

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

New Bay Capital, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

VirnetX, Inc.

Patent Owner.

IPR2013-00375

Patent 6,502,135

Issue Date: Dec. 31, 2002

Title: AGILE NETWORK PROTOCOL FOR SECURE COMMUNICATIONS
WITH ASSURED SYSTEM AVAILABILITY

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,502,135**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

VIRNETX EXHIBIT 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. COMPLIANCE WITH FORMAL REQUIREMENTS 1

 A. REAL PARTIES-IN-INTEREST 1

 B. STANDING 1

 C. RELATED MATTERS..... 1

 D. NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL..... 4

 E. SERVICE INFORMATION 4

 F. PROOF OF SERVICE ON THE PATENT OWNER 5

 G. FEE..... 5

II. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED..... 5

III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND 6

 A. The ‘135 Patent 6

 B. Original Prosecution History of the ‘135 Patent..... 8

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 8

 A. Legal Standards..... 9

 B. Proposed Claim Constructions..... 10

V. FULL STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR CANCELLATION OF CLAIMS 19

 A. Claims 1, 3, 7 and 8 are Unpatentable Over Kiuchi 19

 B. Request 1 – Claims 1, 3, and 7 are Anticipated by Kiuchi and Claim 8 is Obvious over Kiuchi..... 28

 Ground 1. Claim 1 is Anticipated by Kiuchi..... 28

 Ground 2. Claim 3 is Anticipated by Kiuchi 32

 Ground 3. Claim 7 is Anticipated by Kiuchi..... 32

 Ground 4. Claim 8 Would Have Been Obvious in view of Kiuchi..... 34

 C. Request 2 – Claims 1, 3, 7 and 8 are Anticipated by Kiuchi 37

 Ground 5. Claim 1 is Anticipated by Kiuchi 40

 Ground 6. Claim 3 is Anticipated by Kiuchi 44

 Ground 7. Claim 7 is Anticipated by Kiuchi 44

 Ground 8. Claim 8 is Anticipated by Kiuchi 45

 D. Request 3 – Claims 1, 3, 7 and 8 are Unpatentable over Dalton in view of Kiuchi 47

 Ground 9. Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious over Dalton/Kiuchi..... 51

 Ground 10. Claim 3 Would Have Been Obvious over Dalton/Kiuchi..... 56

 Ground 11. Claim 7 Would Have Been Obvious over Dalton/Kiuchi..... 57

Ground 12. Claim 8 Would Have Been Obvious over Dalton/Kiuchi..... 58
VI. CONCLUSION 59

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>AirCRAFT Medical LTD. v. Verathon Inc.</i> , Reexam. Control No. 95/000,161, Appeal 2012-007851, p. 16 (PTAB Dec. 11, 2012)	9
<i>Data General Corp. v. Johnson</i> , 78 F.3d 1556, 1565 (Fed.Cir.1996)	10
<i>Garmin Int'l Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Technologies, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00001, Paper 15 (PTAB, Jan. 9, 2013)	9
<i>In re Morris</i> , 127 F.3d 1048, 1056 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	9
<i>Key Pharmaceuticals v. Hercon Laboratories Corp.</i> , 161 F.3d 709, 715, 48 USPQ2d 1911, 1916 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	10
<i>KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398, 401; 127 S.Ct. 1727, 173 (2007)..	37
<i>Multiform Desiccants, Inc. v. Medzam, Ltd.</i> , 133 F.3d 1473, 1477 (Fed. Cir.1998)	9
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303, 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2005)(en banc).....	9
<i>RenishawPLC v. Marposs Societa per Azioni</i> , 158 F.3d 1243, 1249 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	9
<i>Sakraida v. Ag Pro, Inc.</i> , 425 U.S. 273, 282, 96 S.Ct. 1532 (1976)	37
<i>VirnetX Inc. and Science Applications International Corporation v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:12cv855 (E.D. Tex.)	1
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:13cv211, (E.D. Tex.)	1
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:10cv417	13
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:10cv417 (E.D. Tex.).....	1
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Cisco Systems, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:10cv417, (E.D. Tex.).....	2
<i>VirnetX Inc. v. Mitel Networks Corp., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:11cv18, (E.D. Tex.).....	2
<i>VirnetX Inc., et al. v. Microsoft Corporation</i> , Case No. 6:13cv351, (E.D. Tex.).....	2
<i>VirnetX Inc.v. Microsoft Corporation</i> , Case No. 6:07 CV 80	12
<i>VirnetX v. Microsoft Corporation</i> , Case No. 6:07 CV 80 (E.D. Tex. 2007)	13
<i>VirnetX, Inc. v. Microsoft Corporation</i> , Case No. 6:07cv80, (E.D. Tex.).....	2
<i>VirnetX, Inc. v. Microsoft Corporation</i> , Case No. 6:10cv94, (E.D. Tex.).....	2
<i>York Prods., Inc. v. Central Tractor Farm & Family Ctr.</i> , 99 F.3d 1568,1572 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	9

Statutes

35 U.S.C. §102(b).....	5, 19, 47
35 U.S.C. §103(a).....	5
35 U.S.C. §301(a)(2),(d)	10
35 USC §317(b).....	3

Treatises

18 Susan Bandes & Lawrence B. Solum, <i>Moore's Federal Practice</i> §134-30, at 134-63 (3d ed.1998).....	10
---	----

Regulations

37 C.F.R. §42.100(b)..... 9
37 C.F.R. §42.15(a)..... 5

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.