

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SONY CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

Patent of YISSUM RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT COMPANY OF THE  
HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2013-00219  
Patent 7,477,284

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CAPTURING AND VIEWING  
STEREOSCOPIC PANORAMIC IMAGES

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.107**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8) .....5  
    A. Real Party-in-Interest .....5  
    B. Related matters .....5  
    C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information .....5  
II. Introduction .....6  
III. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 7,477,284 .....8  
IV. Claim Construction .....12  
    A. *imager* .....12  
    B. *optical image* .....13  
    C. *segments* and *image strips* .....14  
    D. *plurality of segments* .....16  
    E. *series of image strips* .....16  
    F. *divide each image [of the scene] into a plurality of segments* .....16  
    G. *said strips being displaced from one another* .....17  
    H. *sense of depth of the scene* .....17  
V. The Kawakita Reference is Not a “Printed Publication”, and the Corresponding Grounds Should Not Be Adopted .....18  
VI. In General, Ishiguro and Asahi are not Directed to Displaying Mosaic Images that Provide a Sense of Depth, and the Corresponding Grounds Should Not Be Adopted .....20  
VII. Additional, Specific Reasons Why the Prior Art Does Not Invalidate the Claims, and Why *Inter Partes* Review Should Not Be Instituted .....22  
    A. No Trial Should Be Instituted for Grounds A, B, E, and F .....23  
    B. No Trial Should Be Instituted for Grounds C and D .....29  
    C. No Trial Should Be Instituted for Grounds G, H, I, and J .....35  
    D. No Trial Should Be Instituted for Grounds K and L .....42  
VIII. Conclusion .....48  
Certificate of Service .....50

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**FEDERAL CASES**

*In re Bayer*,  
568 F.2d 1357 (CCPA 1978) .....19

*In re Cronyn*,  
890 F.2d 1158, 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1989) .....19

*Cordis Corp. v. Boston Scientific Corp.*,  
561 F.3d 1319 (CAFC 2009) .....18

*DeSilva v. DiLeonardi*,  
181 F.3d 865 (7th Cir. 1999).....7

*Phillips v. AWH Corp.*,  
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)(en banc).....12

**REGULATORY CASES**

*Scripps Research Institute v. Nemerson*,  
72 USPQ2d 1122 (BPAI 2004) .....11

*Stampa v. Jackson*,  
78 USPQ2d 1567 (BPAI 2005).....7

**FEDERAL STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 102.....22, 23, 29, 42

35 U.S.C. § 103.....23, 24, 29, 35, 42

35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....6

**REGULATIONS**

37 C.F.R. § 42.20 (c) .....11

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4) .....6

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

M.P.E.P. § 2131 .....22

**I. Mandatory Notices (37 C.F.R. § 42.8)**

**A. Real Party-in-Interest**

The real parties-in-interest are Yissum Research Development Company of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and HumanEyes Technologies Ltd.

**B. Related matters**

The following are judicial or administrative matters that would affect, or be affected by a decision in this proceeding:

1. IPR2013-00218, *Inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 6,665,003 (the “003 Patent”).
2. *HumanEyes Technologies Ltd. V. Sony Electronics Inc. et al.*, 1-12-cv-00398 (D. Del.).

**C. Lead and Back-up Counsel and Service Information**

Lead Counsel

David L. McCombs  
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP  
2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700  
Dallas, TX 75219

(214) 651-5533  
david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com

USPTO Customer No. 27683  
USPTO Reg. No. 32,271

Back-up Counsel

David M. O'Dell  
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP  
2323 Victory Ave. Suite 700  
Dallas, TX 75219

(972) 739-8635  
david.odell.ipr@haynesboone.com

USPTO Customer No. 27683  
USPTO Reg. No. 42,044

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.