#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Corrected Petition for *Inter Partes* In re patent of: Maguire

Review

U.S. Patent No. 8,305,840

Case: IPR2013-00355

Issued: November 6, 2012

\$ \$ \$
\$ \$
\$ Attorney Docket No.: 70052.667

Title: DOWNSCAN IMAGING

**SONAR** 

Customer No.: 27683

§

Real Party in Interest: Raymarine, Inc.

# CORRECTED PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

Mail Stop Patent Board Patent Trial and Appeal Board P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319, Raymarine, Inc. ("Petitioner") hereby petitions the Patent Trial and Appeal Board to institute an inter partes review of claims 1-2, 5, 7, 16-21, 23, 25, 30, 32, 38-42, 45, 64, and 70-73 of United States Patent No. 8,305,840 ("the '840 Patent," Exhibit RAY-1001) that issued on November 6, 2012, to Brian T. Maguire, resulting from U.S. Patent Application No. 12/460,139, filed on July 14, 2009. According to USPTO records, the '840 Patent is currently assigned to Navico, Inc.



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Ma	ında	tory Notices	.1
	A.	Re	al Party-in-Interest	1
	B.	Re	lated Matters	1
	C.	Lea	ad and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	1
II.	Gr	oun	ds for Standing	.2
III.	Re	lief	Requested	.2
IV.	Th	e Re	easons for the Requested Relief	.2
	A.	Su	mmary of Reasons	2
	B.	Th	e '840 Patent	4
		1.	Overview	.4
		2.	Prosecution History	.6
	C.	Ide	entification of Challenges	9
		1.	Challenged Claims	.9
		2.	Statutory Grounds for Challenges	.9
		3.	Claim Construction	10
		4.	Identification of How the Claims Are Unpatentable	12
			i. Challenge #1: Claims 1-2, 5, 7, 16, 20-21, 23, 25, 32, 38-39, 45, 6 and 73 are anticipated by Hydrography	
			ii. Challenge #2: Claims 1-2, 23, 30, and 73 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hydrography in view of Boucher '552	28



	iii. Challenge #3: Claims 1-2, 23, 30, and 73 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hydrography in view of Lustig
	iv. Challenge #4: Claims 1, 16-19, 23, 39-42, and 70-73 are obvious over Hydrography in view of Adams41
	v. Challenge #5: Claims 16-17, 39, 42, and 70-71 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hydrography in view of Sato
	vi. Challenge #6: Claims 1, 23, and 73 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hydrography in view of Boucher '79852
J	Conclusion 58



### I. Mandatory Notices

# A. Real Party-in-Interest

The real party-in-interest is Raymarine, Inc. a subsidiary of FLIR Systems, Inc.

#### **B.** Related Matters

As of the filing date of this petition, the '840 patent was asserted against the party-in-interest in *Navico*, *Inc. v. Raymarine*, *Inc.* 4:13-cv-00251 (N.D. Okla.).

### C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information

#### Lead Counsel

David L. McCombs

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP

2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700

Dallas, TX 75219

Phone: (972) 739-8636

Fax: (214) 200-0853

david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com

USPTO Reg. No. 32,271

Back-up Counsel
Julie M. Nickols
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
Fax: (214) 200-0853
2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700
Dallas, TX 75219
Phone: (972) 739-8640
Fax: (214) 200-0853
julie.nickols.ipr@haynesboone.com
USPTO Reg. No. 50,826

Greg Michelson
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
Fax: (214) 200-0853
greg.michelson@haynesboone.com
USPTO Reg. No. 44,940
Irvine, California 92612

Phillip B. Philbin

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700

Dallas, TX 75219

Phone: (214) 651-5684

Fax: (214) 200-0672

phillip.philbin.ipr@haynesboone.com

USPTO Reg. No. 35,979



#### II. Grounds for Standing

Petitioner certifies that the '840 Patent is available for *inter partes* review and Petitioner is not estopped or barred from requesting *inter partes* review of the '840 Patent. A complaint asserting that the Petitioner infringes the '840 patent was filed on April 29, 2013, but the Petitioner has not yet been served. Petitioner has not initiated a civil action challenging validity of any claim of the '840 patent. Petitioner also certifies that the '840 patent is eligible for *inter partes* review.

### III. Relief Requested

Petitioner asks that the Board review the accompanying prior art and analysis, institute a trial for *inter partes* review of claims 1-2, 5, 7, 16-21, 23, 25, 30, 32, 38-42, 45, 64, and 70-73 of the '840 Patent, and cancel those claims as unpatentable.

# IV. The Reasons for the Requested Relief

The full statement of the reasons for the relief requested is as follows:

# A. Summary of Reasons

The '840 Patent relates to a downward facing (referred to as "downscan" in the '840 Patent) imaging sonar system utilizing a rectangular (referred to as "linear" in the '840 Patent) transducer element to provide images of the sea floor and other objects in the water column beneath a vessel. In general, the '840 Patent describes a sonar assembly with a conventional transducer having a rectangular shape, with the longitudinal length of the transducer positioned in a fore-to-aft



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

