

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Apple Inc.,
Petitioner,
v.

VirnetX, Inc. and Science Application International Corporation,
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,490,151
Issued: Feb. 10, 2009
Filed: Sep. 30, 2002
Inventors: Edmund C. Munger, *et al*
Title: Establishment of a Secure Communication Link Based Domain Name
Service (DNS) Request

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2013-00354

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Compliance With Requirements For A Petition For <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	1
A.	Certification the '151 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner.....	1
B.	Fee for Inter Partes Review (§ 42.15(a))	3
II.	Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b)).....	3
A.	Real Party in Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1)).....	3
B.	Other Proceedings (§ 42.8(b)(2)).....	3
C.	Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel	4
D.	Service Information (§42.8(b)(4))	4
E.	Proof of Service (§§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a))	5
III.	Identification of Claims Being Challenged (§ 42.104(b))	5
IV.	Relevant Information Concerning the Contested Patent.....	6
A.	Effective Filing Date and Prosecution History of the '151 patent..	6
B.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	7
C.	Construction of Terms Used in the Claims.....	8
1.	Domain Name (Claims 1-16).....	8
2.	Domain Name Server (Claims 1-16)	9
3.	Domain Name Server (DNS) Proxy Module (Claims 1, 7).....	9
4.	Secure Server (Claims 1-16).....	10
5.	IP Address Hopping Scheme (Claims 5 and 11)	10
V.	Precise Reasons for Relief Requested.....	11
A.	Claims 1-16 Are Anticipated By Aventail (Ex. 1007)	11
1.	Aventail Anticipates Claim 1	11
2.	Aventail Anticipates Claim 7	14
3.	Aventail Anticipates Claim 13	18
4.	Aventail Anticipates Claim 2, 8 and 14	19

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,490,151

5.	Aventail Anticipates Claim 3, 9 and 15	20
6.	Aventail Anticipates Claims 4, 10 and 16	22
7.	Aventail Anticipates Claim 5 and 11	22
8.	Aventail Anticipates Claim 6 and 12	23
B.	Aventail and RFC 1035 Render Claims 3, 9 and 15 Obvious	23
C.	Aventail In View of Reed I Renders Claims 5 and 11 Obvious	25
D.	Claims 1-16 Are Anticipated By BinGO (Ex. 1008).....	27
1.	BinGO Anticipates Claim 1	27
2.	BinGO Anticipates Claim 7	30
3.	BinGO Anticipates Claim 13	33
4.	BinGO Anticipates Claims 2, 8 and 14.....	34
5.	BinGO Anticipates Claims 3, 9 and 15.....	35
6.	BinGO Anticipates Claim 4, 10, and 16	36
7.	BinGO Anticipates Claim 5 and 11	36
8.	BinGO Anticipates Claims 6 and 12.....	37
E.	BinGO In View of Reed Renders Claims 5 and 11 Obvious	38
F.	Claims 1-16 Are Anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,496,867 (Beser)	39
1.	Beser Anticipates Claim 1	39
2.	Beser Anticipates Claim 7	41
3.	Beser Anticipates Claim 13	43
4.	Beser Anticipates Claims 2, 8, and 14	44
5.	Beser anticipates Claims 3, 9, and 15	46
6.	Beser Anticipates Claims 4, 10 and 16.....	47
7.	Beser Anticipates Claims 5 and 11	47
8.	Beser Anticipates Claims 6 and 12	48
G.	Beser Considered with RFC 2401 Renders Obvious Claims 1-16	49
1.	Claims 1, 7, and 13 Would Have Been Obvious	50
2.	Dependent Claims 2-6, 8-12, and 14-16	52

3.	Dependent Claims 5 and 11	53
H.	Beser in View of Blum Renders Obvious Claims 1-16.....	54
1.	Claims 1, 7, and 13 Would Have Been Obvious	54
2.	Claims 2-6, 8-12, and 14-16 Would Have Been Obvious	56
I.	Beser in view of Hoke Renders Claims 1-16 Obvious.....	57
1.	Claims 1, 7, and 13 Would Have Been Obvious	57
2.	Claims 2-6, 8-12, and 14-16 Would Have Been Obvious	58
J.	Beser in View of RFC 2401, and Further in View of Blum Renders Claims 1-16 Obvious	59
K.	Beser in View of Hoke, and Further in View of Blum Renders Claims 1-16 Obvious	60
VI.	CONCLUSION	60

Attachment A. Proof of Service of the Petition

Attachment B. List of Evidence and Exhibits Relied Upon in Petition

I. Compliance With Requirements For A Petition For *Inter Partes* Review

A. Certification the '151 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner

Petitioner certifies it is not barred or estopped from requesting *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,490,151 (the '151 patent) (Ex. 1001). Neither Petitioner, nor any party in privity with Petitioner, has filed a civil action challenging the validity of any claim of the '151 patent. The '151 patent has not been the subject of a prior *inter partes* review by Petitioner or a privity of Petitioner.

Petitioner also certifies this petition for *inter partes* review is filed within one year of the date of service of a complaint alleging infringement of a patent. Petitioner was served with a complaint alleging infringement of the '151 patent on **December 31, 2012**, which led to Civil Action No. 6:12-cv-00855-LED in the Eastern District of Texas. Ex. 1050. Because the date of this petition is less than one year from December 31, 2012, this petition complies with 35 U.S.C. § 315(b).

Petitioner notes it was previously served with a complaint asserting infringement of the '151 patent in August of 2010, which led to Civil Action No: 6:10-cv-417. During that action, the District Court established an additional civil action, Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-00211-LED, on February 26, 2013 (also pending in the Eastern District of Texas). The August 2010 complaint does not foreclose the present petition, as Patent Owner served a **new complaint** on Petitioner asserting infringement of the '151 patent **in December of 2012**.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.