```
1
 2
                 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 3
               FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 4
              HONORABLE MICHAEL M. ANELLO, DISTRICT JUDGE
 5
 6
                                       CASE NO. 08 CV 1512-MMA
 7
      MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK, USA )
      ET AL.,
 8
               PLAINTIFF,
 9
               VS.
                                       AUGUST 31, 2011
10
      NUVASIVE, INC.,
                                       WEDNESDAY, 9:00 AM
11
                                        TRIAL - DAY TWO
               DEFENDANT.
12
13
                 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14
15
16
      APPEARANCES:
17
      FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                                    LUKE L. DAUCHOT, ESQ.
                                    NIMALKA WICKRAMASEKERA, ESQ.
18
                                    SHARRE LOTFOLLAHI, ESQ.
                                    ALEXANDER F. MACKINNON, ESQ.
19
                                    MICHAEL DOBSZEWICZ, ESQ.
                                    KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP
20
                                    JEFF SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
                                    DEWEY & LEBOEUF
21
22
      FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                                    FRANK SCHERKENBACH, ESQ.
                                    TODD G. MILLER, ESQ.
23
                                    JONATHAN J. LAMBERSON, ESQ.
                                    NEIL WARREN, ESQ.
24
                                    KEELEY I. VEGA, ESQ.
                                    FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.
25
```



```
WITH IT.
 1
 2
           SO I THINK EVEN THOUGH THE PERSON IS SITTING RIGHT
 3
     HERE, I THINK HE'S ENTITLED TO READ THE DEPO.
 4
     COURSE, IF SOMETHING COMES OUT IN THE DEPO THAT YOU DON'T
 5
     LIKE, THEN YOU'VE GOT YOUR GUY HERE, YOU WILL PUT HIM ON AND
     STRAIGHTEN IT OUT.
 6
 7
              MR. SCHERKENBACH: FAIR ENOUGH. THANK YOU, YOUR
 8
     HONOR.
 9
               THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE WE CAN RESOLVE BEFORE WE
      CALL OUR JURORS IN? I HATE TO SET A PRECEDENT BY CALLING
10
     THEM IN EARLY.
11
12
           SO WHY DON'T WE GO OFF THE RECORD FOR A MOMENT.
13
           THE RECORD CAN REFLECT THAT ALL OF OUR JURORS ARE
14
    BACK. THIS IS REALLY IMPRESSIVE. YOU'RE REALLY PUNCTUAL
15
    AND ON TIME WHEN. WHEN THAT HAPPENS, I REPORT YOU DOWN TO
16
     JURY LOUNGE THAT YOU'RE REALLY GOOD JURORS, AND THEN THEY
17
     INVITE YOU TO COME BACK AFTER A MONTH OR SO AND SERVE ON OUR
18
     JURY.
19
           IS THAT OKAY FOR ME TO DO THAT? I WON'T DO THAT.
20
     THANK YOU. WE APPRECIATE YOU BEING SO TIMELY.
21
           WE ARE, I GUESS, GOING TO CONTINUE ON WITH SOME MORE
22
     QUESTIONS FOR DR. MICHELSON.
23
              MR. DAUCHOT: WE ARE, YOUR HONOR. OKAY TO
24
     PROCEED?
```

THE COURT: YES. GO AHEAD.



25

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. DAUCHOT:

1

- 3 Q DR. MICHELSON, GOOD MORNING.
- 4 A GOOD MORNING.
- 5 Q YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH.
- 6 A YES, SIR.
- 7 | Q TWO QUICK POINTS BEFORE WE PICK UP YOU WHERE WE LEFT
- 8 YESTERDAY. ONE, YOU MENTIONED THAT A COMPANY CALLED
- 9 SURGICAL DYNAMICS LICENSED YOUR '247 TECHNOLOGY?
- 10 A YES.
- 11 | Q AND DO YOU REMEMBER THAT YOU RECEIVED ROYALTIES FROM
- 12 | SDI ON ITS RAY CAGE FOR THAT '247?
- 13 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 14 | Q AND YOU TESTIFIED THAT SOFAMOR DANEK AS WELL LICENSED
- 16 A YES.
- 17 Q DO YOU RECALL IF THEY WERE PAYING YOU ROYALTIES ON
- 18 | INNER FIX AND NOVICE WITH RESPECT TO THAT PATENT?
- 19 A YES.
- 20 Q ALL RIGHT. I WANT TO SHIFT GEARS AND QUICKLY GO
- 21 THROUGH THE CONCEPTION DATE OF THE TRANSLATERAL PATENT -- OR
- 22 | THE TRANSLATERAL IMPLANT.
- 23 YOU WITH ME?
- 24 A I AM.
- 25 Q APPROXIMATELY WHEN DID YOU INVENT THE TRANSLATERAL



- 1 IMPLANT?
- 2 A IT WAS THE SEPTEMBER-OCTOBER 1993 TIME FRAME.
- Q ALL RIGHT. AND I WANT TO WORK THROUGH WITH THE JURY
 4 FAIRLY QUICKLY HOW IT IS THAT YOU RECALL THAT.
- 5 CAN YOU TURN TO PX0284. IT'S IN THAT BINDER,
 6 DR. MICHELSON.
- 7 MR. DAUCHOT: CAN WE PUT THAT UP ON THE SCREEN, 8 PLEASE.
- 9 BY MR. DAUCHOT:
- 10 Q CAN YOU SEE IT? ARE YOU WITH ME, DR. MICHELSON?
- 11 A I AM.
- 12 O OKAY. WHAT ARE WE LOOKING AT HERE?
- 13 A WELL, DESIDER IMRE WAS THE MACHINIST WHO ACTUALLY MADE
- 14 | THE SET. AND HE SENT US AN INVOICE THAT WE RECEIVED AT MY
- 15 ∥ OFFICE ON 12/26/93. AND THAT WAS AFTER TWO SETS OF
- 16 INSTRUMENTS HAD ACTUALLY BEEN MADE.
- 17 SO IF YOU LOOK DOWN THE INVENTORY, IT'S TWO OF
- 18 | THIS AND TWO OF THAT. THE REASON WAS THAT HE HAD MADE A SET
- 19 | PREVIOUSLY. AND AFTER WORKING WITH IT, I WAS NOT SATISFIED,
- 20 SO I HAD HIM MAKE A SECOND SET. SO THAT'S THE INVOICE.
- 21 | Q LET'S FOCUS ON THE SECOND LINE. YOU HAVE TWO IMPLANTS
- 22 | 24 BY 42 MILLIMETERS -- DO YOU SEE THAT -- WITH CAPS?
- 23 A YES.
- 24 O IS THAT A TRANSCRIPT OF YOUR TRANSLATERAL IMPLANT?
- 25 A THAT IS ONE OF THE EMBODIMENTS, YES.



- 1 | Q LET'S WORK BACKWARDS HERE -- WELL, ONE QUESTION: DID
- 2 | THE MACHINIST INVENT THE IMPLANT AND SELL IT TO YOU?
- 3 A NO. HE ACTUALLY WORKED AT ANOTHER COMPANY AS A GENERAL
- 4 MACHINIST. AND ON HIS OVERTIME OR SPARE TIME, WHEN HE
- 5 WASN'T A FOREMAN AT THAT COMPANY, AS TIME PERMITTED, HE
- 6 WOULD MAKE THINGS FOR ME. I WOULD GIVE HIM A DRAWING, HE
- 7 WOULD MAKE IT, I'D REVIEW IT, HE'D CORRECT IT, HE'S REMAKE
- 8 II.
- 9 MR. DAUCHOT: DAVE, CAN YOU BACK UP. I NEED TO
- 10 SEE THE DATE ON THERE.
- 11 BY MR. DAUCHOT:
- 12 Q HERE'S A DATE, 12/26/93. DO YOU SEE THAT?
- 13 | A YES.
- 14 ▮ O THAT'S THE DATE THAT YOU RECEIVED THE INVOICE?
- 15 A CORRECT.
- 16 O SO BY THEN HE HAD MADE THESE?
- 17 A HE HAD MADE TWO SETS BY THEN.
- 18 Q TWO SETS BY THEN.
- 19 NOW, LET'S WORK BACKWARDS. HOW DOES THAT GET YOU
- 21 A IT WAS EITHER THE END OF SEPTEMBER OR THE BEGINNING OF
- 22 OCTOBER.
- 23 O SO BASICALLY, YOU'RE SAYING FOR HIM TO HAVE MADE TWO
- 24 | SETS, YOU ARE WORKING BACKWARDS FROM SEPTEMBER '93, WHEN YOU
- 25 | APPROACHED HIM THE FIRST TIME?



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

