Filed on behalf of LifeScan Scotland Ltd.
By: Dianne B. Elderkin (delderkin@akingump.com)
Steven D. Maslowski (smaslowski@akingump.com
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
Two Commerce Square
2001 Market Street, Suite 4100
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Tel: (215) 965-1200
Fax: (215) 965-1210

Paper No. ___
Date Filed: Nov. 15, 2013

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PHARMATECH SOLUTIONS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

LIFESCAN SCOTLAND LTD.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00247
Patent 7,250,105

LIFESCAN SCOTLAND LTD.'S PATENT OWNER RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. OVERVIEW OF THE '105 PATENT 2

A. Diabetes/Blood Glucose Monitoring 2

B. Electrochemical Reactions On The Test Strip 3

C. The Invention Claimed In The '105 Patent 6

D. Claims 1-3 of The '105 Patent 10

III. THE BOARD DECISION INSTITUTING *INTER PARTES* REVIEW 13

IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 13

V. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 14

VI. THE PRIOR ART RELIED ON BY PHARMATECH FAILS TO TEACH ALL OF THE CLAIM ELEMENTS 17

A. Nankai Fails To Teach Or Suggest The Test Strip Configuration And The Method Steps Called For By The '105 Patent Claims 17

1. Nankai does not place a reference sensor part upstream from the working sensor parts 17

2. Nankai does not disclose making multiple measurements and comparing them to a difference threshold 18

3. Nankai fails to address the issue of inadequate sample size 19

B. Winarta Fails To Teach Or Suggest A Second Working Sensor Part/Electrode For Measuring A Substance 21

1. Winarta's teaching of using W_0 as a counter electrode in a three-electrode system in no way suggests using it as a working electrode 22

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2. Winarta's teaching of using W_0 to measure sample resistance does not teach using it as a working electrode.....24

3. Winarta's teaching of W_0 as a trigger does not teach using it as a working electrode.....24

4. One of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to modify W_0 to make glucose measurements.....25

C. Schulman Fails To Teach Or Suggest A Method for Determining Blood Glucose Concentration As Claimed in the '105 Patent.....26

1. Overview of implantable, continuous monitoring devices as disclosed in Schulman 26

2. Schulman uses the term "sensor" differently than the way it is used in the '105 Patent 28

3. Schulman does not use a disposable test strip to which liquid sample is applied. 30

4. Schulman does not use the same "fundamental technique" as Nankai 30

5. Schulman does not use a single measuring device comprising first and second working sensors (electrodes) *each of which generates charge carriers in proportion to the concentration of substance in the liquid sample*.....31

6. Schulman does not teach a second working electrode to make a second, independent measurement of glucose 32

7. Schulman does not teach comparing the electric current from W1 and W2 because each electrode measures something different 34

8. Schulman fails to teach a "measuring device" with multiple "sensor parts" as that term is used in the '105 Patent.....34

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

VII. THE BOARD SHOULD FIND THE '105 PATENT CLAIMS PATENTABLE IN VIEW OF PHARMATECH'S CHALLENGES 36

A. Petitioner's Burden Of Proof.....36

B. Pharmatech Has Not Met Its Burden Of Proving That The Claims Are Obvious In View Of Nankai And Schulman 36

1. The Combination of Nankai and Schulman Does Not Suggest Every Element of the '105 Patent Claims 37

2. One of ordinary skill in the art would not have been led to combine Nankai and Schulman 38

C. Pharmatech Has Not Met Its Burden Of Proving That The Claims Are Obvious In View Of Winarta And Schulman.....43

1. The combination of Winarta and Schulman fails to teach all the elements of the '105 Patent claims 44

2. One of ordinary skill in the art would not have been led to combine Winarta and Schulman.....45

VIII. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS ALSO SHOW THAT THE '105 PATENT CLAIMS ARE NOT OBVIOUS.....45

IX. SUMMARY.....49

X. CONCLUSION.....52

Appendix – List of Exhibits

Certificate of Service

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Advanced Display Sys., Inc. v. Kent State Univ.,
212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000) 46

Bausch & Lomb, Inc. v. Barnes-Hind/Hydrocurve, Inc.,
796 F.2d 443 (Fed. Cir. 1986) 39

Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kan. City,
383 U.S. 1 (1966).....52

Jazz Photo Corp. v. United States,
439 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 36

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....39, 52

Leo Pharm. Prods., Ltd. v. Rea,
726 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 46

Monarch Knitting Mach. Corp. v. Sulzer Morat GmbH,
139 F.3d 877 (Fed. Cir. 1998) 39

Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Mylan Labs., Inc.,
520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 46

Ruiz v. A.B. Chance Co.,
234 F.3d 654 (Fed. Cir. 2000) 46

Takeda Chem. Indus., Ltd. v. Alphapharm Pty., Ltd.,
492 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 39

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 316.....36

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.