That Claim 71 Is Unpatentable Over Hydrography in View of Adams Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success.....</i>	38
1) <i>Hydrography And Adams Fail To Teach Placing The Linear Down Scan Transducer And The Second Transducer In The Same Housing.....</i>	38
g) <i>Petitioner’s Challenge That Claim 72 Is Unpatentable Over Hydrography in View of Adams Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success.....</i>	40
1) <i>Hydrography And Adams Fail To Teach The Linear Downscan Transducer And Second Transducer Operating At Different Frequencies.....</i>	40
iii. <i>Petitioner’s Challenge That Claims 16-17, 39, 42, and 70-71 Are Unpatentable Over Hydrography in View of Sato Does Not Have a Reasonable Likelihood of Success.....</i>	41

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.