

Paper No. _____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY CORPORATION
Petitioner

v.

Patent of YISSUM RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT COMPANY OF THE
HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00327
Patent 7,477,284

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CAPTURING AND VIEWING
STEREOSCOPIC PANORAMIC IMAGES

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8)5

 A. Real Party-in-Interest5

 B. Related Matters.....5

 C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information5

II. Introduction and Summary of the Present Paper6

 A. The Board Should Adopt the Appropriate Claim Construction.....6

 B. The Board Should Decline to Institute *Inter Partes* Review6

 C. In the Event that a Trial is Granted, the Board Should Exclude Several
of the Proposed Grounds of Rejection.7

 1. *The prior art is cumulative*.....7

 2. *YRD is unduly prejudiced*.....8

 3. *Several of the grounds are unclear and imprecise*9

III. Claim Construction and Overview of U.S. Patent No. 7,477,28410

 A. Overview of the ’284 Patent.....10

 B. Claim Construction.....13

 1. *imager*.....14

 2. *optical image*14

 3. *segments*16

 4. *plurality of segments*16

 5. *divide each image [of the scene] into a plurality of segments*.....16

 6. *sense of depth of the scene*18

IV. Reasons Why *Inter Partes* Review Should Not Be Granted.....18

 A. The Kawakita Reference is Not a “Printed Publication”, and the
Corresponding Grounds Should Not Be Adopted18

 B. In General, Ishiguro, Asahi, and Allen are not Directed to Displaying
Mosaic Images that Provide a Sense of Depth, and the Corresponding
Grounds Should Not Be Adopted20

 C. Missing Claim Elements – Legal Background.....22

D. Grounds A and D are Missing Claim Elements	25
E. Grounds B and E are Missing Claim Elements.....	31
F. Grounds C and F-H are Missing Claim Elements.....	37
G. Grounds I and J are Missing Claim Elements	44
V. Conclusion	50
Certificate of Service	52

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

In re Bayer,

568 F.2d 1357 (CCPA 1978)19

In re Cronyn,

890 F.2d 1158, 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1989)19

Cordis Corp. v. Boston Scientific Corp.,

561 F.3d 1319 (CAFC 2009)19

DeSilva v. DiLeonardi,

181 F.3d 865 (7th Cir. 1999).....25

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,

415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)(en banc).....13

REGULATORY CASES

Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co., CBM2012-00003 (Paper

No. 7) (PTAB Oct. 25, 2012)8

Oracle Corp. v. Clouding IP, LLC, IPR2013-00088 (Paper No. 13), at 4-5 (PTAB

June 13, 2013)8

Scripps Research Institute v. Nemerson,
72 USPQ2d 1122 (BPAI 2004)13

Stampa v. Jackson,
78 USPQ2d 1567 (BPAI 2005).....25

FEDERAL STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 102.....22, 23, 25, 31, 44

35 U.S.C. § 103.....23, 25, 31, 37, 44

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)7

35 U.S.C. § 315(b)8

REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b).....8

37 C.F.R. § 42.20 (c)13

37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(1)9

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)7

OTHER AUTHORITIES

M.P.E.P. § 213122

I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8)

A. Real Party-in-Interest

The real parties-in-interest are Yissum Research Development Company of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and HumanEyes Technologies Ltd.

B. Related Matters

The following are judicial or administrative matters that would affect, or be affected by a decision in this proceeding:

1. IPR2013-00219, *Inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,477,284.
2. IPR2013-00218, *Inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,003.
3. IPR2013-00326, *Inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,003.
4. *HumanEyes Technologies Ltd. V. Sony Electronics Inc. et al.*, 1-12-cv-00398 (D. Del.).

C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information

Lead Counsel

David L. McCombs
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700
Dallas, TX 75219

(214) 651-5533
david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com

USPTO Customer No. 27683
USPTO Reg. No. 32,271

Back-up Counsel

David M. O'Dell
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700
Dallas, TX 75219

(972) 739-8635
david.odell.ipr@haynesboone.com

USPTO Customer No. 27683
USPTO Reg. No. 42,044

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.