IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES
REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. D527,834
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 311 AND 37
C.F.R. § 42.100

Application/Control	TBD
Number	
Number	
Patent For Which <i>Inter</i>	
	D-65-004
Partes Review is Presently	D527,834
Requested	
Requested	
Filing Date	April 20, 2004
First Named Inventor	Thimons et al.
Title	DITH DING DANIEL
Title	BUILDING PANEL
Confirmation Number	TBD
Commination Number	וטט
Group Art Unit	TBD
Examiner Name	TBD

TABLE OF CONTENTS



II.	NOTICE OF REAL PARTY IN INTEREST UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)				
III.	NOTICE OF RELATED MATTERS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)				
IV.	NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) 6				
V.	NOT	TICE OF SERVICE INFORMATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	6		
VI.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) 7				
VII.	CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e)(4) AND 42.105(a) 7				
VIII.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a)(1) AND 42.104(b)(1)-(2)				
IX.	STATEMENT OF PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)				
X.	SUM	MARY OF PRIOR ART PRINTED PUBLICATIONS RELIED UPON IN TH SENT PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW	E		
	A.	ATAS, Inc. Model MPS120 Panel	11		
		1. Introduction	11		
		2. ATAS Web Page (www.atas.com)	11		
		3. 2002 Sweet's Catalog File, Volume 4	12		
		4. 2002 ATAS Metal Wall Panels Brochure	13		
	B.	ATAS, Inc. RIGID-Wall TM Panel	14		
		1. Introduction			
		2. 2002 Sweet's Catalog File, Volume 4	14		
		3. 2002 ATAS Metal Wall Panels Brochure			
	C.	Centria Model IW60A Panel			
	D.	ATAS, Inc. Model MPH080 Panel			
	E.	Centria Model FWDS-59 Panel 16			
XI.	OVERVIEW OF THE '834 PATENT				
	A.	Background 16			
	B.	Prosecution and Enforcement History			
XII.	42.22	TEMENT OF REASON FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. 2(a)(2) AND 42.104(b)(4), SHOWING THAT THERE IS A REASONABLE ELIHOOD THAT THE REQUESTER WILL PREVAIL UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 3			
	A.	The Applicable Legal Standards			
		1. Obviousness	18		
		2. Anticipation	22		
		3. Multiple Embodiments			



В.	Consid	Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the MPS120 Publications in view of any of the BKR160 Publications			
	1.	MPS120 Panel as compared to FIGS. 37-41 of the '834 Patent			
	2.	Any of the MPS120 Publications, which show the MPS120 Panel, is a suitable primary reference, having the same basic design characteristics as the claimed desig			
	3.	Any of the BKR160 Publications, which show the BKR160 Panel, is a suitable secondary reference for modifying any of the MPS120 Publications			
	4.	The '834 Patent is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over the MPS120 Panel in view of the BKR160 Panel			
C.	There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the MPS12 Publications in view of the IW60A Panel				
D.	Consid	is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon deration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the BKR160 ations (Anticipation)			
	1.	The BKR160 Panel as compared to FIGS. 37-41 of the '834 Patent 33			
	2.	The '834 Patent is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by the BKR160 Panel, as shown in any of the BKR160 Publications			
E.	There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the BKR16 Publications (Obviousness)				
F.	There is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon Consideration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the BKR16 Publications in view of any of the MPS120 Publications				
G.	Consid	is a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon deration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on any of the MPH080 ations in view of any of the BKR160 Publications			
	1.	The MPH080 Panel as compared to FIGS. 37-41 of the '834 Patent 41			
	2.	Any of the MPH080 Publications, which show the MPH080 Panel, is a suitable primary reference, having the same basic design characteristics as the claimed design			



		3.	Any of the BKR160 Publications, which show the BKR160 panel, is a suitable secondary reference for modifying any of the MPH080 Publications	
		4.	The '834 Patent is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over the MPH080 Panel in view of the BKR160 Panel	. 47
	H.	Conside	a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon eration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on Any of the Publications in View of the IW60A Panel	. 50
	I.	Conside	a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon eration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on the FWDS-59 Anticipation)	. 51
		1.	Patentably indistinct embodiment later canceled by Patentee should be considered for anticipation and obviousness analysis	
		2.	The FWDS-59 Panel as compared to patentably indistinct embodimen later canceled by Patentee	
		3.	The '834 Patent is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by the FWDS-59 Panel	. 53
	J.	Conside	a Reasonable Likelihood that the Petitioner Will Prevail Upon eration of Its Proposed Grounds of Rejection Based on the FWDS-59 view of any one of the BKR160 Publications	. 54
Z III	CONT		·	



Table of Exhibits

Exhibit A	U.S. Design Patent No. D527,834
Exhibit B	Prosecution history of U.S. Design Patent No. D527,834
Exhibit C	ATAS Website content from December 3, 2000 showing ATAS MPS120 Panel
Exhibit D	2002 Sweet's Catalog, including ATAS Wall Panels product brochure showing ATAS MPS120, MPH080, and RIGID-WALL TM (BKR160) Panels and Centria product brochure showing FWDS-59 Panel
Exhibit E	Declaration of Noah A. Sharkan; Summer 2000 Sweet's CD, showing ATAS MPS120 and MPH080 Panels
Exhibit F	Declaration of Theodorus Bus
Exhibit G	"Commercial & Industrial Metal Wall Systems" Robertson Product Brochure (dated 1994) showing IW60A Panel (prior art of record from D527,834)
Exhibit H	Centria Technical Data Manual dated December 1996 showing IW60A Panel
Exhibit I	Admitted Art Not Considered By Examiner
Exhibit J	Canceled Original Figures 31-36 of U.S. Design Patent No. D527,834
Exhibit K	ATAS Website content from August 15, 2000 showing Sweet's CD information
Exhibit L	Declaration of Teresa Warmkessel



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

