

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

3

4 SIPNET EU S.R.O

5

Petitioner

6

v.

7

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

8

Patent Owner

9

10

Case IPR2013-00246
Patent 6,108,704

11

12 Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, THOMAS L. GIANNETTI,
and TRENTON A. WARD, Administrative Patent Judges

13

DESHPANDE, Administrative Patent Judge

14

15

DEPOSITION OF KETAN D. MAYER-PATEL, PH.D.

16

Washington, D.C.

17

Friday, April 18, 2014

18

Pages: 1- 55

19

20

Reported by:

21

CINDY L. SEBO, RMR, CRR, RPR, CSR, CCR, CLR, RSA

22

JOB NO. 48784

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Friday, April 18, 2014

10:35 a.m.

Deposition of KETAN D. MAYER-PATEL,
PH.D., held at the offices of Fisch Hoffman Sigler,
LLP, 5335 Wisconsin Ave, Northwest, Eighth Floor,
Washington, D.C. 20015, on the above date pursuant
to Agreement, before Cindy L. Sebo, Registered Merit
Reporter, Certified Real-Time Reporter, Registered
Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
Certified Court Reporter, Certified LiveNote
Reporter, Real-Time Systems Administrator and Notary
Public in and for the District of Columbia.

1 A P P E A R A N C E S:

2 Attorney for Petitioner:

3 Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

4 BY: MICHAEL T. MORLOCK, ESQUIRE

5 1001 West Fourth Street

6 Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101-2400

7 336.607.7391

8 mmorlock@kilpatricktownsend.com

9

10 Attorneys for Patent Owner, Straight Path,
and the witness:

11 FISCH HOFFMAN SIGLER LLP

12 BY: JASON HOFFMAN, ESQUIRE

13 BY: LUCI BUDA, ESQUIRE

14 BY: MICHELLE CHATELAIN, ESQUIRE

15 5335 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., Eighth Floor

16 Washington, D.C. 20015

17 202.362.3500

18 Jason.Hoffman@FischLLP.com

19 Luci.Buda@FischLLP.com

20 Michelle.Chatelain@FischLLP.com

21

22 ALSO PRESENT: VANDANA KOELSCH, Innovative
Communications Technologies, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

- - -
I N D E X
- - -

WITNESS	PAGE NO.
KETAN D. MAYER-PATEL, PH.D.	
By Mr. Morlock	8

(No Exhibits Marked.)

1

2

DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX

3

4

5 Direction to Witness Not To Answer

6 Page Line Page Line

7 (None)

8

9

10 Request For Production of Documents

11 Page Line Page Line

12 (None)

13

14

15 Stipulations

16 Page Line Page Line

17 6 1

18

19

20 Questions Marked

21 Page Line Page Line

22 (None)

1 S T I P U L A T I O N S

2

3

4 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between
5 the attorneys for the respective parties herein,
6 that filing, sealing and certification of the within
7 deposition be waived.

8

9

10 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all
11 objections, except as to the form of the question,
12 shall be reserved to the time of the trial.

13

14

15 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within
16 deposition may be signed and sworn to before any
17 officer authorized to administer an oath, with the
18 same force and effect as if signed and sworn to
19 before the Court.

20

21

22

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2

3 Washington, D.C.

4 April 18, 2014; 10:35 a.m.

5

6

- - -

7

KETAN D. MAYER-PATEL, PH.D.

8 after having been first duly sworn, was

9 examined and testified as follows:

10

- - -

11

MR. MORLOCK: This is Michael Morlock,
12 counsel for Petitioner.

13

14

MR. HOFFMAN: Jason Hoffman, counsel
15 for Patent Owner, Straight Path, as well as
16 for the witness.

17

18

19

I'm joined, with my firm, by
Michelle Chatelain and Luci Buda, and I'm
also joined by Vandana Koelsch, counsel for
Straight Path.

20

MR. MORLOCK: Okay.

21

22

As an initial matter, I'd like the
record to reflect that counsel for Patent

1 Owner has given the witness a binder. I've
2 asked the witness to return the binder.

3 - - -

4 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER

5 - - -

6 BY MR. MORLOCK:

7 Q. Will you return the binder?

8 MR. HOFFMAN: Return the binder.

9 MR. MORLOCK: To you.

10 MR. HOFFMAN: Sure.

11 THE WITNESS: Okay.

12 MR. HOFFMAN: And, for the record, the
13 binder contains Dr. Mayer-Patel's expert
14 report; a copy of the '704 patent; the two
15 pieces of prior art involved in this IPR;
16 the NetBIOS and WINS; the original petition
17 filed by SIPENT; and the original decision
18 to institute by the board.

19 MR. MORLOCK: Are there any
20 handwritten notes?

21 MR. HOFFMAN: I told you it's
22 completely clean. And you have a copy of it

1 as well.

2 MR. MORLOCK: Thank you very much.

3 MR. HOFFMAN: You're welcome.

4 BY MR. MORLOCK:

5 Q. If you need to refer to the binder,
6 please let me know, and I'll object at that time.

7 MR. HOFFMAN: I'm sorry. And you'll
8 what?

9 MR. MORLOCK: And I will object at
10 that time.

11 MR. HOFFMAN: You will object at that
12 time?

13 MR. MORLOCK: Yes.

14 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay.

15 BY MR. MORLOCK:

16 Q. Have you testified in prior depositions?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. How many times?

19 A. Maybe six times.

20 Q. What were those in connection with?

21 A. A number of different matters. Most
22 recently, in November, I was involved in an IPR

1 deposition. And, prior to that, last year, I was an
2 expert for Netflix in the International Trade
3 Commission matter. And it was -- and so I was
4 deposed as part of my preparation for that -- for
5 this -- my testimony there.

6 Prior to that, various other matters,
7 some of it related to patents, some related to
8 copyright or trade secret.

9 Q. Have you ever testified in a patent
10 litigation?

11 A. In a patent litigation? I testified in
12 Court?

13 Q. Yes.

14 A. No, not in -- not in a patent
15 litigation.

16 Q. How many IPRs have you provided a
17 declaration for?

18 A. This one and the one that -- in
19 November.

20 Q. So two?

21 A. Two.

22 Q. And is this -- this is your second

1 deposition for an IPR?

2 A. This is my second deposition for an IPR,
3 yes.

4 Q. Okay. So since you're something of an
5 old hand at depositions, I'll give you the short
6 version of the ground rules.

7 We have a court reporter here. Try not
8 to nod; try to answer yes or no; if your counsel
9 objects, try to give him a chance to object; give me
10 time to answer a question -- or ask a question so
11 that we can preserve a full record.

12 Do you understand that?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Do you understand that your testimony
15 has the same effect as it would if you were in
16 Court?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. This deposition is going to be on
19 topics covered in a declaration that was served in
20 this inter partes review.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Have you taken any drugs, alcohol or

1 anything else that affect your ability to testify
2 this morning?

3 A. Not this morning, no.

4 Q. I would hope not, but I like to make
5 sure the record it clear.

6 If you need to take a break, let me
7 know. As long as there's not a question pending, we
8 can take a break.

9 Any questions?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Great.

12 Did you prepare for this deposition?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. When?

15 A. Yesterday, I met with counsel pretty
16 much all day. We went over my declaration and the
17 various references and talked about the -- the
18 declaration.

19 And then, prior to that, the last week,
20 I reread the references in my declaration a few
21 times in preparation for this.

22 Q. "A few times"? You mean each reference?

1 A. I mean -- I don't remember exactly which
2 references I -- I read and how many times I read
3 them, but I spent a few hours reviewing the various
4 documents involved.

5 Q. Okay. When you say "documents
6 involved," do you mean NetBios' reference that's
7 Exhibit 1003?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. The '704 patent? It's 6,108,704?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Exhibit 1001?

12 A. Yes, the patent, my declaration, the
13 NetBIOS reference and the WINS reference.

14 Q. Yeah. And you did this yesterday -- you
15 also prepared yesterday?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. For approximately the full day?

18 A. Approximately.

19 Q. How much of that time did you spend,
20 give or take, looking at the NetBIOS reference?

21 A. I can't recall exactly how much was on
22 one versus the other. If I had to guess, maybe a

1 third of the day.

2 Q. A third of the day?

3 A. Sure.

4 Q. Okay. Well, let's start off on some
5 topics, then.

6 Are you familiar with U.S. 6,108,704?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. I'm going to refer to that as the '704
9 patent --

10 A. Sure.

11 Q. -- you understand that?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. Do the claims of the '704 patent
14 recite the term "process"?

15 A. I believe the claims of the '704 patent
16 do, in fact, refer to a process, yes.

17 Q. Okay. Is a running computer application
18 a process as recited by the claims of the '704
19 patent?

20 A. I think that is a fair representation of
21 what a process is, yes, a running application, yeah.

22 Q. So does that mean a process is created

1 when a program starts?

2 A. Yes, that's about right.

3 When a program starts on a computer, the
4 process is created to that -- that represents that
5 program running, yes.

6 Q. And that process ends when the program
7 stops?

8 A. That process ends when the process ends.
9 So a program might actually, you know, create
10 several processes as part of the program.

11 So when all of the processes associated
12 with the program end, then you can say that the
13 program ends.

14 Q. So if -- if a -- I'm sorry. I missed
15 that.

16 When all the process -- processes
17 associated with a program end, the process ends?

18 A. So the process ends when the process
19 ends.

20 So a process is a running -- is an
21 abstraction for a running thread of execution on a
22 computer. And if it ends, then that's the end of

1 the process.

2 Q. So if the running thread of execution
3 ends, the process ends?

4 A. That's my understanding, yes.

5 Q. If a program is started again, is a new
6 process created?

7 A. Generally that is true, yes.

8 Q. I have some questions about
9 Exhibit 1003.

10 I'm going to read you the full name so
11 we're clear what we're talking about.

12 That is the NetBIOS reference, Protocols
13 for X/Open PC Interworking: SMB, Version 2.

14 And you're familiar with this reference?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. As you said, you reviewed it several
17 times in the past couple of weeks?

18 A. Sure.

19 Q. Okay. I'm going to refer to this as
20 either Exhibit 1003 or NetBIOS, just for
21 convenience --

22 A. Okay.

1 Q. -- do you understand that?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Great.

4 Does NetBIOS describe applications?

5 A. I would have to refer to -- can I review
6 the reference?

7 Q. The actual reference?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. So you don't -- as you sit here, without
10 looking at your notebook, you don't know if NetBIOS
11 discusses an application?

12 A. I don't know whether that word appears
13 in the -- like, somewhere in the reference. It's a
14 long document. I didn't memorize it.

15 Q. That's reasonable. It is a long
16 document.

17 So, just to be clear, you can't answer
18 yes or no right now whether or not NetBIOS describes
19 applications without looking at the document?

20 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

21 THE WITNESS: I can't -- I can't
22 answer whether that word appears in

1 the -- in the document somewhere.

2 BY MR. MORLOCK:

3 Q. Does NetBIOS discuss the concept of
4 applications?

5 A. NetBIOS, with respect to this patent, in
6 my understanding of NetBIOS, describes a mapping
7 between names and IP addresses, and a service for --
8 for maintaining that mapping.

9 Q. Okay. I'm going to turn you to -- you
10 can pick up the notebook if you want. It's
11 Exhibit 1003, Page 377.

12 By "Page 377," I mean the exhibit pages
13 at the bottom.

14 A. Sure.

15 MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you for that
16 clarification. It's one of the more
17 confusing things about these exhibits.

18 BY MR. MORLOCK:

19 Q. So you're on that page?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. I'll refer you to -- under Section 5,
22 Overview of NetBIOS.

1 The third paragraph --

2 A. Sure.

3 Q. -- reads, NetBIOS applications employ
4 NetBIOS mechanisms to locate resources.

5 A. I see that.

6 Q. So does NetBIOS describe applications?

7 A. That's not how I would characterize it.

8 My understanding of what they mean by
9 "NetBIOS applications" in this phrase are
10 applications that are using NetBIOS for name to IP
11 address mapping.

12 Q. So does NetBIOS discuss applications?

13 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

14 THE WITNESS: So NetBIOS describes how
15 applications use NetBIOS to look up mappings
16 between names and IP addresses.

17 BY MR. MORLOCK:

18 Q. Does NetBIOS use the word
19 "applications"?

20 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

21 THE WITNESS: The word "applications"
22 appears in the NetBIOS document.

1 BY MR. MORLOCK:

2 Q. Is a NetBIOS application an application?

3 A. My understanding of NetBIOS is that
4 NetBIOS is not an application. NetBIOS is a service
5 used by applications to look up names and their
6 mapping IP addresses.

7 Q. So does -- when NetBIOS describes
8 NetBIOS applications, is NetBIOS referring to an
9 application?

10 A. NetBIOS is referring, in this case, I
11 believe, to an application that employs NetBIOS.

12 Q. Okay. Is a running NetBIOS application
13 a process?

14 A. So you need to define what a NetBIOS
15 application is.

16 Q. Well, would you describe a NetBIOS
17 application?

18 A. An application that uses NetBIOS is a
19 running application.

20 Q. Do the claims of the '704 patent recite
21 "a process is connected to a network"?

22 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form;

1 foundation.

2 THE WITNESS: I have to look at
3 the patent more clearly, more specifically.

4 BY MR. MORLOCK:

5 Q. You can turn specifically to Claim 1, if
6 that will help. And the patent is Exhibit 1001.

7 MR. HOFFMAN: I assume, Counsel,
8 you've withdrawn your objection of him
9 having his binder.

10 MR. MORLOCK: For now.

11 MR. HOFFMAN: I think your objection
12 is now waived.

13 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
14 question?

15 BY MR. MORLOCK:

16 Q. Sure.

17 So do the claims of the '704 patent
18 recite the term "a process is connected to the
19 network"?

20 A. I believe the patent refers to processes
21 that can connect to each other and to a server,
22 presumably over a network.

1 Q. When a process starts on a computer
2 that's connected to a network, is that process
3 automatically connected to the network, too?

4 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection to form.

5 THE WITNESS: Well, the computer -- if
6 the computer is connected to the network,
7 then a process that is running on that
8 computer is able to make connections over
9 that network. Until it does, it is just
10 running locally on that computer.

11 BY MR. MORLOCK:

12 Q. When would that process receive a
13 network protocol address?

14 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: foundation.

15 THE WITNESS: That process would
16 receive a network protocol address when it
17 uses the operating system in order to make a
18 connection to some other process on some
19 other computer.

20 BY MR. MORLOCK:

21 Q. So referring to another part of NetBIOS,
22 does NetBIOS describe NetBIOS applications register

1 their names?

2 A. I wouldn't characterize that as the
3 application registry of the name. NetBIOS describes
4 a computer -- a mapping being registered between a
5 name and an IP address, and that IP address
6 represents a computer.

7 Q. I'd like to turn you to Exhibit 1003.
8 Again, page number, at the bottom, is 378.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. The first full paragraph under
11 Section 5.2, Name Service, reads, NetBIOS resources
12 are referenced by name. Lower-level address
13 information is not available to NetBIOS
14 applications. An application, representing a
15 resource, registers one or more names that it wishes
16 to use.

17 Does an application in NetBIOS register
18 a name?

19 A. An application may cause the
20 registration of a mapping between a name and IP
21 address. I would agree to that.

22 The IP address, however, can't identify

1 a process; it identifies a computer.

2 Q. But a process is an application
3 executing on a computer?

4 A. A process is an application executing on
5 a computer; that is true.

6 Q. Okay. Paragraph 34 of your
7 declaration -- if you want to turn to it to confirm
8 what I'm saying is true.

9 A. What's your question?

10 Q. Are you at Paragraph 34?

11 A. I am at Paragraph 34.

12 Q. It says, In NetBIOS, a registration may
13 extend indefinitely regardless of whether the node
14 remains connected to the computer network.

15 A. That is correct.

16 Q. Does NetBIOS disclose that names are
17 given a lifetime during their name registration?

18 A. I believe NetBIOS describes the ability
19 to associate a lifetime with the mapping.

20 Q. Does NetBIOS disclose this lifetime may
21 be any definite period?

22 A. I believe the description of the

1 lifetime is that the NetBIOS -- it might be a
2 definite period and/or it might be an indefinite
3 period.

4 Q. So not all NetBIOS name registrations
5 extend indefinitely?

6 A. It depends exactly on the -- the -- on
7 how NetBIOS is being used. But it -- it is possible
8 that a name mapping in NetBIOS is associated with a
9 lifetime.

10 Q. And that lifetime is a finite period?

11 A. That lifetime can be a finite period.
12 It can also be an indefinite period.

13 Q. So a NetBIOS name registration can have
14 a finite period?

15 A. It is possible for a mapping between the
16 name and an IP address to have a finite lifetime
17 period associated with it, yes.

18 Q. Does NetBIOS disclose that end-nodes may
19 send refresh messages?

20 A. Let me refer to NetBIOS.

21 (Whereupon, the witness
22 reviews the material provided.)

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, NetBIOS does
2 describe a mechanism for refresh.

3 BY MR. MORLOCK:

4 Q. Okay. And that is a name refresh
5 request packet?

6 Page 400 is my copy.

7 A. Yes, I believe they refer to this as a
8 name refresh request packet.

9 Q. Does NetBIOS disclose that if end-node
10 does not send a refresh message, it may be removed
11 from the group?

12 A. NetBIOS describes a -- a mechanism that
13 if a refresh packet is not received, the mapping may
14 be removed, yes.

15 Q. So that refresh message renews the
16 lifetime of a registered name?

17 A. That refresh message, I believe, does
18 renew the lifetime of the mapping between a name and
19 IP address, yes.

20 Q. Okay. And that's renewing the
21 registered name?

22 A. And that's renewing a registered name,

1 yes.

2 Q. Can a NetBIOS application remove its
3 name registration before its registration time
4 expires?

5 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

6 THE WITNESS: I think you've
7 mischaracterized the -- the mapping.

8 The mapping is not between a name and
9 an application. So an end-node can refresh
10 the mapping between a name and an IP
11 address.

12 BY MR. MORLOCK:

13 Q. Can a NetBIOS name be released
14 explicitly by an end-node?

15 A. I believe it is possible for an end-node
16 to release a map -- a name explicitly.

17 Q. Right.

18 I'll turn you to Page 395 of
19 Exhibit 1003.

20 A. I'm there.

21 Q. Under Section 15.1.3, Name Release --
22 it's three-quarters of the way down the page.

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. So could you read that for me?

3 A. NetBIOS names may be released explicitly
4 or silently by an end-node. Silent release
5 typically occurs when an end-node fails or is turned
6 off. Most of the mechanisms described below are
7 present to detect silent name release.

8 Q. Thank you.

9 I'll just ask again for clarity.

10 Does NetBIOS disclose that names may be
11 released explicitly by an end-node?

12 A. NetBIOS does describe releasing a name
13 explicitly by an end-node.

14 Q. Okay. Thank you.

15 Paragraph 17 of your declaration --

16 A. I'm there.

17 Q. Okay.

18 -- starts off with, One of the
19 objectives of the '704 patent is to provide a
20 connection between two end-line processes so that
21 the process may establish a point-to-point
22 communications over the network.

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. It continues, To achieve this objective,
3 the '704 patent teaches tracking the on-line status
4 of registered processes, rather than simply
5 maintaining a database of these processes.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And I'm going to skip down. It looks
8 like the last full sentence of that same paragraph
9 reads, One illustrative way of determining this
10 on-line status is by use of an ongoing time stamp
11 application with which the system actively checks
12 whether a process is still connected to the network.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Does this ongoing time stamp
15 application, as used in the '704 patent, mean that a
16 registration would be removed from the connection
17 server sometime after it was created?

18 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

19 THE WITNESS: I don't think I quite
20 understand your question.

21 BY MR. MORLOCK:

22 Q. Okay. The '704 patent describes an

1 ongoing time stamp application?

2 A. It describes one possible way of
3 achieving the goal of tracking on-line status of a
4 process is to use a ongoing time stamp in order to
5 make sure that that process is still active and
6 on-line.

7 Q. So the '704 patent describes a
8 connection server that checks time stamps?

9 A. So the '704 patent does use a -- does
10 illustrate the possibility of using a time stamp.
11 That's not the only way to achieve the goals of the
12 '704 patent.

13 But the important distinction is
14 between, for example, NetBIOS and what the '704
15 patent is asking is the difference between a
16 computer connected to a network and a process
17 connected to a network. So the '704 patent is
18 tracking the on-line status of a process.

19 Q. So does the '704 patent describe that
20 the connection server checks time stamps of
21 registered records periodically?

22 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

1 THE WITNESS: So the '704 patent does
2 provide a illustration of one way of
3 achieving its goals. And one way to achieve
4 that would be to check the time stamp
5 associated with processes that are known to
6 be on-line.

7 BY MR. MORLOCK:

8 Q. And it would remove processes that had
9 an expired time stamp?

10 A. Not necessarily. It could -- if -- the
11 expired time stamp might simply prompt the server to
12 communicate with that process in order to then
13 confirm whether or not that process is still on-line
14 or not.

15 Q. Is that described in the '704 patent?

16 A. It describes this somewhat implicitly
17 where it talks about the connection server using the
18 stamps to update the status of each processing unit.

19 So to update the status of each
20 processing unit would be to confirm whether that
21 processing unit is on-line or not.

22 Q. Does that -- you're talking about

1 Column 5, Line 39, give or take?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Does that explicitly describe sending an
4 update message?

5 A. It doesn't explicitly describe how it is
6 able to confirm the on-line -- how -- how it updates
7 the status of the -- of the -- of the process.

8 Q. So does the '704 patent describe that if
9 time stamps are -- let me rephrase that.

10 Does the '704 patent describe checking
11 time stamps and periodically removing records with
12 an expired time stamp?

13 A. I don't recall it describing removing
14 records associated with an expired time stamp.

15 Q. Does the '704 patent describe
16 maintaining on-line status information so that it
17 is, quoting, relatively current?

18 A. It does describe maintaining on-line
19 status information, so it's relatively concurrent.

20 I also see, on Column 6 around Line 5,
21 that it describes either removing the user's
22 information or simply flagging the information as

1 being off-line.

2 Q. So it describes removing the user's
3 information?

4 A. It describes that as one possibility for
5 how it maintains its internal data structures.

6 Q. Do any claims of the '704 patent require
7 removal of expired records from the connection
8 server database?

9 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: scope.

10 (Whereupon, the witness
11 reviews the material provided.)

12 THE WITNESS: It does not describe
13 that explicitly, but there's an implicit
14 inference that can be made for Claims 32
15 through 38, where they describe maintaining
16 a list of on-line processes that are
17 connected.

18 So if one of those processes in the
19 list that is -- that are connected
20 become -- subsequently become not
21 connected, then, presumably, to maintain a
22 list of processes that are connected, that

1 process would have to be removed from that
2 list.

3 BY MR. MORLOCK:

4 Q. Okay. A minute ago, you referred to --
5 it was Column 6, Line 6, give or take, referring to
6 updating user's information in the database 34?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Does this off-line message -- is that a
9 deregistration message?

10 A. Not necessarily. The off-line message
11 simply would indicate that that process is now
12 off-line.

13 So the -- the database could still
14 maintain the record but simply include information
15 about the on-line or off-line status of that
16 process.

17 So that would not be deregistering the
18 record in any way; it would simply be updating the
19 record to reflect the off-line status.

20 Q. But it could be deregistering the
21 record?

22 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

1 THE WITNESS: Depending on how you've
2 implemented, it could possibly deregister.
3 That's one possibility.

4 Here, it seems to be updating.

5 BY MR. MORLOCK:

6 Q. So one possibility is it could be
7 deregistering?

8 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

9 THE WITNESS: It could be one
10 possibility for how this is implemented.
11 The description in Column 6 seems to
12 describe updating the information.

13 BY MR. MORLOCK:

14 Q. But one possible implementation would be
15 deregistering?

16 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

17 THE WITNESS: One possible
18 implementation for how a '704 patent
19 implementation might work would be to
20 deregister an entry if that entry is --
21 if -- if the implementation is only
22 maintaining entries for on-line processes,

1 then -- when the process goes off-line,
2 then, presumably, it would remove that from
3 the list.

4 BY MR. MORLOCK:

5 Q. So, yes or no, would one implementation
6 of this off-line message be a message that
7 deregistered?

8 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

9 THE WITNESS: Again, you know, without
10 a full implementation, I can't answer that,
11 you know, whether or not it -- it is meeting
12 the -- the requirements of the patent.

13 If the patent requires
14 them -- the patent does require
15 it -- the -- the implementation to maintain
16 a list of on-line processes; one possible
17 way of doing this is to only maintain a
18 list of on-line processes and then, if a
19 process goes off-line, then to remove it
20 from the list.

21 BY MR. MORLOCK:

22 Q. And that process could be removed from

1 the list because it sent a deregistration message
2 before it went off-line?

3 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

4 THE WITNESS: It might result from the
5 process indicating that it is now off-line.

6 BY MR. MORLOCK:

7 Q. Via an off-line message?

8 A. So "off-line message" is not a term of
9 art.

10 So do you want to define "off-line
11 message"?

12 Q. Yeah. As used in Column 6, Line 6 uses
13 the phrase "by an off-line message such as a data
14 packet sent automatically from the processing unit."

15 A. Sure.

16 So this seems to indicate a message
17 indicating off-line status of a process is being
18 sent to the server. And the server then would
19 update whatever data structures that it's using to
20 track on-line versus off-line processes.

21 Q. So this would be a deregistration
22 message?

1 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

2 THE WITNESS: Again, there's no
3 present technical term for off-line message
4 or deregistration message; so it depends.
5 If it is an off-line message, it updates the
6 status of that second process, however that
7 implementation is -- is doing it, that would
8 depend on the implementation.

9 BY MR. MORLOCK:

10 Q. But that could be a message sent to
11 instruct -- instruct that the process be
12 deregistered?

13 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

14 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't characterize
15 it like -- that way.

16 It is a message sent to indicate the
17 process is off-line. The server then needs
18 to update its data structures in order
19 to -- to incorporate that new piece of
20 information.

21 BY MR. MORLOCK:

22 Q. So could an off-line message, as

1 described in Column 6, Lines 6 through 16, be used
2 to notify the connection server that a registered
3 process is about to disconnect?

4 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

5 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by
6 "about"?

7 BY MR. MORLOCK:

8 Q. Will go off-line or will disconnect
9 within a certain period.

10 A. So, as described in Column 6, it doesn't
11 describe anything about some future off-line status.
12 It simply suggests -- it simply describes a process
13 sending a message to indicate that it's currently
14 off-line.

15 Q. Could one implementation be indicating
16 future off-line status?

17 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

18 THE WITNESS: I mean, as described in
19 Column 6, it doesn't describe anything about
20 indicating future off-line status. It seems
21 to suggest that it's simply updating the
22 current status of the process.

1 BY MR. MORLOCK:

2 Q. Could that off-line status message be
3 used to indicate that a registered process is about
4 to become inaccessible to the network?

5 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

6 THE WITNESS: Again, the off-line
7 message, if it's indicating that it's
8 off-line, would suggest the process is
9 off-line. Whether there is additional
10 mechanism for indicating some future time in
11 which that process is off-line, that's not
12 described here (indicating).

13 BY MR. MORLOCK:

14 Q. Does this mechanism, describing sending
15 a going off-line message, mean that the process
16 deregisters itself?

17 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

18 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't characterize
19 it as deregistering. It is simply informing
20 the server that it is -- its status is now
21 off-line.

22 Then the server needs to do whatever

1 it's going to do in order to maintain
2 whatever data structures it is using in
3 order to -- to track the on-line status of
4 that process.

5 BY MR. MORLOCK:

6 Q. Does that mean that the operation of
7 sending the message is not initiated by the
8 connection server itself?

9 A. Which message?

10 Q. The off-line message.

11 A. The situation described in Column 6
12 describes the process sending the off-line message.

13 Q. So that means that it's received by the
14 connection server?

15 A. The example in -- in -- in Column 6, I
16 believe, is the off-line message is received by the
17 server, yes.

18 Q. And sent by the process?

19 A. And sent by the process.

20 Q. If that process was running on a
21 computer that was physically disconnected from the
22 network, would this off-line message allow the

1 connection server to maintain an accurate list of
2 that process' status?

3 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection: form.

4 THE WITNESS: Are you saying that the
5 off-line message was sent after the computer
6 was disconnected from the network?

7 BY MR. MORLOCK:

8 Q. No.

9 If the computer just went -- if the
10 computer is disconnected, could an off-line message
11 be sent?

12 A. If a computer is physically disconnected
13 from the network, a process running on that computer
14 would not be able to send a message.

15 Q. That makes sense.

16 Is this off-line message described in
17 any claim of the '704 patent?

18 MR. HOFFMAN: Objection. Scope.

19 (Whereupon, the witness
20 reviews the material provided.)

21 THE WITNESS: All right. It's a
22 little bit complicated. The term "off-line

1 message" is used in some of the claims but
2 not in the same way as used in Column 6.

3 So, in Column 6, the example we were
4 talking about, they use the term "off-line
5 message" to indicate a message sent by a
6 process in order to update the server as to
7 its own on-line status.

8 So there is reference to an off-line
9 message in some of the Claim 1, but it's
10 not -- it's -- those aren't the same
11 things. Because the -- in the claim
12 language, that specific term is used to
13 indicate a response by the server to a
14 separate process that has asked for the
15 on-line status of some process that is
16 off-line.

17 So there's some confusion with
18 respect to having reused the term "off-line
19 message" in the Column 6 example in a
20 different way than the claim example. But
21 that claim example, the use of "off-line
22 message" doesn't match.

1 However, in some of the later claims,
2 there is reference to deleting an entry of
3 a on-line -- of a list of -- of -- of
4 on-line processes due to some predetermined
5 event. That predetermined event could be
6 a -- the reception of an off-line message
7 in the respect being used in Column 6,
8 which is the -- the -- the process sending
9 a update status -- a status update.

10 So that language of predetermined
11 event occurs in, I believe, Claim 37 and
12 then, again, in Claim 42.

13 BY MR. MORLOCK:

14 Q. Okay. Thank you.

15 I'll refer you back to the '704 patent,
16 Column 5, Lines 39 through 40.

17 A. Sure.

18 Q. That describes, generally, time stamps?

19 A. These lines describe time stamps as part
20 of updating the status of processes, yes.

21 Q. Okay. And at Paragraph 17 of your
22 declaration, you wrote, One illustrative way of

1 determining this on-line status is by use of an
2 ongoing time stamp application with which the system
3 actively checks whether a process is still connected
4 to the network.

5 A. That is correct.

6 Q. Besides an ongoing time stamp
7 application and an off-line message, does the '704
8 patent describe any other method of tracking on-line
9 status of registered processes?

10 A. So the claims all describe the
11 requirement of tracking processes to be -- as being
12 on-line, they do use some illustrative examples,
13 including the time stamps and the use of the
14 off-line messages, as well as polling, actively
15 asking a process whether or not it was on-line.

16 Q. Can you point me to where those are
17 disclosed?

18 A. Column 5, Lines 39 through 41 is where
19 the time stamps we talked about that -- Column 6 in
20 the example that we previously talked about, I
21 believe around Lines 5 through 10. And then the
22 polling, I think, is described in Column 6 between

1 Lines 55 and 60.

2 Q. Other than those three, are there any
3 others?

4 A. Not that I recall.

5 Q. Do you want to take a minute to confirm
6 that?

7 (Whereupon, the witness
8 reviews the material provided.)

9 MR. MORLOCK: While you do, Jason, can
10 you pass me a bottle of water?

11 I can walk over there.

12 MR. HOFFMAN: Sure.

13 THE WITNESS: So in addition to using
14 time-outs -- or using -- I'm sorry -- time
15 stamps, explicit messages of on-line and
16 off-line status by a process, and polling
17 processes, it does describe inferring
18 off-line status because of
19 nonresponsiveness, which actually is a sort
20 of a polling.

21 So those are the three methods that
22 are described by the patent for -- in

1 the -- in the description of -- of possible
2 embodiments.

3 BY MR. MORLOCK:

4 Q. Do you mean three or four when you
5 said -- when you gave the number?

6 A. Well, updating the status based on
7 nonresponsiveness is basically a form of polling.

8 So do you want to count that as a
9 separate --

10 Q. Do you?

11 A. I would count that as part of polling.

12 Q. Okay. And that -- where is that
13 disclosed, what paragraph or what column?

14 A. The polling?

15 Q. Yeah.

16 A. I think I told you already. Like, in
17 Column 6, around Lines 55 through 60.

18 Q. I think you mean Column 5.

19 A. H'mm, the polling for every three to
20 five seconds language occurs in Column 6.

21 Q. Oh, I see.

22 Yeah, I had the wrong column.

1 And the other polling example you gave?

2 A. It describes being nonresponsive in
3 Column 6, Lines 20 through 25.

4 So . . .

5 Q. Okay.

6 Could I turn you to Column 6 -- or I'm
7 sorry -- Column 5, Lines -- about -- 55 through 61.

8 A. Sure.

9 Q. And that reads, The connection server 26
10 then searches the database 34 to determine whether
11 the callee is logged in by finding any stored
12 information corresponding to the callee's e-mail
13 address indicating that the callee is active and
14 on-line?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Does this mean that finding a
17 registration in a database is an indication for the
18 connection server that the registered process is
19 active and is on-line?

20 A. Not necessarily.

21 That's -- it might be part of the
22 information that the server uses.

1 The next sentence basically says, if the
2 callee is active and on-line. So, somehow, the
3 server needs to come to some determination that the
4 callee is active and on-line.

5 Using the information in the database is
6 part of that process, but it doesn't describe every
7 step the server might be making.

8 Q. So, so using the information in the
9 database is part of the process of determining the
10 process is active and on-line?

11 A. In this example description, yes.

12 Q. Okay.

13 MR. MORLOCK: I think we can take a
14 break.

15 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay.

16 - - -

17 (Whereupon, a brief recess was
18 taken from 11:29 a.m. to 11:40 a.m.)

19 - - -

20 BY MR. MORLOCK:

21 Q. A couple final questions about the
22 notebook you have.

1 Are there any notes in that?

2 A. Not that I'm aware of.

3 Q. Did you make any notes in that notebook?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Okay.

6 MR. MORLOCK: You're representing
7 there are no notes in the notebook?

8 MR. HOFFMAN: There's no notes in the
9 notebook.

10 MR. MORLOCK: Great. Thank you.

11 BY MR. MORLOCK:

12 Q. What did you talk about during the
13 break?

14 A. I didn't talk to anyone during the
15 break.

16 Q. Okay.

17 MR. MORLOCK: I am done with my
18 questions. It's your witness for redirect.

19 MR. HOFFMAN: I've got no questions
20 for the witness.

21 I'd like the witness to have the
22 ability to read and sign.

1 MR. MORLOCK: Great. I have no
2 recross then, obviously.

3 - - -

4 (The deposition concluded at 11:41 a.m.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 C E R T I F I C A T E

2 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:

3 I, Cindy L. Sebo, a Notary Public within
4 and for the Jurisdiction aforesaid, do hereby
5 certify that the foregoing deposition was taken
6 before me, pursuant to notice, at the time and place
7 indicated; that said deponent was by me duly sworn
8 to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
9 the truth; that the testimony of said deponent was
10 correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me and
11 thereafter transcribed under my supervision with
12 computer-aided transcription; that the deposition is
13 a true record of the testimony given by the witness;
14 and that I am neither of counsel nor kin to any
15 party in said action, nor interested in the outcome
16 thereof.

17
18 
19

20 _____
21 Cindy L. Sebo, RMR, CRR, RPR, CSR,
22 CCR, CLR, RSA, Notary Public

1 INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS

2 Please read your deposition over
3 carefully and make any necessary corrections. You
4 should state the reason in the appropriate space on
5 the errata sheet for any corrections that are made.

6 After doing so, please sign the errata
7 sheet and date it.

8 You are signing same subject to the
9 changes you have noted on the errata sheet, which
10 will be attached to your deposition.

11 It is imperative that you return the
12 original errata sheet to the deposing attorney
13 within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition
14 transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the
15 deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate
16 and may be used in court.

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	E R R A T A		
2	PAGE	LINE	CHANGE
3	_____	_____	_____
4		REASON:	_____
5	_____	_____	_____
6		REASON:	_____
7	_____	_____	_____
8		REASON:	_____
9	_____	_____	_____
10		REASON:	_____
11	_____	_____	_____
12		REASON:	_____
13	_____	_____	_____
14		REASON:	_____
15	_____	_____	_____
16		REASON:	_____
17	_____	_____	_____
18		REASON:	_____
19	_____	_____	_____
20		REASON:	_____
21	_____	_____	_____
22		REASON:	_____

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT

I, _____, do
hereby certify that I have read the foregoing
pages, 1 to 51, and that the same is a correct
transcription of the answers given by me
to the questions therein propounded, except for
the corrections or changes in form or substance,
if any, noted in the attached errata sheet.

DATE SIGNATURE

Subscribed and sworn to me this _____ day
of _____, 20_____.

My Commission expires:

Notary Public

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014

Index: 1..affect

	11 34:6	37:12	50:22
<u>1</u>	48:10	39:1,10,19	abstraction
1 21:5 43:9	37 44:11	41:11,15	15:21
10 45:21	377 18:11,	43:2,3,19	accurate
1001 13:11	12	44:7	42:1
21:6	378 23:8	45:19,22	achieve 29:2
1003 13:7	38 33:15	47:17,20	30:11 31:3
16:9,20	39 32:1	48:3,6	achieving
18:11 23:7	44:16	6,108,704	31:3
27:19	45:18	13:9 14:6	active 30:5
10:35 7:4	395 27:18	60 46:1	48:13,19
11:29 49:18		47:17	49:2,4,10
11:40 49:18	<u>4</u>	61 48:7	actively
11:41 51:4			29:11
15.1.3 27:21	40 44:16		45:3,14
16 39:1	400 26:6	704 8:14	actual 17:7
17 28:15	41 45:18	13:9 14:8,	addition
44:21	42 44:12	13,15,18	46:13
18 7:4	<u>5</u>	20:20	additional
		21:17	40:9
<u>2</u>	5 18:21	28:19	address
2 16:13	32:1,20	29:3,15,22	19:11
20 48:3	44:16	30:7,9,12,	22:13,16
2014 7:4	45:18,21	14,17,19	23:5,12,
25 48:3	47:18 48:7	31:1,15	21,22
26 48:9	5.2 23:11	32:8,10,15	25:16
	55 46:1	33:6 35:18	26:19
	47:17 48:7	42:17	27:11
		44:15 45:7	48:13
		<u>A</u>	addresses
<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	a.m. 7:4	18:7 19:16
32 33:14	6 32:20	49:18 51:4	20:6
34 24:6,10,	34:5 35:11	ability 12:1	affect 12:1
		24:18	

agree 23:21	assume 21:7	characterize 39:1,10,19
alcohol 11:22	automatically 22:3 37:14	19:7 23:2 41:11,15
and/or 25:2	aware 50:2	38:14 43:2,3,19
appears 17:12, 19:22	back 44:15	40:18 44:7,16
application 14:17,21 17:11 20:2,4,9, 11,12,15, 17,18,19 23:3,14, 17,19 24:2,4 27:2,9 29:11,15 30:1 45:2, 7	based 47:6	45:18,19, 22 47:13, 17,18,20,
applications 17:4,19 18:4 19:3, 6,9,10,12, 15,19,21 20:5,8 22:22 23:14	basically 47:7 49:1	Chatelain 7:17
approximately 13:17,18	binder 8:1, 2,7,8,13 9:5 21:9	check 31:4
April 7:4	bit 42:22	checking 32:10
art 8:15 37:9	board 8:18	checks 29:11 30:8,20 45:3
associate 24:19	bottle 46:10	claim 21:5 42:17 43:9,11, 20,21 44:11,12
	bottom 18:13 23:8	claims 14:13,15, 18 20:20 21:17 33:6,14 44:1 45:10
	break 12:6,8 49:14 50:13,15	clarification 18:16
	Buda 7:17	clarity 28:9
	<hr/> c <hr/>	clean 8:22
	callee 48:11,13 49:2,4	clear 12:5 16:11 17:17
	callee's 48:12	column 32:1, 20 34:5 35:11 37:12
	case 20:10	Commission 10:3
	chance 11:9	communicate 31:12
		communications 28:22
		completely 8:22
		complicated 42:22
		computer 14:17 15:3,22 22:1,5,6, 8,10,19 23:4,6 24:1,3,5, 14 30:16 41:21 42:5,9,10, 12,13
		concept 18:3
		concluded 51:4
		concurrent 32:19

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014 Index: confirm..describes

confirm 24:7 31:13,20 32:6 46:5	copyright 10:8	48:10,17 49:5,9	deregistered 36:7 38:12
confusing 18:17	correct 24:15 45:5	day 12:16 13:17 14:1,2	deregistering 34:17,20 35:7,15 40:19
confusion 43:17	counsel 7:12,13, 18,22 8:4 11:8 12:15 21:7	decision 8:17	deregisters 40:16
connect 21:21	count 47:8, 11	declaration 10:17 11:19 12:16,18, 20 13:12 24:7 28:15 44:22	deregistration 34:9 37:1, 21 38:4
connected 20:21 21:18 22:2,3,6 24:14 29:12 30:16, 33:17,19, 21,22 45:3	couple 16:17 49:21	define 20:14 37:10	describe 17:4 19:6 20:16 22:22 26:2 28:12 30:19 32:3,5,8, 10,15,18 33:12,15 35:12 39:11, 44:19 45:8,10 46:17 49:6
connection 9:20 22:18 28:20 29:16 30:8,20 31:17 33:7 39:2 41:8, 14 42:1 48:9,18	court 10:12 11:7,16	definite 24:21 25:2	
	covered 11:19	deleting 44:2	
	create 15:9	depend 38:8	
	created 14:22 15:4 16:6 29:17	Depending 35:1	
	current 32:17 39:22	depends 25:6 38:4	describes 17:18 18:6 19:14 20:7 23:3 24:18 26:12 29:22 30:2,7 31:16 32:21 33:2,4 41:12
	<hr/> D <hr/>	deposed 10:4	
connections 22:8	D.C. 7:3	deposition 11:1,2,18 12:12 51:4	
continues 29:2	data 33:5 37:13,19 38:18 41:2	depositions 9:16 11:5	
convenience 16:21	database 29:5 33:8 34:6,13	deregister 35:2,20	
copy 8:14, 22 26:6			

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014

Index: describing..form

44:18 48:2	17:11	ends 15:6,	32:12,14
describing	distinction	8,13,17,	33:7
32:13	30:13	18,19,22	expires 27:4
40:14	document	16:3	explicit
description	17:14,16,	entries	46:15
24:22	19 18:1	35:22	explicitly
35:11 47:1	19:22	entry 35:20	27:14,16
49:11	documents	44:2	28:3,11,13
detect 28:7	13:4,5	establish	32:3,5
determination	drugs 11:22	28:21	33:13
49:3	due 44:4	event 44:5,	extend 24:13
determine	duly 7:8	11	25:5
48:10		EXAMINATION	
determining	E	8:4	F
29:9 45:1		examined 7:9	fact 14:16
49:9	e-mail 48:12	examples	fails 28:5
difference	effect 11:15	45:12	fair 14:20
30:15	embodiments	executing	familiar
disclose	47:2	24:3,4	14:6 16:14
24:16,20	employ 19:3	execution	filed 8:17
25:18 26:9	employs	15:21 16:2	final 49:21
28:10	20:11	exhibit	finding
disclosed	end 15:12,	13:7,11	48:11,16
45:17	17,22	16:9,20	finite
47:13	end-line	18:11,12	25:10,11,
disconnect	28:20	21:6 23:7	14,16
39:3,8	end-node	27:19	firm 7:16
disconnected	27:9,14,15	exhibits	flagging
41:21	28:4,5,11,	18:17	32:22
42:6,10,12	13	expert 8:13	form 17:20
discuss 18:3	end-nodes	10:2	19:13,20
19:12	25:18	expired	20:22 22:4
discusses		31:9,11	

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014 Index: foundation..institute

27:5 29:18	group 26:11	_____	incorporate
34:22	guess 13:22	I	38:19
35:8,16	_____	identifies	indefinite
36:8 37:3	H	24:1	25:2,12
38:1,13	_____	identify	indefinitely
39:4,17	H'mm 47:19	23:22	24:13 25:5
40:5,17	hand 11:5	illustrate	indicating
42:3 47:7	handwritten	30:10	37:5,17
foundation	8:20	illustration	39:15,20
21:1 22:14	Hoffman 7:13	31:2	40:7,10,12
full 11:11	8:8,10,12,	illustrative	48:13
13:17	21 9:3,7,	29:9 44:22	indication
23:10 29:8	11,14	45:12	48:17
36:10	17:20	implementation	inference
future	18:15	35:14,18,	33:14
39:11,16,	19:13,20	19,21	inferring
20 40:10	20:22	36:5,10,15	46:17
_____	21:7,11	38:7,8	information
G	22:4,14	39:15	23:13
gave 47:5	27:5 29:18	implemented	32:16,19,
48:1	30:22 33:9	35:2,10	22 33:3
generally	34:22	implicit	34:6,14
16:7 44:18	35:8,16	33:13	35:12
give 11:5,9	36:8 37:3	implicitly	38:20
13:20 32:1	38:1,13	31:16	48:12,22
34:5	39:4,17	important	49:5,8
goal 30:3	40:5,17	30:13	informing
goals 30:11	42:3,18	inaccessible	40:19
31:3	46:12	40:4	initial 7:21
Great 12:11	49:15	include	initiated
17:3 50:10	50:8,19	34:14	41:7
51:1	hope 12:4	including	institute
ground 11:6	hours 13:3	45:13	8:18

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014

Index: instruct..message

instruct 38:11	Koelsch 7:18	Luci 7:17	material 25:22
inter 11:20	L	M	33:11 42:20 46:8
internal 33:5	language 43:12	made 33:14	matter 7:21 10:3
International 10:2	44:10 47:20	33:21 34:14	matters 9:21 10:6
Interworking 16:13	lifetime 24:17,19, 20 25:1,9,	36:15,17 42:1	MAYER-PATEL 7:7
involved 8:15 9:22 13:4,6	10,11, 26:16,18	maintaining 18:8 29:5 32:16,18	Mayer-patel's 8:13
IP 18:7 19:10,16 20:6 23:5, 20,22 25:16 26:19 27:10	lines 39:1 44:16,19 45:18,21 46:1 47:17 48:3,7	33:15 35:22	means 41:13
IPR 8:15 9:22 11:1, 2	list 33:16, 19,22 34:2 36:3,16, 18,20 42:1 44:3	maintains 33:5	mechanism 26:2,12 40:10,14
IPRS 10:16	litigation 10:10,11, 15	make 12:4 22:8,17 30:5 50:3	mechanisms 19:4 28:6
J	locally 22:10	makes 42:15	meeting 36:11
Jason 7:13 46:9	locate 19:4	making 49:7	memorize 17:14
joined 7:16, 18	logged 48:11	map 27:16	message 26:10,15, 17 32:4 34:8,9,10 36:6 37:1, 7,8,11,13, 16,22 38:3,4,5, 10,16,22 39:13 40:2,7,15 41:7,9,10,
K	long 12:7 17:14,15	mappings 19:15	
KETAN 7:7	Lower-level 23:12	match 43:22	

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014

Index: messages..off-line

12,16,22	37:6 38:9,	24:12,16,	50:1,3,7,8
42:5,10,	21 39:7	18,20	notify 39:2
14,16	40:1,13	25:1,4,7,	November
43:1,5,9,	41:5 42:7	8,13,18,20	9:22 10:19
19,22 44:6	44:13 46:9	26:1,9,12	number 9:21
45:7	47:3	27:2,13	23:8 47:5
messages	49:13,20	28:3,10,12	
25:19	50:6,10,	30:14	
45:14	11,17 51:1	Netbios'	0
46:15	morning	13:6	
met 12:15	12:2,3	Netflix 10:2	object 9:6, 9,11 11:9
method 45:8	<hr/> N <hr/>	network	objection
methods		20:21	17:20
46:21	names 18:7	21:19,22	19:13,20
Michael 7:11	19:16 20:5	22:2,3,6,	20:22
Michelle	23:1,15	9,13,16	21:8,11
7:17	24:16	24:14	22:4,14
minute 34:4	28:3,10	28:22	27:5 29:18
46:5	necessarily	29:12	30:22 33:9
mischaracteriz	34:10	30:16,17	34:22
ed 27:7	48:20	40:4 41:22	35:8,16
missed 15:14	Netbios 8:16	42:6,13	36:8 37:3
Morlock	13:13,20	45:4	38:1,13
7:11,20	16:12,20	nod 11:8	39:4,17
8:6,9,19	17:4,10,18	node 24:13	40:5,17
9:2,4,9,	18:3,5,6,	nonresponsive	42:3,18
13,15	22 19:3,4,	48:2	objective
18:2,18	6,9,10,12,	nonresponsiven	29:2
19:17 20:1	14,15,18,	ess 46:19	objectives
21:4,10,15	22 20:2,3,	47:7	28:19
22:11,20	4,7,8,10,	notebook	objects 11:9
26:3 27:12	11,12,14,	18:10	occurs 28:5
29:21 31:7	16,18	49:22	44:11
34:3 35:5,	22:21,22	50:3,7,9	47:20
13 36:4,21	23:3,11,	notes 8:20	off-line
	13,17		

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014

Index: on-line..prepare

33:1 34:8, 10,12,15, 19 36:1,6, 19 37:2,5, 7,8,10,13, 17,20 38:3,5,17, 22 39:8, 11,14,16, 20 40:2,6, 8,9,11,15, 21 41:10, 12,16,22 42:5,10, 16,22 43:4,8,16, 18,21 44:6 45:7,14 46:16,18	30:1,4 45:2,6 operating 22:17 operation 41:6 order 22:17 30:4 31:12 38:18 41:1,3 43:6 original 8:16,17 Overview 18:22 Owner 7:14 8:1	49:6,9 partes 11:20 pass 46:10 past 16:17 patent 7:14, 22 8:14 10:9,11,14 13:9,12 14:9,13, 15,19 18:5 20:20 21:3,6,17, 20 28:19 29:3,15,22 30:7,9,12, 15,17,19 31:1,15 32:8,10,15 33:6 35:18 36:12,13, 14 42:17 44:15 45:8 46:22 patents 10:7 Path 7:14, 19 PC 16:13 pending 12:7 period 24:21 25:2,3,10, 11,12,14, 17 39:9 periodically 30:21	32:11 petition 8:16 Petitioner 7:12 8:4 PH.D. 7:7 phrase 19:9 37:13 physically 41:21 42:12 pick 18:10 piece 38:19 pieces 8:15 point 45:16 point-to-point 28:21 polling 45:14,22 46:16,20 47:7,11, 14,19 48:1 possibility 30:10 33:4 35:3,6,10 possibly 35:2 predetermined 44:4,5,10 preparation 10:4 12:21 prepare
on-line 29:3,10 30:3,6,18 31:6,13,21 32:6,16,18 33:16 34:15 35:22 36:16,18 37:20 41:3 43:7,15 44:3,4 45:1,8,12, 46:15 48:14,19 49:2,4,10	<hr/> P <hr/> packet 26:5, 8,13 37:14 pages 18:12 paragraph 19:1 23:10 24:6,10,11 28:15 29:8 44:21 47:13 part 10:4 15:10 22:21 44:19 47:11 48:21		
ongoing 29:10,14			

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014 Index: prepared..reference

12:12	15 41:4,	28:19 31:2	recently
prepared	12,18,19,	provided	9:22
13:15	20 42:13	10:16	reception
present 28:7	43:6,14,15	25:22	44:6
38:3	44:8 45:3,	33:11	recess 49:17
preserve	15 46:16	42:20 46:8	recite 14:14
11:11	48:18		20:20
	49:6,9,10		21:18
pretty 12:15	process'	Q	
previously	42:2	question	recited
45:20	processes	11:10 12:7	14:18
prior 8:15	15:10,11,	21:14 24:9	record 7:22
9:16 10:1,	16 28:20	29:20	8:12 11:11
6 12:19	29:4,	questions	12:5
process	31:5,8	12:9 16:8	34:14,18,
14:14,16,	33:16,18,	49:21	19,21
18,21,22	35:22	50:18,19	records
15:4,6,8,	36:16,18	quoting	30:21
16,17,18,	37:20	32:17	32:11,14
20 16:1,3,	44:4,20		33:7
6 20:13,21	45:9,11	R	recross 51:2
21:18	46:17	read 13:2	redirect
22:1,2,7,	processing	16:10 28:2	50:18
12,15,18	31:18,20,	50:22	refer 9:5
24:1,2,4	21 37:14	reads 19:3	14:8,16
28:21	program	23:11 48:9	16:19 17:5
29:12	15:1,3,5,	reasonable	18:21
30:4,5,16,	6,9,10,12,	17:15	25:20 26:7
18 31:12,	13,17 16:5	recall 13:21	44:15
13 32:7	prompt 31:11	32:13 46:4	reference
34:1,11,16	protocol	receive	12:22
36:1,19,22	22:13,16	22:12,16	13:6,13,20
37:5,17	Protocols	received	16:12,14
38:6,11,17	16:12	41:13,16	17:6,7,13
39:3,12,22	provide		43:8 44:2
40:3,8,11,			

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014 Index: referenced..Section

referenced	29:16	renews	26:15	result	37:4
23:12	48:17	repeat	21:13	return	8:2,
references	registrations	rephrase		7, 8	
12:17, 20	25:4	32:9	reused	43:18	
13:2	registry	report	8:14	review	11:20
referred	23:3	reporter		17:5	
34:4	related	11:7	reviewed		
referring	10:7	representation	16:16		
20:8, 10	release	14:20	reviewing		
22:21 34:5	27:16, 21	representing	13:3		
refers	28:4, 7	23:14 50:6	reviews		
21:20	released		25:22		
reflect	27:13	represents	33:11		
7:22	28:3, 11	15:4 23:6	42:20 46:8		
34:19	releasing	request		rules	11:6
refresh	28:12	26:5, 8			
25:19	remains	require	33:6	running	
26:2, 4, 8,	24:14	36:14		14:17, 21	
10, 13, 15,	remember	requirement		15:5, 20, 21	
17 27:9	13:1	45:11		16:2	
register	removal	requirements		20:12, 19	
22:22	33:7	36:12		22:7, 10	
23:17	remove	requires		41:20	
registered	27:2	36:13		42:13	
23:4	31:8 36:2,	reread	12:20		
26:16, 21,	19	resource		scope	33:9
22 29:4	removed	23:15		42:18	
30:21 39:2	26:10, 14	resources		searches	48:10
40:3 45:9	29:16 34:1	19:4 23:11		seconds	47:20
48:18	36:22	respect	18:5	secret	10:8
registers	removing	43:18 44:7		Section	
23:15	32:11, 13,	response	43:13		
registration	21 33:2				
23:20	renew				
24:12, 17	26:18				
25:13 27:3	renewing				
	26:20, 22				

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014 Index: send..three-quarters

18:21	7	start 14:4	sworn 7:8
23:11		started 16:5	system 22:17
27:21	silently 28:4	starts 15:1,	29:11 45:2
send 25:19	simply 29:4	3 22:1	
26:10	31:11	28:18	T
42:14	32:22	status 29:3,	talk 50:12,
sending 32:3	34:11,14,	10 30:3,	14
39:13	18 39:12,	31:18,19	talked 12:17
40:14	21 40:19	32:7,16,19	45:19,20
41:7,12	SIPENT 8:17	34:15,19	
44:8	sit 17:9	37:17 38:6	talking
sense 42:15	situation	39:11,16,	16:11
sentence	41:11	20,22	31:22 43:4
29:8 49:1	skip 29:7	40:2,20	talks 31:17
separate	SMB 16:13	41:3 42:2	teaches 29:3
43:14 47:9	sort 46:19	43:7,15	technical
served 11:19	specific	44:9,20	38:3
server 21:21	43:12	45:1,9	term 14:14
29:17	specifically	46:16,18	21:18 37:8
30:8,20	21:3,5	47:6	38:3 42:22
31:11,17	spend 13:19	step 49:7	43:4,12,18
33:8 37:18	spent 13:3	stops 15:7	testified
38:17 39:2	stamp 29:10,	stored 48:11	7:9 9:16
40:20,22	14 30:1,4,	Straight	10:9,11
41:8,14,17	10 31:4,9,	7:14,19	testify 12:1
42:1 43:6,	11 32:12,	structures	testimony
13 48:9,	14 45:2,6	33:5 37:19	10:5 11:14
18,22	stamps 30:8,	38:18 41:2	things 18:17
49:3,7	20 31:18	subsequently	43:11
service 18:7	32:9,11	33:20	thread 15:21
20:4 23:11	44:18,19	suggest	16:2
short 11:5	45:13,19	39:21 40:8	three-quarters
sign 50:22	46:15	suggests	27:22
silent 28:4,		39:12	

SIPNET EU S.R.O v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.

Ketan D. Mayer-Patel, Ph.D. on 04/18/2014

Index: time..yesterday

time 9:6, 10,12 11:10 13:19 27:3 29:10,14 30:1,4,8, 10,20 31:4,9,11 32:9,11, 12,14 40:10 44:18,19 45:2,6,13, 19 46:14	turned 28:5 typically 28:5 <hr/> U <hr/> U.S. 14:6 understand 11:12,14 14:11 17:1 29:20 understanding 16:4 18:6 19:8 20:3 unit 31:18, 20,21 37:14 update 31:18,19 32:4 37:19 38:18 43:6 44:9 updates 32:6 38:5 updating 34:6,18 35:4,12 39:21 44:20 47:6	version 11:6 16:13 versus 13:22 37:20 <hr/> W <hr/> waived 21:12 walk 46:11 Washington 7:3 water 46:10 week 12:19 weeks 16:17 WINS 8:16 13:13 wishes 23:15 withdrawn 21:8 word 17:12, 22 19:18, 21 work 35:19 wrong 47:22 wrote 44:22 <hr/> X <hr/> X/open 16:13 <hr/> Y <hr/> year 10:1	yesterday 12:15 13:14,15
time-outs 46:14			
times 9:18, 19 12:21, 22 13:2 16:17			
told 8:21 47:16			
topics 11:19 14:5			
track 37:20 41:3			
tracking 30:3,18 45:8,11			
trade 10:2,8	user's 32:21 33:2 34:6 <hr/> V <hr/> Vandana 7:18		
true 16:7 24:5,8			
turn 18:9 21:5 24:7 27:18 48:6			