

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ATAS INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Petitioner

v.

CENTRIA
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00259
Patent D527834

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. D527,834
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 311 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100**

(REPLACEMENT FILING DATED MAY 10, 2013)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	NOTICE OF REAL PARTY IN INTEREST UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
III.	NOTICE OF RELATED MATTERS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
IV.	NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	1
V.	NOTICE OF SERVICE INFORMATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	1
VI.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)...	2
VII.	CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e)(4) AND 42.105(a).....	2
VIII.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a)(1) AND 42.104(b)(1)-(2).....	2
IX.	STATEMENT OF PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	4
X.	SUMMARY OF PRIOR ART PRINTED PUBLICATIONS RELIED UPON IN THE PRESENT PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW	6
A.	ATAS, Inc. Model MPS120 Panel.....	7
1.	Introduction	7
2.	ATAS Web Page (www.atas.com).....	7
3.	2002 Sweet’s Catalog File, Volume 4.....	8
4.	2002 ATAS Metal Wall Panels Brochure	10
B.	ATAS, Inc. RIGID-Wall™ Panel.....	11
1.	Introduction	11
2.	2002 Sweet’s Catalog File, Volume 4.....	11
3.	2002 ATAS Metal Wall Panels Brochure	11
C.	Centria Model IW60A Panel	12
D.	ATAS, Inc. Model MPH080 Panel.....	12
E.	Centria Model FWDS-59 Panel	14
XI.	OVERVIEW OF THE ‘834 PATENT.....	14
A.	Background.....	14
B.	Prosecution and Enforcement History	14
XII.	STATEMENT OF REASON FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a)(2) AND 42.104(b)(4), SHOWING THAT THERE IS A REASONABLE	

..

LIKELIHOOD THAT THE REQUESTER WILL PREVAIL UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314.....	16
A. The Applicable Legal Standards.....	17
1. Obviousness	17
2. Anticipation.....	21
3. Multiple Embodiments.....	21
B. There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the MPS120 Publications in view of any of the BKR160 Publications.....	22
1. MPS120 Panel as compared to FIGS. 37-41 of the ‘834 Patent	23
2. Any of the MPS120 Publications, which show the MPS120 Panel, is a suitable primary reference, having the same basic design characteristics as the claimed desig.....	25
3. Any of the BKR160 Publications, which show the BKR160 Panel, is a suitable secondary reference for modifying any of the MPS120 Publications	29
4. The ‘834 Patent is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the MPS120 Panel in view of the BKR160 Panel.....	29
C. There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the MPS120 Publications in view of the IW60A Panel.....	31
D. There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the BKR160 Publications (Anticipation).....	33
1. The BKR160 Panel as compared to FIGS. 37-41 of the ‘834 Patent.....	34
2. The ‘834 Patent is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by the BKR160 Panel, as shown in any of the BKR160 Publications	36
E. There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the BKR160 Publications (Obviousness).....	38
F. There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the BKR160 Publications in view of any of the MPS120 Publications.....	40

...

G.	There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the MPH080 Publications in view of any of the BKR160 Publications.....	42
1.	The MPH080 Panel as compared to FIGS. 37-41 of the ‘834 Patent	43
2.	Any of the MPH080 Publications, which show the MPH080 Panel, is a suitable primary reference, having the same basic design characteristics as the claimed design.....	46
3.	Any of the BKR160 Publications, which show the BKR160 panel, is a suitable secondary reference for modifying any of the MPH080 Publications	49
4.	The ‘834 Patent is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the MPH080 Panel in view of the BKR160 Panel	49
H.	There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on Any of the MPH080 Publications in View of the IW60A Panel	53
I.	There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on the FWDS-59 Panel (Anticipation).....	54
1.	Patentably indistinct embodiment later canceled by Patentee should be considered for anticipation and obviousness analysis.....	55
2.	The FWDS-59 Panel as compared to patentably indistinct embodiment later canceled by Patentee	56
3.	The ‘834 Patent is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by the FWDS-59 Panel	57
J.	There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on the FWDS-59 Panel in view of any one of the BKR160 Publications.....	58
XIII.	CONCLUSION.....	60

Table of Exhibits

Exhibit 1001	U.S. Patent No. D527,834
Exhibit 1002	Prosecution history of U.S. Patent No. D527,834
Exhibit 1003	ATAS Website content from December 3, 2000 showing ATAS MPS120 Panel
Exhibit 1004	2002 Sweet's Catalog, including ATAS Wall Panels product brochure showing ATAS MPS120, MPH080, and RIGID-WALL™ (BKR160) Panels and Centria product brochure showing FWDS-59 Panel
Exhibit 1005	Declaration of Noah A. Sharkan; Summer 2000 Sweet's CD, showing ATAS MPS120 and MPH080 Panels
Exhibit 1006	Declaration of Theodorus Bus
Exhibit 1007	"Commercial & Industrial Metal Wall Systems" Robertson Product Brochure (dated 1994) showing IW60A Panel (prior art of record from D527,834)
Exhibit 1008	Centria Technical Data Manual dated December 1996 showing IW60A Panel
Exhibit 1009	Admitted Art Not Considered By Examiner
Exhibit 1010	Canceled Original Figures 31-36 of U.S. Patent No. D527,834
Exhibit 1011	ATAS Website content from August 15, 2000 showing Sweet's CD information
Exhibit 1012	Declaration of Teresa Warmkessel

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.