

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SONY CORPORATION

Petitioner

Patent No. 7,477,284

Issue Date: Jan. 13, 2009

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CAPTURING AND VIEWING  
STEREOSCOPIC PANORAMIC IMAGES

---

**SECOND PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,477,284**

No. IPR2013-00327

---

## Table of Contents

<b>I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8)</b> .....	1
<b>II. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))</b> .....	1
<b>III. Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)-(3)) and Relief Requested (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(1))</b> .....	2
A. Procedural Background.....	2
B. Background of the '284 Patent .....	3
C. Patents and Printed Publications Relied On.....	5
D. Statutory Grounds for Challenge.....	14
E. Claim Construction.....	15
<b>IV. How the Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5))</b> .....	17
A. Claims 4 and 7 Would Have Been Obvious over Kawakita in view of Chen and Allen Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	17
B. Claims 4 and 7 Would Have Been Obvious over Chen in view of Allen Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	26
C. Claims 4 and 7 Would Have Been Obvious over Ishiguro in view of Chen and Allen Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	31
D. Kawakita Anticipates Claim 38 Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a).....	38
E. Chen Anticipates Claim 38 Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).....	40
F. Claim 38 Would Have Been Obvious over Ishiguro Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	41
G. Claim 38 Would Have Been Obvious over Ishiguro in view of Chen Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	43
H. Claim 38 Would Have Been Obvious over Ishiguro in view of Berger Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	44
I. Asahi Anticipates Claim 38 Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	45
J. Claim 38 Would Have Been Obvious over Asahi in view of Helava Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	48
<b>V. Kawakita is Prior Art to the '284 Patent Under At Least 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)</b> .....	50
<b>VI. Conclusion</b> .....	53
<b>VII. Appendix: Abridged Claim Charts</b> .....	54

## **I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8)**

Real Party-in-Interest: Sony Corporation (“Sony” or “Petitioner”), Sony Electronics Inc., Sony Corporation of America, Sony Mobile Communications AB, and Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. are the real parties-in-interest.

Related Matters: The following matter would affect or be affected by the decision in this proceeding: *HumanEyes Technologies Ltd. v. Sony Electronics Inc. et al.*, 1-12-CV-00398 (D. Del.); *Sony Corp. v. HumanEyes Technologies Ltd.*, IPR2013-00219 (P.T.A.B.).

Counsel: Lead Counsel: Walter Hanley (Reg. No. 28,720); Backup Counsel: Michelle Carniaux (Reg. No. 36,098).

Service Information: Sony-HumanEyes@kenyon.com.

Post and Delivery: Kenyon & Kenyon LLP, One Broadway, New York, NY 10004

Telephone: 212-425-7200

Facsimile: 212-425-5288

## **II. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))**

Petitioner certifies that the patent for which review is sought, U.S. Patent No. 7,477,284 (the “’284 Patent,” Sony-1101) is available for *inter partes* review and that the Petitioner is not estopped from requesting an *inter partes* review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this petition. Although Petitioner was served more than one year ago with a complaint asserting infringement of the ’284 Patent, Petitioner submits that, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(b), it is not barred from filing this petition because Petitioner timely filed a prior petition (IPR2013-00219) for *inter partes*

review of the '284 Patent and has accompanied the present petition with a request for joinder under 35 U.S.C. § 315(c).

### **III. Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)-(3)) and Relief Requested (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(1))**

Petitioner challenges claims 4, 7, and 38 of the '284 Patent, and cancellation of those claims is requested.

#### **A. Procedural Background**

HumanEyes Technologies Ltd. (“HumanEyes”) has alleged that Sony has infringed the '284 Patent in the civil action in the District of Delaware identified as a related matter in Section I above (the “Delaware action”), which was filed on March 29, 2012. At about the same time that HumanEyes initiated the Delaware action, HumanEyes also filed a Complaint against Sony in the International Trade Commission (“ITC”), in which it asserted specifically that Sony was infringing claims 1, 2, 3, 10, 20, 27, 28, 29, 36, and 37 of the '284 Patent. On March 29, 2013, Sony filed a petition for *inter partes* review requesting cancellation of those asserted claims. IPR2013-00219.

On April 19, 2013, Sony filed a motion in the Delaware action for a stay pending IPR2013-00219. On May 6, 2013, in response to the stay motion, HumanEyes contended that Sony was infringing additional claims of the '284 Patent that were not asserted in the ITC Complaint nor otherwise previously asserted against Sony, namely claims 4, 7, and 38. *See* Answering Brief in Opposition to Sony’s Motion to Stay

Pending the Outcome of Inter Partes Review of the Patents-In-Suit, *HumanEyes Technologies Ltd. v. Sony Electronics Inc. et al.*, 1-12-CV-00398, D.I. 34 (D. Del. May 6, 2013) (Sony-1102).

Accordingly, Sony is filing its second petition for *inter partes* review of the '284 Patent, IPR2013-00327, requesting cancellation of the additional claims HumanEyes asserted for the first time on May 6, 2013. Concurrently, Sony is filing a motion seeking joinder of this petition with IPR2013-000219.

## **B. Background of the '284 Patent**

The '284 Patent states that “the invention provides an arrangement for recording images for use in generating and utilizing images comprising a stereoscopic image set.” '284 Patent, 2:22-24. A “stereoscopic image set” comprises at least two images of a scene recorded from slightly displaced positions. *Id.* 1:47-53. A “stereoscopic data source records images from which a stereoscopic image set can be generated.” *Id.* 2:26-28. The stereoscopic image set can consist of either panoramic or non-panoramic images generated from the images recorded by the stereoscopic data source. *Id.* 12:48-53.

The Detailed Description in the '284 Patent is directed to a “stereoscopic panoramic image arrangement” in particular. The specification describes the generation of a set of stereoscopic panoramic images using strips from each of a series of images recorded by a stereoscopic data source. *Id.* 8:21-28. Figure 5 of the '284 Patent (below) depicts a series of successive images 50(1), 50(2), . . . 50(3) that are

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.