IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Inter Partes Reexaminations of: |) | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Edmund Colby Munger et al. |) | Control Nos.: 95/001,714; 95/001,697 |
| U. S. Patent No. 7,490,151 |) | Group Art Unit: 3992 |
| Issued: February 10, 2009 |) | Examiner: Michael J. Yigdall |
| For: ESTABLISHMENT OF A SECURE COMMUNICATION LINK BASED ON A DOMAIN NAME SERVICE (DNS) REQUEST |) | Confirmation Nos. 3428; 2161 |
| 14 11 0; | | |

Mail Stop *Inter Partes* Reexam Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF DR. ROBERT DUNHAM SHORT III

I, Robert Dunham Short III, declare as follows:

- 1. I have been the Chief Technology Officer of VirnetX Inc. ("VirnetX") since June 2010 and the Chief Scientist for VirnetX since May 2007. Prior to joining VirnetX, from 1994 to April 2007, 1 held various positions including Assistant Vice President and Division Manager at Science Applications International Corporation ("SAIC"). Prior to SAIC, I worked at ARCO Power Technologies Inc., Sperry Corporate Technology Center, and Sperry Research Center. I have a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from Purdue University as well as a M.S. in Mathematics and a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from Virginia Tech.
- 2. I am one of the named inventors of U.S. Patent No. 7,490,151 ("the '151 patent"), which I understand is the subject of the above-identified reexamination proceedings. I am familiar with the '151 patent, including its claims.
- 3. Prior to and at the time of the inventions claimed in the '151 patent, there was a significant and increasing concern with the security of computer network communication. The widespread connectivity between computers that was enabled by the swift increase in network access in homes and businesses also led to many security breaches as well as concerns regarding the safety of confidential information sent over computer networks. This problem received significant attention from the research and development community. Practical experience showed that there was a need for a system that could be easily and correctly used to enable secure communications, because a system that made it difficult for an end-user to enable secure communications would likely lead to a



lack of use or incorrect use. The inventions disclosed and claimed in the '151 patent and other patents in this family met this need. For instance, the inventions disclosed and claimed in the '151 patent include systems and methods of automatically initiating an encrypted channel between a client and a secure server. As an example, independent claim 1 recites "[a] data processing device, comprising memory storing a domain name server (DNS) proxy module that intercepts DNS requests sent by a client and, for each intercepted DNS request, performs the steps of: (i) determining whether the intercepted DNS request corresponds to a secure server; ... and (iii) when the intercepted DNS request corresponds to a secure server, automatically initiating an encrypted channel between the client and the secure server." ('151 patent 46:55-67.) Likewise, independent claim 7 recites "[a] computer readable medium storing a domain name server (DNS) proxy module comprised of computer readable instructions that, when executed, cause a data processing device to perform the steps of: (i) intercepting a DNS request sent by a client; (ii) determining whether the intercepted DNS request corresponds to a secure server; ... and (iv) when the intercepted DNS request corresponds to a secure server, automatically initiating an encrypted channel between the client and the secure server." (Id. at 47:25-38.) And, independent claim 13 recites "[a] computer readable medium storing a domain name server (DNS) module comprised of computer readable instructions that, when executed, cause a data processing device to perform the steps of: (i) determining whether a DNS request sent by a client corresponds to a secure server; ... and (iii) when the intercepted DNS request corresponds to a secure server, automatically creating a secure channel between the client and the secure server." (Id. at 48:18-29.)

- As one example of the manifestation of the long-felt need, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ("DARPA") funded various research programs to further the science and technology of information assurance and survivability. DARPA programs, such as the "Information Assurance" and "Dynamic Coalitions" programs, were focused on the need to provide easy-to-enable secure communications. These projects received significant funding to be spent developing technologies that could solve this need. For example, one such project entitled "Next Generation Internet" received funding in fiscal year 1998 of approximately \$39.3 million, in fiscal year 1999 of approximately \$49.5 million, and in fiscal year 2000 of approximately \$40 million. (Ex. B-1 at VNET00219302, 319-321.) Another program funded by DARPA, "Dynamic Coalitions," was created to address the ability of the Department of Defense to quickly and easily enable secure communications over the Internet. (See, e.g., Ex. B-2 at VNET00219244, 284, 298-299, 593, 625.)
- 5. According to DARPA officials at the time, "existing group membership protocols d[id] not support the security needs of multidimensional organizations. The overarching challenge



[wa]s creating secure groups rapidly. This [wa]s a significant issue when countries [we]re faced with an operation that require[d] immediate multinational attention." (Ex. B-3 at 1.) DARPA contracted with some of the most skilled organizations in the area of secured communications in an effort to meet its security needs (e.g., NAI Labs, a division of PGP Security, Network Associates Incorporated, Los Angeles, and the Microelectronics Center of North Carolina, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, as well as Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore; Northeastern University, Boston; and Veridian-PSR, Arlington, Virginia). (*Id.* at 1.) In all, more than 15 organizations were researching the various components that made up the programs initiated by the Department of Defense. (*Id.*) However, none of these prestigious institutions came up with a solution, during the relevant time frame, close to what is disclosed and claimed in the '151 patent. (*Id.* at 1-4.) That is, they did not develop a solution that automatically initiated an encrypted channel between a client and a secure server when an intercepted DNS request sent by the client corresponds to a secure server.

- 6. As a second example of the long-felt need for the inventions of the '151 patent, In-Q-Tel, which is a venture capital firm that invests in companies developing cutting edge technology aimed at supporting the United States intelligence community, including the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), funded the original development of the technology with approximately \$3.4 million. In-Q-Tel's willingness to enter into a relationship with SAIC (the original assignee of the application that led to the '151 patent) for the development of this technology further evidences a long-felt need for technology that made it easy and convenient to enable secure communications.
- 7. A third example was the extent to which SAIC internally funded the research and development of the technology. When I was employed at SAIC, its business model was to sell hours to the federal government. SAIC was not structured to bring products to the market, which typically requires significant internal investments in research and development. In an average year during the development of the technology that led to the '151 patent, SAIC would spend approximately \$2 million on internal research and development efforts. In the case of the technology claimed in the '151 patent, SAIC invested \$1.7 million, which represents almost the entirety of SAIC's internal research and development budget for one whole year. A technology review committee also approved our team's patent development efforts and costs on an ongoing basis. A third party (Cambridge Strategic Management Group or CSMG) also substantiated the value of the technology. Moreover, a significant percentage of all of SAIC's patent development efforts have focused on this technology. I understand that SAIC spent one-third of its total patent portfolio efforts on our patent portfolio at that time.



- 8. In fact, as demonstrated in an article written before the claimed inventions of the '151 patent, it was widely recognized that providing secure remote access to a LAN or WAN was extremely difficult for IT support desks. (Ex. B-4 at 1.) In that time period, remote access was "a nightmare for support desks. Staffers never kn[e]w what combination of CPU, modem, operating system and software configuration they [were] going to have to support," and adding the commercially-available VPN software only made matters worse. (*Id.*)
- 9. This article precisely captured the computer and Internet security industry's attitude toward the tradeoff between the ease of use of a secure system, such as a VPN system, for the average computer user and the security that the VPN system provided. The article recognized that the "ease of installation isn't always a good thing: In many cases, the easier the client is to install, the less secure it is." (*Id.* at 2.) The claimed inventions of the '151 patent, which provide systems and methods of automatically initiating an encrypted channel between a client and a secure server, combine both ease of use and security aspects without sacrificing one or the other.
- 10. Moreover, many others before and around the time of the inventions claimed in the '151 patent have attempted to solve the need of easy-to-use methods of enabling secure communications over the Internet. But, as discussed above, many of these attempts have failed. For example, despite investing enormous amounts of money and enlisting the resources of numerous prestigious institutions and their talented employees, DARPA's projects still fell far short of the claimed inventions of the '151 patent. (See ¶¶ 4-5, supra.)
- 11. Additionally, as discussed above, no one had yet achieved the results of the claimed inventions of the '151 patent in that time period, because remote access was "a nightmare" for support desks to handle, and adding the commercially-available VPN software was even more difficult. In fact, at this time, the security industry generally viewed ease of use and VPN security as mutually exclusive. (See ¶ 8-9, supra.) By providing systems and methods of automatically initiating an encrypted channel between a client and a secure server, the inventions of the '151 patent provided a solution for easily establishing secure communication links without sacrificing security, thereby succeeding where others failed.
- 12. The claimed inventions of the '151 patent have been commercially successful, for example, through the licensing revenues they have generated for VirnetX. In July 2002, SafeNet, a leading provider of Internet security technology that is the de facto standard in the VPN industry, entered into a portfolio license with SAIC to incorporate features into SafeNet's underlying VPNs. SafeNet licensed the patents because of features disclosed and claimed in the patents, including those in the '151 patent. Microsoft has also entered into a similar license that includes the '151 patent.



Microsoft entered into its license with VirnetX after it was found to have infringed two other VirnetX patents in the same family, resulting in a damages award of over one hundred million dollars, leading ultimately to a license agreement of two hundred million dollars. And on May 3, 2012, Aastra USA, Inc. entered into a license with VirnetX that includes the '15I patent. Likewise, on July 11, 2012, Mitel Networks Corporation entered into a license with VirnetX that also includes the '151 patent.

- 13. The claimed inventions of the '151 patent were also contrary to the accepted wisdom at the time of the inventions. For example, there was a general understanding that reliable security could only be achieved through difficult-to-provision VPNs and easy-to-set-up connections could not be secure. This belief was reinforced by the 1T offices of many large companies and institutions, whose livelihood depended on the need for highly-trained specialists to arrange secure network connections.
- 14. The industry had long accepted as a fact that secure systems, such as VPN systems, would be difficult to set up, and the secure communication modes could not be easily and conveniently enabled. In a 1999 article entitled "CEOs Chew the VPN Fat" that predicted what the future held for the start-up companies that developed VPNs, the wish list did not even address the type of solutions provided by the '151 patent, such as systems and methods for automatically initiating an encrypted channel between a client and a secure server. (Ex. B-5 at 1-2.)
- 15. The technology of the '151 patent was also met with skepticism by those skilled in the art who learned of our inventions. Sami Saydjari, a program manager for DARPA, informed Edmund Munger, a co-inventor of the '151 patent, that our technology would never be adopted. Moreover, the IT offices of many large companies and institutions expressed skepticism that secure connections could ever be enabled easily by regular computer users.
- 16. Several events also demonstrate praise for the inventions in the '151 patent by those in the field. As discussed above, SAIC invested a disproportionately large percentage of its internal resources in the technology. SafeNet, Microsoft, and Aastra have all licensed the technology of the '151 patent. A study done by CSMG also praised the inventions. Jim Rutt at Network Solutions, which was acquired by Verisign, praised and expressed significant interest in the technology and would have invested but for a change in circumstances at his company.



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

