Paper No. 12

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.
Petitioner,

v.

VIRNETX, INC. AND SCIENCE APPLICATION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,490,151
Issued: Feb. 10, 2009
Filed: Sep. 30, 2002
Inventors: Edmund C. Munger, *et al*
Title: Establishment of a Secure Communication Link Based Domain Name Service (DNS) Request

---

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2013-00354

PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR JOINDER OF PROCEEDINGS

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Pursuant to the authorization granted by the Panel on August 14, 2013 in Paper No. 11, Petitioner Apple Inc. ("Petitioner" or Apple) moves to have the Board join IPR proceeding IPR2013-00354 with IPR2013-00376 filed by New Bay Capital, LLC ("NBC"), each of which concerns U.S. Patent No. 7,490,151.

I. Relevant Facts

Apple filed its petition seeking *inter partes* review of the '151 patent on June 17, 2013. The petition challenged the patentability of all claims, 1-16, based on three references: Aventail, BinGO, and Beser (Exs. 1007-1009). On June 23, 2013, NBC filed its petition challenging the patentability of claims 1 and 13 over two references: Kiuchi and Dalton (Exs. 1002 & 1003 in IPR2013-00376).

The '151 patent is a member of a family of patents owned by VirnetX that includes U.S. Patent No. 6,502,135, 7,418,504 and 7,921,211. The specifications of these patents are nearly identical. VirnetX has asserted varying sets of claims of the '151 and other of its patents against Apple and other entities in numerous lawsuits. In August of 2010, VirnetX sued Apple and five other entities (the "2010 Litigation"). VirnetX asserted "at least" claims 1, 6, 7, 12, and 13 of the '151 patent against Apple and claims 1, 6, 7, 12, and 13 against co-defendant Cisco. After trial, it obtained a judgment of infringement against Apple on, *inter alia*, claims 1 and 13 of the '151 patent. That action now is on appeal to the Federal Circuit.

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

On December 31, 2012, VirnetX served a new complaint on Apple asserting infringement of "at least" claims 1, 6, 7, 12, and 13 of the '151 patent (the "2012 Litigation"). *See* Ex. 1050 at 6. When VirnetX served this new complaint on Apple, it established a 12 month period for Apple to submit a petition for *inter partes* review of the '151 patent that runs until December 31, 2013. 35 U.S.C. § 315(b); *see* Petition at 1-3. The new complaint led to a civil action, now pending in the Eastern District of Texas, that will go to trial on October 13, 2015.

VirnetX also asserted the '151 patent against Microsoft in a separate lawsuit filed in April 2013,[1] and it has asserted it against numerous other defendants[2] in actions filed in 2010 and 2011.

---

[1] The 2013 complaint broadly alleges infringement of the patent without specifying particular claims, and infringement contentions are not due until September 2013.

[2] Specifically, VirnetX sued Avaya, Inc.; Mitel Networks Corp.; Mitel Networks, Inc.; Siemens Enterprise Commc'ns GmbH & Co. KG; Siemens Enterprise Commc'ns, Inc.; Siemens AG; Siemens Commc'ns, Inc.; and Siemens Corp. in Case No. 6:11-cv-00018-LED (E.D. Tex.) and Aastra Techs. Limited; Aastra USA, Inc.; Apple Inc.; Cisco Systems, Inc.; NEC Corp.; and NEC Corporation of America in Case No. 6:10-cv-00417-LED (E.D. Tex.).

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

II. Argument

Apple submits that joinder of the proceedings is fully warranted. *See* IPR2013-00004, Paper 15 at 4; *Dell v. Network-1 Security Solutions, Inc.*, IPR2013-00385, Paper 17 at 2-3. Joinder is proper under the statutory design of *inter partes* review, will simplify and reduce the number of issues before the Board and will enable streamlined proceedings (*i.e.*, one coordinated proceeding instead of three separate proceedings). In addition, the Board can manage the joined proceeding in a way that does not impact scheduling or conduct of the proceedings. *See Motorola Mobility LLC v. Softview, LLC*, IPR2013-00256, paper 10 at 2-3.

A. Joinder Is Authorized and Appropriate

The Board is authorized to join these proceedings pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c). *Dell*, IPR2013-00385, Paper 17 at 2-6. In addition, joinder is not precluded by § 315(b), were that provision found to apply to the instant petition. *Id.* As Apple explained in its petition, § 315(b) does not preclude the submission of its petition or institution of trial on the basis of this petition. *See* Petition at 1-3.

Joinder will further the statutory purpose of the *inter partes* review authority and is justified in this case. It will enable the Board to efficiently review, in a single proceeding, the patentability of <u>all</u> the claims in the '151 patent that VirnetX has asserted in multiple actions against multiple defendants, including Apple. The schedule of the joined proceedings is also fully compatible with the schedule of the

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2012 Litigation. Because that litigation will not go to trial until October 2015, the Board will have ample time to conduct a trial in the joined proceeding and to issue a final written decision before the trial. The joined proceeding will thus provide an alternative forum to efficiently review the patentability of claims being asserted in district court litigation, will reduce the number of issues the district court must address and will minimize any duplication of effort by the Board and the Court. *See* Comments General Trial Rules, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48663. In other words, the Board will be able to issue a decision on the challenged claims that will have a meaningful impact on the 2012 Litigation without causing delay. *See* H.R. Rpt. 112-98, at 45 (2011) (discussing "time limits during litigation"); 157 Cong. Rec. S1326 (daily ed. Mar. 7, 2011) (statement of Sen. Sessions). Joining these proceedings thus is perfectly consonant with the statutory purpose and design of the *inter partes* review authority.

Joining Apple's proceedings with the NBC proceeding (IPR2013-00376) will reduce the overall administrative burden on the Board of individually conducting trials on each petition. Moreover, because the Board has not yet decided on which grounds to institute review, it will be able to review the grounds in the petitions, and institute a single trial in a manner that avoids undue delay or complication. *See Motorola*, IPR2013-00256, paper 10 at 10 (granting joinder where it would "not unduly complicate or delay" earlier-initiated proceeding).

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

WHAT WILL YOU BUILD? | sales@docketalarm.com | 1-866-77-FASTCASE

fastcase®
Smarter legal research.