<u>Trials@uspto.gov</u>
Paper 11
Tel: 571-272-7822
Entered: June 10, 2013

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

ABB, INC. Petitioner

v.

# ROY-G-BIV CORPORATION Patent Owner

\_\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2013-00282 (Patent 6,516,236) Case IPR2013-00286 (Patent 8,073,557)<sup>1</sup>

Before THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, BRYAN F. MOORE, and JENNIFER S. BISK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BISK, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION Motion to Limit Petition 37 C.F.R. § 42.71

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This Order addresses similar issues in both cases. The parties are not authorized to use this caption for any subsequent papers without authorization from the Board.



IPR2013-000282 (Patent 6,516,236) IPR2013-000286 (Patent 8,073,557)

On June 6, 2013, ABB filed motions (Paper 10) to limit the petitions in these proceedings to a small subset of the claims and grounds originally asserted. The remaining challenges are as follows:

- 1) Claims 5 and 6 of US Patent 6,515,236 are obvious over Gertz, Stewart, Morrow, DDAG, and Brockschmidt;
- 2) Claim 7 of US Patent 6,515,236 is obvious over Gertz, Stewart, Morrow, DDAG, and HP86; and
- 3) Claims 26, 29, 30, and 46-59 of US Patent 8,073,557 are obvious over Gertz, Stewart, Morrow, Brockschmidt, and Architect.

These challenges consist mainly of references and combinations that were also asserted in the two related cases that were instituted on April 18, 2013—IPR2013-00062 and IPR2013-00074.

As discussed in the conference call held May 29, 2013 (summarized in Paper 6), limiting the petitions in this manner significantly reduces Patent Owner's burden in responding to the petitions. Therefore, we will shorten Patent Owner's time to file preliminary responses to these petitions.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions to Limit the Petition in these proceedings are **GRANTED**;

FURTHER ORDERED that the petitions in these proceedings are limited to the claims and grounds listed above; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner's Preliminary Response in both cases shall be due no later than **June 24, 2013**.



IPR2013-000282 (Patent 6,516,236) IPR2013-000286 (Patent 8,073,557)

## PETITIONER:

Richard D. McLeod Michael D. Jones Klarquist Sparkman LLP rick.mcleod@klarquist.com michael.jones@klarquist.com

## PATENT OWNER:

Richard T. Black Joel B. Ard Foster Pepper PLLC blacr@foster.com ardjo@foster.com

